

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_106514
Title of the Manuscript:	Finite element analysis of bridge based on ANSYS
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript is not very important for scientific community</p> <p>Yes of course but this title is very general</p> <p>Abstract is Relatively suitable</p> <p>Title of subsections and structure is appropriate but not complete</p> <p>yes</p> <p>No. number of references is very low and should be added new references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No it should corrected</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1- Also, they should state their motivation and novelties of this study better to satisfy the audiences about its enrichment.</p> <p>2- The figures' quality is poor and they should be reproduced with better quality.</p> <p>3- How the reliability and also, the accuracy of the results of this study are ensured?</p> <p>4- Results and discussion section should be improved by expressing the physical meaning of the figures and tables and their reasons.</p> <p>5- Most of the references are for before 2015. Also, self-citation is seen that is not appropriate as much as seen. It is recommended strongly to use recent papers and also, avoid self-citation.</p> <p>6- The English problem is seen in the text of the manuscript. Therefore, it should be rechecked carefully to avoid any grammatical and typo errors.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mostafa Omid Bidgoli
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran