

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_107495</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Bio-chemical factors associated with resistance to Aphid, Hyadaphis coriandari (Das) in fennel.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it's important for the scientific community, as well as it's beneficial improve the production.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is appropriately suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is completely comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriately, quite changes suggested in minor comments.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, references are sufficient and try to add some recent ones. Some rreference is not as per the journal, work on the same</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, but in Introduction section needed the corrections.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Author should add the proper keywords.</li> <li>● Discussion section: Information is not sufficient, Author should rewrite it.</li> <li>● Author may add more/ sufficient results for publication related to the current work.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ashish Wamanrao Selokar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mohsinbhai Zaveri Mahavidyalaya, India</b>