

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_106100
Title of the Manuscript:	Erosive effects of Pediatric liquid medicinal syrups with remineralising agents on primary enamel: An in vitro comparative study.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, this manuscript addresses an important issue related to dental erosion caused by pediatric liquid medicinal syrups, which is relevant to the scientific community</p> <p>2. The title could be improved for clarity and consistency by using either "pediatric" or "paediatric" throughout. Suggested alternative: "Erosive Effects of Pediatric Liquid Medicinal Syrups and the Impact of Remineralizing Agents on Primary Enamel: An In Vitro Comparative Study."</p> <p>3. The abstract provides an overview of the study but needs improvement in terms of grammar, spelling, and clarity.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure are generally appropriate, but the organization and transitions in the introduction and results sections need improvement for better clarity.</p> <p>5. The scientific content appears to be correct, but there are issues with language, grammar, and clarity throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>6. The references appear to be relevant, but some errors in referencing need to be corrected. Additionally, more recent references could be added to strengthen the literature review.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. No, the language and English quality need substantial improvement for scholarly communication. Numerous spelling and grammar issues need correction.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript has potential but requires significant proofreading and editing for language and clarity. The figures, tables, and SEM observations are valuable but could be presented more concisely. The authors should consider revising the manuscript in line with the reviewer's comments for better readability and understanding. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tom C. Pagonis
Department, University & Country	Tufts University, School of Dental Medicine, United States