

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_107065
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital entrepreneurship in the light of contextual leadership intelligence: An analytical study in a sample of Iraqi private universities
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript is potentially important for the scientific community as it explores the intersection of contextual leadership intelligence and digital entrepreneurship, offering practical insights in an era of rapid digital transformation.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The literature review section provides a good overview of contextual leadership intelligence, its definitions, and its relevance to leadership and decision-making. However, it would be beneficial to include more recent research and references to support the concepts discussed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript could benefit from some minor grammar and style improvements. There are occasional instances of long sentences that could be broken down for clarity.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1) Throughout the manuscript, it would be advisable to include citations to support statements and claims. This will help establish the credibility of the research and show that it is based on existing literature.</p> <p>2) The manuscript does not provide a preview of the actual findings, which would be valuable for readers.</p> <p>3) While the methodology is mentioned, the manuscript does not provide details about the data collection process or the specific statistical methods used. It would be beneficial to include information on the questionnaire, data collection procedures, and the statistical analysis methods in the methodology section.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Ebenesar Anna Bagyam
Department, University & Country	Karpagam Academy of Higher Education, India