

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104963
Title of the Manuscript:	“Economics of potato varieties (Solanum tuberosum L.) and soil health under peach-based agroforestry system in northern hills zone of Chhattisgarh”
Type of the Article	Peer Review

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is quite ok, subject to the corrections suggested 2. Quite ok 3. The should be modified 4. Quite ok 5. Quite ok 6. Some of reference are outdated and should be changed. <p>REVIEWERS COMMENT Manuscript: Ms_IJPSS_104963 Title: "Economics of potato varieties (Solanum tuberosum L.) and soil health under peach-based agroforestry system in northern hills zone of Chhattisgarh e " #1. (what is the meaning of rabi) #2 (the method used should be stated clearly in the abstract) #3 (what is C3) #4). What is this a #5, Some the references the introduction is outdated #6. Authors should indicate their expected results #7 B:C ratio (B:C means what) #8 Authors should be able to draw some graphs from their tables and compare them #9. (the formulae for standard error of the means (SEm±) and critical differences (CD) should be stated) #10. (Authors should discuss the results from the table) #11. (Authors should explain the variables the use in the eg. V₁, V₂, S₁, S₂) #12. Contribution of the paper should be stated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The suggested comments should be effected, to make the paper better.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Winfred Adjardjah
Department, University & Country	Takoradidi Technical University, Ghana