

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_107383
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of pH on Climate smart sorghum and green gram management for increased productivity in Tharaka-Nithi and Kitui Counties: A comparative observational and satellite-based pH approaches
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.- Yes, the study is relevant because it has a high match application with analytical field data vs. satellite data, so the usefulness of satellite data is of immediate use.</p> <p>2. Yes, it has implicit points on the topic discussed in the document, with relevance of soil pH for crop planning in arid and semi-arid areas.</p> <p>3.- Yes, the summary is comprehensible and has the essential elements of interest of the topic covered in the document, of technological information proven by laboratory data and achieves a high correlation.</p> <p>4.- does not apply, it only has the most important sections of the document.</p> <p>5.- Yes</p> <p>6.- Yes, it has sufficient references for the subject matter.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	will just have to check typographical details, overall it's fine.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Using a frequently assessed element in soil quality and comparing with satellite image data, it is very commendable to check their correlation and use them on a large scale for decision making. The brief is correct.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Maldonado-Vega
Department, University & Country	Hospital Regional De Alta Especialidad Del Bajio, Mexico