

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAERI_106884</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of diet and dietary crude protein content on the reproductive performance of the snail <i>Archachatina marginata</i> (Swainson, 1821)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. The work presented is of importance in the field of Agriculture and Ecology. It is important to take care of the form, style, syntax and give order and coherence to what you intend to communicate.</p> <p>2. The title of the manuscript seems appropriate.</p> <p>3. It should briefly describe the purpose of the work, the main reports that support the topic and the conclusions. The summary should be concise and informative.</p> <p>4. The structure is well marked, you just have to take care of the style.</p> <p>5. The work is susceptible to improvement both in substance and in the way it is written.</p> <p>6. The references seem to be sufficient; However, they must be written taking care of the style/form (according to the guidelines suggested by the journal), both in each of the paragraphs they support, and at the end of the list of references. Necessary suggestions to carry out. Reference to a journal: 1. Olagunju AT, Morgan JA, Aftab A, Gatchel JR, Chen P, Dols A, Sajatovic M, Regenold WT. A Review of the Evidence Base for Nutrition and Nutritional Supplements in Older Adults with Bipolar Disorder: A report from the OABD task force. J Frailty Aging. 2021;10(3):241-246. doi: 10.14283/jfa.2020.64. 2. Boyle NB, Lawton C, Dye L. The Effects of Magnesium Supplementation on Subjective Anxiety and Stress-A Systematic Review. Nutrients. 2017;9(5):429. doi: 10.3390/nu9050429.</p> <p>Reference to a book: 1. Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK. Pharmacology. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2003.</p> <p>Reference to Web-resource or Electronic articles. 1. Hugo JT, Mondal SC. Parallels between tissue repair and embryo morphogenesis: a conceptual framework. Global Health. 2006;16:4. Accessed 29 March 2012. Available: <a href="http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14">http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14</a>.</p> <p>It is important to consider the guidelines of writing - editing that gives the journal, and as an example the following conditions can be applied at work: This predominance of <i>A. lumbricoides</i> than any other GIPs agreed with some other reports [15,23,34,55]. On the other hand, da Silva et al. [19], Khanal et al. [2] and Hernández et al. [25] found <i>T. trichiura</i> predominant</p> <p>A minor revision is required regarding the writing of English.</p> <p>Where available, the URLs of the references are provided. The text must comply with the style and bibliographic requirements described in the guidelines for authors. The document presents some writing details (order, syntax, style) on which it is suggested to review and work. Review the wording of the information; The errors and conditions are marked in the document (in color) that the authors must review, analyze and make the corresponding modifications.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly</b></p>	<p>Where available, the URLs of the references are provided. The text must comply with the style and bibliographic requirements described in the guidelines for authors</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Authors are responsible for ensuring that the information in each reference is complete and accurate.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Benjamin Valladares Carranza</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Autonomous University of The State of Mexico, Mexico</b>