

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_107102
Title of the Manuscript:	Influential factors that affect farmers' intention toward farming
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Farmers have always been considered the major stakeholders in agricultural industry therefore, there intentions and farming decisions are of vital significance. That is why, research on farmers' intentions and in depth analysis of factors responsible for farmers' decision is definitely of great importance for research and scientific community for generating policy recommendations for policy makers.</p> <p>2. Though, there is nothing wrong with this title but, "Factors Influencing Farmers' Intentions Towards Farming" would be more appropriate.</p> <p>3. Introductory lines of the abstract are a bit ambiguous and need reconsideration. Please rephrase your introductory lines.</p> <p>4. Subsections are organized and appropriate except one in conclusion that is missing "Recommendation for future researchers/research studies"</p> <p>5. It is scientifically appropriate and justified.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> revisions</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, it is suitable.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Overall performance of the researcher is satisfactory.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raza Ejaz
Department, University & Country	Institute of Agri. Extension Education and Rural Development, University of Agriculture, Pakistan