

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_106741
Title of the Manuscript:	Value Chain Analysis of Wheat in North-Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. As the author(s) rightly said, wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) is India's second most significant cereal crop and plays an essential role to the country's food and nutritional security. Thus, analyzing value addition of this commodity is imperative.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes (with minor spelling correction highlighted.)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References are recent but not sufficient. The author(s), however, did not cite any of the work found on the Reference in the text. I recommend that all materials listed on the References be cited in the body/text. (See Optional/General comments for suggestion on additional references)</p> <p>My suggestions/comments are included in the manuscript. Additionally, to validate findings, the author(s) needed to compare their results with previous researches done in the same research area in any state.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author(s) should note the following general comments which are included in the manuscript:</p> <p>(1) References listed (5 in number) were not cited in the body of the paper, and the references cited (2 in number) were not listed on the References subsection.</p> <p>(2) On additional references, the author(s) may wish to browse for a paper by Asefa <i>et al.</i> (2021): Value chain analysis of wheat in Duna district, Hadiya zone, Southern Ethiopia, and also refer to their References list for more references.</p> <p>(3) To validate findings, the author(s) needed to compare their results with previous researches done in the same subject area in any State (India and /or beyond).</p> <p>(4) The author(s) may also need to analyze their results (information in Tables 1 & 2) by plotting on graph(s) to aid understanding.</p> <p>If this minor revision is done, I strongly recommend that the manuscript be accepted for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kingdom Kponanyie Dune
Department, University & Country	Rivers State University, Nigeria