

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_106753
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant Properties Comparison of Trunk's Barks of 10 Plants used in Traditional Medicine Against Hepatic Pathologies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable? Yes</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes</b></p> <p>7.</p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1.The current study is important because Acacia nilotica exhibited superior antioxidant activity than that of other medicinal studied plants, which is confirmed by its high content of phenolic compound also compared to that of other plants. Acacia nilotica could be a good choice for the evaluation of anti-inflammatory and even hepatoprotective activity.</p> <p>2.The conclusion is brief. It would be better to emphasize the impact and role of the results.</p> <p>3.The written discussion is quite good and complete</p> <p>4.Based on the conclusions of the research, the authors need to add a description of suggestions and added value for other researchers or readers</p> <p>Completeness of abstract components</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dhia Ahmed Taain</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Iraq</b>