

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_107274
Title of the Manuscript:	An Assessment of Hepato-protective activity of Psidium guajava in CCl4 induced Hepatic-injured Rodent Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This is an important piece of work needed to bring out the importance of natural fruit to maintain the strength of liver and prevent disease caused by pathogens or any other reason comes through food interaction.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An Assessment of Hepato-protective activity of <i>Psidium guajava</i> fruit extract against Hepatic injured Rodent Model No. It need revision. Give expansion of all abbreviations used in abstract eg.SGPT, SGOT, HDL, LDL Methods and Materials Should be changed as Materials and Methods <p>It need serious revision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In Result: There is no clarity in presentation of data. It need clear description with supporting value and reference table. Graphs can be used to explain the result Mention the statistical analysis and significant value as a foot note under the table References are not in uniform presentation and not follow any standard format. Need to add more supporting references as this type of work is already published. Eg. https://doi.org/10.4314/ajfand.v6i2.71751 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Flow of information is missing in the righting. Article needs revision which is highlighted in yellow colour. Sentences should be revised to improve standard of science communication. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Materials and Methods: Why did it take several days for extraction? Any specific reason for prolonged extraction? Suggestion: Soxhlet extraction is the suitable method to get complete extraction within 6 - 8hrs with the usage of minimum solvent.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoid personalized word like 'I' or 'we' in the scientific / research article. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Kamalam
Department, University & Country	PSGR Krishnammal College for Women, Bharathiar University, India