

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRB_107304 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Microvascular Complications Associated with Advanced Glycation End Products in Diabetes |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the title of the study, it should be specified whether it is an investigation or an intervention. - In the abstract, most of the introduction and statement of the problem are written, and how this study is going to be implemented is not written - What tools have been used for this study? - The results and discussion section in the abstract is not written at all and should be corrected - Match the keyword with the mesh - This study is weak and should be corrected in terms of structure, especially in the abstract and other parts. - This article does not have the structure of an article for printing - It is written like a book or a report - It should be spaced and specified in each separate section such as introduction, working method, results and discussion and conclusion. - In most of the text, too much and dumb text is used - Some pictures have made the article crowded and you could have written these parts more clearly. - It is written in a section, age and complications of diabetes? - Is this part related to the results obtained from the study? Or is your explanation as a writer? - -In the last section, specify whether it is a study topic. - -To be compared with the results of other studies. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>If you want to correct this article, it is better to correct the translation</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Fatemeh Darabi |
| Department, University & Country | Asadabad School of Public Health, Iran |