

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_106871
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Barriers to the Success of Income Generating Activities of People with Disabilities (PWDs). Evidence from Iringa Municipal Council, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript highlights one neglected aspect of society, which is integral to our society. The author tries to bring back the discussion of PWDs in the larger debate of entrepreneurship. However, the author discusses very narrowly the problem of PWDs. The author can elaborate in the problem section for more clarity for readers—Why this problem is important?</p> <p>2. Title is long and confusing—It must short and precise.</p> <p>3. The first 7 lines of the abstract must be re-articulated or changed to make clear the problem.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the paper are ok. If the author wishes, there is scope to club the study area and methodology part.</p> <p>5. With a minor change, the manuscript (introduction and conclusion) may contribute to scientific knowledge.</p> <p>6. References depend on the author's literature review—if the author thinks the paper's objective is addressed, then there is no need for further reference.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are some errors in sentence formation and grammar/ Author must go with careful proofreading before final submission.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I suggest that the author must address these points:</p> <p>1. In the conclusion, the author must mention the contribution. The conclusion should have contents beyond the local case. This would attract more international readers.</p> <p>2. The paper is also a bit "flat" - it does not make effective "overarching" or "takeaway" statements.</p> <p>3. The paper is insufficient in terms of inspiring new academic discussions and debates. What are your academic debates? Which theoretical or academic arguments are the authors aiming to examine or discuss? What new theories or theoretical knowledge this paper can add to the existing international literature by looking this local cases?</p> <p>4. If the author revises the manuscript as per the suggestion and demand of the journal, then manuscript can be accepted for publication.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uttam Singh
Department, University & Country	Institute of Legal Studies & Research, GLA University, India