

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_106641
Title of the Manuscript:	Grievances of Post Graduate Residents in their training
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, this manuscript has some importance to the medical and sociology fields. It tries to evaluate and describe problems experienced by medical post-graduate residents.</p> <p>2- Yes, the title looks appropriate but I would suggest slightly changing it into "Grievances of medical post-graduate residents in their training: A cross-sectional study"</p> <p>3- Yes, the abstract is comprehensive but I would suggest to include a result subsection and to reduce length of conclusion in the abstract.</p> <p>4- Yes, the article is structured appropriately but a discussion section is missing.</p> <p>5- Yes, the article is scientifically correct in terms of describing the collected data.</p> <p>7- Yes, references are more than sufficient but not all of them are recent. I think authors used so many references for some paragraphs in the introduction.</p> <p>8- I am wondering why authors didn't include residents from other departments. In this study, they only invite residents from ophthalmology department. Collecting a larger and more diverse sample would be more appropriate.</p> <p>9- Authors are advised to add the words "cross-sectional" and "survey" into keywords.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Writing quality should be improved especially when considering punctuations.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq</b>