

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106953
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF PHYSIO CHEMICAL PARAMETERS AND WATER QUALITY INDEX OF DIFFERENT GHATS OF RIVER GANGA AT VARANASI, UTTAR PRADESH
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes! The manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>The title " ASSESSMENT OF PHYSIO CHEMICAL PARAMETERS AND WATER QUALITY INDEX OF DIFFERENT GHATS OF RIVER GANGA AT VARANASI, UTTAR PRADESH" is correctly.</p> <p>The abstract is well structured and readable.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is adequate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct. The content, methods, discussion, results, conclusions is in the field.</p> <p>A number of 18 bibliographic references were consulted, but 4 references are not found in the text: Jadhav, S. and Jadhav, M. (2017) ; Kamboj, N., Bharti, M., Kamboj, V., Rani, A. and Sharma, S. (2016); Nandi, I., Pokharia, C., Chaturvedi, V. and Shah, K. (2022); Pandey, M. (2005).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Radu Daniela
Department, University & Country	Fish Culture Research and Development Station, Romania