

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106662
Title of the Manuscript:	Harmful Pests of Honeybees and Their Management Tactics: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Comentários obrigatórios de REVISÃO</p> <p>1. Yes, the manuscript is very important for the scientific community, as it covers a very important topic: bee health, describing the worst diseases and pests for <i>Apis mellifera</i> bees.</p> <p>2. Perhaps the most appropriate title would be: "Review article: Diseases and pests harmful to <i>Apis mellifera</i> bees and their management tactics: A review". However, this would only be a suggestion from the reviewer.</p> <p>3. Yes, the Summary is comprehensive and contains all the information in the text.</p> <p>4. Yes, the review contains all the subsections and the structure in the manuscript.</p> <p>5. "Yes, the authors describe the main diseases and pests that harm beekeeping. The rules are correct, with a description of the disease/pest, the symptoms, how the pathogen is transmitted, and how to control the disease/pest. The manuscript provides new information on how to control the parasites <i>Nosema apis</i>, <i>Nosema ceranae</i> and the beetle <i>Aethina tumida</i>, which are the most recent pests in beekeeping worldwide."</p> <p>6. The manuscript contains a large number of citations, with new references, 75% of which are less than 10 years old, making the text up-to-date.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> <p>- I suggest that the authors do not use the Farenheit scale and replace it with the Celsius scale, as it is more widely understood. The use of the Farenheit scale is currently restricted to a few English-speaking countries.</p> <p>- The manuscript is of great importance to the scientific community, bringing new knowledge about bee pathology.</p> <p>➤ In my opinion, the manuscript can be published as soon as the suggestion (changing the Farenheit scale to the Celsius scale) is met.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guido Laércio Bragança Castagnino
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil