

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106487
Title of the Manuscript:	Monitoring and Assessment of Water Quality of Iril River, Manipur, India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript presents results on the physico-chemical quality of the Iril River. These preliminary results will help orient the scientific community towards the region's global water resource management issues. This manuscript is therefore of scientific interest to the community.</p> <p>2. The title of the manuscript should be adjusted according to the content of the document. I suggest this title: "Physico-chemical characterization of the waters of the Iril River, Manipur, India".</p> <p>3. this summary needs a little structuring. Starting with the area, the methodology, the results and conclusions.</p> <p>4. Part 2. Materials and methods requires a little arrangement. First the study area, the context of the area (climate, geology, hydrology,), and various sampling/analysis methods and data collection.</p> <p>5. This manuscript is correct but needs improvement</p> <p>6. the introduction of the document needs to be improved with a bibliography. Many of the authors are cited in the text and are missing from the bibliographical reference and vice versa. I suggest that the authors harmonize the bibliography.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, a bit of an improvement	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the document I see the electrical conductivity ms/cm if this is the case, the water quality is not good. If not, change it to $\mu\text{s/cm}$ instead of ms/cm.</p> <p>The quality of the figures needs improvement, especially the location map. The authors need to rework this map to make it correct with all the information.</p> <p>The legends of certain figures need to be 1 to 1.</p> <p>A section on hydrology, geology and climate should be added to the document....</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahamat Nour Abdallah
Department, University & Country	University of N'Djamena, Chad