

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105465
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Selection Parameter To Emphasize Grain Yield And Its Components In Bread Wheat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is very important for the scientific community</p> <p>To make more specific, better to modify as "Study on Genetic Association of Grain Yield and Its Components In Bread Wheat Genotypes"</p> <p>Well done</p> <p>Yes, they are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, of course</p> <p>Some of them need to update</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No problem	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Generally, it is scientifically robust and technically sound. However, there are a number of editorial problems such as numbering for headings and sub-headings, font size, spacing between lines and between paragraphs are not consistent with the format. Secondly, the discussion parts are so shallow that most of them lack clear interpretation as what the results imply. Finally, the conclusion part looks a direct replication of the results, it must contain a brief summary of the core findings only.</p> <p>Thus, a serious revision may be required on the above mentioned sections before processing.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Abate
Department, University & Country	Samara University, Ethiopia