

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_106255
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors influencing utilisation of hernia care among women seeking surgical healthcare: A study of a peri-urban health facility in Eastern Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Improving the Original Research Article: "Factors influencing utilization of hernia care among women seeking surgical healthcare: A study of a peri-urban health facility in Eastern Ghana."</p> <p>Abstract: This analysis aims to enhance the original research article titled "Factors Influencing Utilization of Hernia Care among Women Seeking Surgical Healthcare: A Study of a peri-urban health Facility in Eastern Ghana." The paper investigates the factors affecting the utilization of quality hernia care among female adults seeking healthcare. We can further refine its quality and clarity by addressing various aspects of the article, including its structure, methodology, results, conclusions, and limitations.</p> <p>1. Introduction: The introduction should provide a transparent background to the research problem, emphasizing the significance of understanding factors affecting hernia care utilization among women. It could include information on the prevalence of hernias, their impact on women's health and daily activities, and the need for adequate healthcare utilization.</p> <p>2. Methodology:</p> <p>2.1 Study Design and Setting: Specify the rationale for selecting the Nsawam Government Hospital as the study site. Explain the choice of a cross-sectional design and how it's appropriate for studying the relationship between variables at a single point in time.</p> <p>2.2 Sampling Strategy and Sample Size: Elaborate on the criteria for sample size determination, considering statistical power and precision. Discuss any challenges faced during participant recruitment and how these were addressed.</p> <p>2.3 Data Collection: Provide a rationale for using both self-administered and interviewer-administered questionnaires. Describe how questionnaire items were developed, validated, and pretested to ensure accuracy and reliability.</p> <p>2.4 Data Analysis: Expand on the application of STATA version 15 Software for data analysis. Explain how descriptive statistics, chi-square test, and multiple logistic regression were used to analyze the relationships between variables.</p> <p>3. Results: Present the results more organized by creating tables and figures for better visual representation. Clearly label the tables and figures and provide detailed captions that explain the essential findings.</p> <p>4. Discussion: Discuss the results in the context of existing literature. Explore the implications of significant predictors of hernia care utilization, such as marital status, occupation, and reliance on traditional healers. Provide a more comprehensive discussion of</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

enabling factors that influence healthcare-seeking behavior, including the role of health system factors, socio-economic conditions, and cultural influences.

5. Conclusion:

Summarize the findings and emphasize the practical implications of the study. Highlight the potential impact of the research on policy formulation, especially addressing challenges in healthcare utilization and improving the attitudes of healthcare personnel. Suggest more specific actions that can be taken based on the study's results.

6. Limitations and Future Research:

Expand on the limitations mentioned in the original article. Discuss the implications of these limitations for the study's validity and generalizability. Propose potential directions for future research, such as investigating risk factors for hernia occurrence in women or exploring alternative healthcare delivery models.

7. Ethical Approval:

Provide a more detailed explanation of the ethical clearance process, ensuring transparency and adherence to ethical standards. Emphasize the importance of maintaining confidentiality and obtaining informed consent from participants.

8. Language and Clarity:

Improve the overall clarity of the language used in the article. Avoid jargon or overly technical terms that might hinder comprehension for readers who are not experts in the field.

9. Keywords:

Ensure that the keywords accurately represent the article's content and include terms that potential readers might use to search for related research.

By addressing these points, the research article will be more comprehensive, well-structured, and informative, contributing to the body of knowledge on factors influencing hernia care utilization among women seeking surgical healthcare in Ghana.

Review Form 1.7

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>8. Language and Clarity: Improve the overall clarity of the language used in the article. Avoid jargon or overly technical terms that might hinder comprehension for readers who are not experts in the field.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>7. Ethical Approval:</p> <p>Provide a more detailed explanation of the ethical clearance process, ensuring transparency and adherence to ethical standards. Emphasize the importance of maintaining confidentiality and obtaining informed consent from participants.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>David M. Seward</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Huazhong University of Science and Technology, University in Wuhan, China, School of Public Administration, Liberia</p>