

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_INDJ_105792</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Psychimmunological Aspects and Quality of Life in Patients with Irritable Bowel Syndrome</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The title of the article should be” Psycho-immunological aspects and Quality of life in patients of irritable bowel syndrome” Could be better. Grammatical errors and conclusions have been highlighted for correction.Conclusions drawn needs to be better.</p> <p>Requires improvement especially in the discussion and conclusion. At several places the same words “our results” are repeated.Discussion could be better than repeat of results of the study.Discussion should be comprehensive and lucid Scientifically correct yes.</p> <p>References are adequate</p> <p>The article should focus on the aims and objectives and discussion comprehensive and to the point.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Could be better</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Daniel Saldanha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr D Y Patil Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre.Dr D Y Patil Vidyapeeth, India</b>