

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_106658
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Nutrient Uptake Efficiency in Soybean Varieties through System Intensification in Ridge and Furrow Planting System
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community because it is trying to address challenges for smallholder farmers especially in hilly areas.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable BUT, it will be more suitable if the author could paraphrase it to, "System intensification in ridges and furrow planting to enhance nutrient uptake efficiency in Soybean."</p> <p>3. No, the abstract of the article is not well structured and missing out much of the key information. Refer to the article to check my comments.</p> <p>4. NO, most of the subsections are inappropriately structured.</p> <p>5. Yes, scientifically it is correct but wrongly written. Serious Major revision must be done on it if it is to be published.</p> <p>6. The references are NOT sufficient and recent, most of the references are far much older than ten years. The author must read carefully the journal guidelines to understand better the reference style to use. It is always recommended to cited recent work dating only ten years back which is 2013 for this case.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. No, the English is NOT suitable for scholarly communication and needs a lot of improvement.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kidega Kenneth
Department, University & Country	Gulu University, Uganda