

Correlation and Path analysis of Sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]

Abstract

During Kharif 2017, 96 sorghum accessions were tested and characterised for several yield component characteristics and morphological factors at the Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology's Instructional Dairy Farm in Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India. A positive and significant correlation coefficient of component traits ensures their potential for selection in order to maximize fodder yield. In the present study green fodder yield and dry fodder yield were found to be significantly and positively associated with plant height (0.389, 0.351) and stem girth (0.476, 0.418). leaf length (0.011), stem girth (0.007), leaf width (0.001) and plant height (0.002) were observed to have a positive direct effect on green fodder yield. The findings of the research reveal noteworthy relationships between green fodder yield, dry fodder yield and specific morphological attributes. Therefore, these characters provide enormous opportunity for selection to improve yield. The present study has implications in current breeding programmes for developing improved varieties by highlighting the specific traits and parameters that can contribute significantly to higher fodder yield, breeders can strategically target these characteristics during the selection and breeding process. By identifying features that have a direct beneficial influence on yield, the study gives practical insights that can benefit fodder production and as a result, the livestock and agriculture industries.

Keywords: Correlation, path analysis, germplasm, evaluation, fodder yield, morphological traits

Introduction

“Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) is a diploid plant with $2n = 20$ somatic chromosomes that belongs to the Poaceae family” (1). It is thought to have originated in Northeastern Africa between 5000 and 8000 years ago (2;3). The Indian Subcontinent is the secondary origin of sorghum, with evidence of early grain production extending back roughly 4500 years (4). “Sorghum is adapted to a broad range of environmental conditions from the highlands of Ethiopia to the semi-arid Sahel. Tribal group has distributed the five different races of sorghum (Bicolor, Caudatum, Durra, Guinea, and Kafir) to wide range of places due to their movement in Africa (5; 6). Sorghum is the fifth most important grain crop, providing fodder and food all over the world” (7). “Sorghum is a staple diet for millions of poor rural people in Asia and Africa's semiarid tropics” (6).

Sorghum grain is rich in protein (11.3%), fat (3.3%), iron, zinc, phosphorus and B-complex vitamins. Red-grained sorghum is rich in tannins (antioxidants) that protects against cell damage (8; 9;10). “Forage sorghum can be used to make silage, hay and pasture for animal feed. The demand for fodder sorghum is expanding rapidly. To fulfil demand, there must be an increase in output, which should come from it or from a smaller area given the current scenario of dwindling agricultural land” (11). “The creation of a broad genetic basis, stable, and high-yielding sorghum cultivars need a continuous supply of new germplasm as a source of

favourable genes in breeding operations. The diverse germplasm is a fantastic resource for enhancing plant adaptation and other agronomic characteristics” (12).

“Because of its endurance to heat and drought stress, it is suited to a larger variety of ecological circumstances for other crops, including low soil moisture, hot and dry temperatures” (13;14). It is referred to as a high-energy crop due to its excellent water usage efficiency and solar energy conversion. It is produced mostly for fodder and food. Sorghum grain is a staple diet in Africa, Asia, and other low-income countries, as well as a fodder and feed crop for cattle (9). The primary goal of any plant breeding effort is to find and select superior genotypes with desirable features from a wide variety of breeding material by properly using the crop's available diversity. Correlation coefficients can be used to investigate the mutual relationship between different characters in order to develop appropriate selection criteria, whereas path coefficient analysis can be used to determine the direct and indirect causes of association between the dependent and independent variables. To begin an effective selection programme, both correlation and route analysis are necessary to analyze the relationship of numerous component characteristics and their direct and indirect impacts on yield contributing characters.

Material and method

During Kharif 2017, the current study was carried out at the Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology's Instructional Dairy Farm in Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India. “In an Augmented Block Design, 96 germplasm accessions were planted in two rows of three meters length with 45cm row spacing. For cultivation, the recommended package of practices were followed. Data was recorded on time to 50% flowering (days), plant height (cm), stem girth (cm), number of nodes per plant, panicle width(cm), leaf width (cm), leaf length (cm), flag leaf length(cm), flag leaf width(cm), 1000 grain weight per panicle(gm), panicle length (cm), HCN content (ppm), days to maturity, dry matter %, Brix%, protein content (%), dry fodder yield(g/plant) and green fodder yield(g/plant). Correlation coefficient and phenotypic path analysis was statistically estimated”(15;16).

Result and discussion

Correlation between yield component characters and estimates of direct and indirect effects of component characters on yield can be useful in predicting the correlated response to directional selection as well as identifying some characters that may not have value in themselves but are useful indices to consider in yield improvement. Green fodder yield correlated positively with plant height, stem girth, number of nodes, flag leaf width, flag leaf length, and dry fodder yield (Table1). As a result, these characteristics offer an incredible amount of potential for selection to boost green fodder output. The current study found a positive and substantial relationship between plant height and green fodder output per plant, and other studies found comparable findings (17;18;19;20 and 21). The yield of green fodder was shown to be strongly and positively related to leaf length, plant height, stem thickness, and dry matter content (22). Plant height and stem girth were shown to have a strong and favourable relationship with green forage output (21 and 5). Plant height and stem girth were shown to have a highly substantial and positive association with dry fodder output per plant (23 and 6). Leaf length and dry matter content were also shown to be strongly and positively linked with green fodder yield (24). Plant height, on the other hand, was shown to be positively linked with panicle length and panicle breadth (25). The number of leaves and green fodder output of fodder cowpea were shown to be positively related (26). Path analysis is used to determine the directed

relationships between variables. The efficiency of a character for direct selection is revealed by phenotypic path analysis when it has a positive and high direct influence on green fodder yield. In a breeding programme, a character with a high direct influence and positive and high indirect effects through other characteristics gives the ideal conditions for selection. In the current study, stem girth (cm), plant height (cm), number of nodes, leaf length (cm), leaf breadth (cm), and flag leaf length (cm) are the features that may be employed in selection programmes to increase fodder output. During the research, a positive direct influence of stem girth on green fodder output was discovered (Table 2), and comparable results were reported in other experiments (11; 27 and 28). Leaf breadth was discovered to have a direct favourable influence on green forage output (22). Green fodder output was shown to be positively affected by leaf length, plant height, and stem girth (20). The residual impact associated with a standard partial regression value of 0.0133 suggests that the remaining components other than those analyzed have a very minor contribution.

Conclusion

Green fodder yield correlated positively with plant height, stem girth, number of nodes, flag leaf width, flag leaf length, and dry fodder yield. As a result, these characteristics offer an incredible amount of potential for selection to boost green fodder output. In the current study, stem girth (cm), plant height (cm), number of nodes, leaf length (cm), leaf breadth (cm), and flag leaf length (cm) are the features that may be employed in selection programmes to increase fodder output.

Authors contributions

All authors have contributed equally.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare they have no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 Spangler, R., Zaitchik, B., Russo, E. and Kellogg, E. 1999. Andropogoneae Evolution and generic limits in sorghum (Poaceae) using ndhF sequences. *Systematic Botany*. Web of Science, 24(2), 267–281. doi:[10.2307/2419552](https://doi.org/10.2307/2419552)
- 2 De Candolle, A. 1884. Origin of Cultivated Plants, Hafner Publishing Company, New York.
- 3 Jean, P. 2008. USDA crop summary. Sorghum production www.nda.agric.za/Publication.
- 4 Mutegi, E., Sagnard, F., Muraya, M., Kanyenji, B., Rono, B., Mwongera, C., Labuschagne, M. 2010. Eco-geographical distribution of wild weedy and cultivated *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench in Kenya: Implications for conservation and crop-to-wild gene flow. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, 57(2), 243–253. doi:[10.1007/s10722-009-9466-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-009-9466-7)
- 5 Keerthana, K., Ganesan, K. N., Iyanar, K., Sivakumar, S. D., and Jeyakumar, P. 2022. Gene action and contribution of different traits for enhanced green fodder yield and quality in pearl millet napier interspecific hybrids. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 13(3), 1066–1076.
- 6 Rani, R., Khandelwal, V., Ramesh, S. J., Singh, A., & Kumar, V. 2022. Genetic variability and association analysis for dry fodder yield and its component traits in pearl millet inbred. *Forage Research*, 48(1), 57–61.

- 7 Doggett, H. 1988. Sorghum. *Longman Scientific, and Technical, London*.
- 8 Salah, M., Elsafi, M., & Nafisa, E. 2004. Efficacy of Apron star 42WS on control of covered and loses head smuts in sorghum. *In Proceedings of the 70th Meeting of the Pests and Diseases Committee*. 64.
- 9 Proietti, I., Frazzoli, C., & Mantovani, A. 2015. Exploiting nutritional value of staple foods in the world's semi-arid areas: Risks, benefits, challenges and opportunities of sorghum. *Healthcare*. Web of Science, 3(2), 172–193. doi:[10.3390/healthcare3020172](https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare3020172)
- 10 Khoddami, A., Messina, V., Vadabali Venkata, K., Farahnaky, A., Blanchard, C. L. and Roberts, T. H. 2023. Sorghum in foods: Functionality and potential in innovative products. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 63(9), 1170-1186.
- 11 Prakash, R., Ganesamurthy, K., Nirmalakumari, K. and Nagarajan, P. 2010. Correlation and path analysis in sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] *Electronic J. Plant Breeding*, 1(3):315-318.
- 12 Huang, Y. 2004. Evaluation of genetic diversity in sorghum germplasm using molecular markers. In *International Plant & Animal Genome XII Conference, San Diego, CA. Poster* (Vol. 265, p. 138).
- 13 Poehlman, J. M. 1987. Breeding sorghum and millet. In *Breeding field crops* (pp. 508-555). Springer, Dordrecht.
- 14 Wagaw, K. 2019. Review on mechanisms of drought tolerance in Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) basis and breeding methods. *Academic research journal of agricultural science and research*, 7, 87–99.
- 15 Searle, S. R. 1961. Phenotypic, genetic and environmental correlations. *Biometrics*, 17(3):474-480.
- 16 Dewey, D.R. and Lu, K.H. 1959. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested Wheat grass seed production. *Agro. J*, 51: 515-518.
- 17 Iyanar, K., Vijaykumar, G., and Khan, A.K.F. 2010. Correlation and path analysis in multicut fodder sorghum. *Electrical Journal of Plant Breeding*. 1(4):1006-1009.
- 18 Jain, S. K., and Patel, P. R. 2013. Variability, correlation and path analysis studies in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). *Forage Res*, 39(1): 27-30.
- 19 Khurd, G. N. 2013. *Genetic diversity studies in sudan grass* (Doctoral dissertation, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri.).
- 20 Singh, G., Sohu, R. S., Bhardwaj, R., and Rathore, P. 2018. Variability and character association studies in multi-cut fodder sorghum. *Journal of Hill Agriculture*, 9(1), 30-34.
- 21 Aswini, M. S., Ganesan, K. N., Ezhilarasi, T., & Sivakumar, S. D. 2022. Genetic studies on association and interrelationship of green fodder yield and fodder quality traits in hybrids of fodder pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.]. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 3(1), 01–06.
- 22 Khurd, G. N., Kadam, V. K., Chavan, B. H., and Dahat, D. V. 2018. Study on variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in Sudan grass (*Sorghum sudanense* L.). *IJCS*, 6(4), 08-11.
- 23 Singh, S., Yadav, Y. P., Yadav, H. P., Vart, D., and Yadav, N. 2014. Studies on genetic variability and traits association for grain yield and its components in pearl millet. *Forage Res.*, 40(2), 91–94.
- 24 Kumar, S., Babu, C., Revathi, S., and Iyanar, K. 2017. Estimation of Genetic Variability, Heritability and Association of Green fodder yield with Contributing Traits in Napier grass [*Pennisetum purpureum* Schum.]. *Vegetos-An International Journal of Plant Research*, 30(special), 463-468.

- 25 Mofokeng, M. A., Shimelis, H., Laing, M., and Shargie, N. 2019. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic gain for quantitative traits in South African sorghum genotypes. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 13(1), 1.
- 26 Navaselvakkumaran, T., Babu, C., Sudhagar, R., and Sivakumar, S. D. 2019. fodder yield and yield component traits in fodder cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 10(2), 720-726.
- 27 Jain, S. K., Elangovan, M., and Patel, N. V. 2010. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for agronomical traits in forage sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]. *Indian Journal of Plant Genetic Resources*, 23(1), 15.
- 28 Ramdevsinh, R. H. 2012. *study of variability, correlation and path analysis in forage sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]* (Doctoral dissertation, AAU, Anand).

Table 1: The coefficient of correlation between nineteen sorghum genotype characters.

Correlation Coefficient Analysis

Min.
0
Max.

Days to 50% flowering	1																		
Plant height (cm)	0.132	1																	
Stem girth (cm)	0.019	0.267	1																
Number of nodes	0.341	0.571	0.407	1															
Leaf length (cm)	-0.029	0.347	0.258	0.34	1														
Leaf width (cm)	0.02	0.209	0.393	0.266	0.014	1													
Flag leaf length (cm)	0.142	0.357	0.33	0.177	0.163	0.164	1												
Flag leaf width (cm)	0.171	0.242	0.486	0.189	0.165	0.445	0.636	1											
Panicle length (cm)	-0.145	0.415	-0.016	0.149	0.164	0.062	0.043	-0.148	1										
Panicle width (cm)	-0.018	0.397	0.302	0.363	0.087	0.306	0.087	0.09	0.471	1									
Days to maturity	0.73	0.11	0.008	0.325	-0.059	-0.026	0.164	0.144	-0.043	0.038	1								
HCN (ppm)	0.06	0.032	0.089	0.206	0.179	0.09	-0.096	-0.036	-0.038	0.057	0.152	1							
Protein content%	-0.003	-0.059	0.075	-0.046	0	0.044	-0.036	0.026	-0.077	0.08	-0.116	-0.006	1						
Brix %	0.042	0.103	-0.056	0.014	0.15	-0.027	0.093	0.175	0.074	0.1	0.028	0.239	-0.043	1					
IVDMD	-0.047	-0.13	-0.076	-0.096	0.088	0.098	0.064	0.127	0.095	0.006	-0.087	0.007	0.03	0.273	1				
Dry matter %	-0.463	0.001	-0.006	-0.188	0.042	0.019	-0.111	-0.166	0.194	0.148	-0.318	-0.054	0.198	-0.065	-0.021	1			
1000 seed weight (g)	0.113	0.066	0.187	0.218	0.286	0.215	0.014	0.114	0.098	0.083	0.027	0.215	-0.037	0.326	0.055	-0.077	1		
Dry fodder yield (g/plant)	-0.093	0.351	0.418	0.184	0.149	0.263	0.252	0.2	0.149	0.17	-0.101	0.159	0.039	0.207	0.08	0.468	0.133	1	
Green fodder yield (g/plant)	0.144	0.389	0.476	0.288	0.134	0.291	0.376	0.333	0.067	0.115	0.045	0.188	-0.048	0.259	0.126	0.009	0.171	0.88	1
	Days to 50% flowering	Plant height (cm)	Stem girth (cm)	Number of nodes	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf width (cm)	Flag leaf length (cm)	Flag leaf width (cm)	Panicle length (cm)	Panicle width (cm)	Days to maturity	HCN (ppm)	Protein content%	Brix %	IVDMD	Dry matter %	1000 seed weight (g)	Dry fodder yield (g/plant)	Green fodder yield (g/plant)

Table 2: The study of phenotypic path coefficients reveals the direct and indirect effects of 18 characters on green fodder yield.

		Path coefficient analysis																		
		Min.	0															Max.		
Days to 50 % flowering	0.144	0.041		-0.002	0.001	-0.005	0.001	0.001	0.006	-0.003	-0.001	-0.001	-0.023	0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	0.231	-0.001	-0.102
Plant height (cm)	0.389	0.002	0.005		0.002	-0.009	-0.003	0.003	0.016	-0.005	0.001	0.002	-0.003	0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.003	-0.003	-0.001	0.387
Stem girth (cm)	0.476	0.007	0.001	-0.001		-0.006	-0.002	0.003	0.014	-0.001	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.002	0.004	-0.002	0.003	-0.002	0.462
Number of nodes	0.288	0.015	0.014	-0.001	0.003		-0.003	0.004	0.007	-0.004	0.004	0.001	-0.01	0.003	-0.001	-0.001	-0.002	0.093	-0.002	0.203
Leaf length (cm)	0.134	0.011	-0.001	-0.007	-0.001	-0.005		0.002	0.007	-0.003	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.003	0.001	-0.001	0.002	-0.02	-0.003	0.165
Leaf width (cm)	0.291	0.001	0.008	-0.004	0.002	-0.004	-0.001		0.007	-0.001	0.001	0.001	0.008	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	-0.009	-0.002	0.291
Flag leaf length (cm)	0.376	0.045	0.005	-0.007	0.002	-0.002	-0.001	0.002		-0.001	0.001	0.004	-0.005	-0.001	-0.001	-0.008	0.001	0.055	-0.001	0.278
Flag leaf width (cm)	0.333	-0.002	0.007	-0.005	0.003	-0.003	-0.001	0.007	0.028		-0.004	0.004	-0.004	-0.006	0.007	-0.001	0.003	0.083	-0.001	0.221
Panicle length (cm)	0.067	0.001	-0.005	-0.008	-0.001	-0.002	-0.001	0.001	0.001	0.003		0.002	0.001	-0.001	-0.002	-0.006	0.002	-0.096	0.001	0.165
Panicle width (cm)	0.115	0.005	-0.007	-0.008	0.002	-0.005	-0.009	0.004	0.003	-0.002	0.001		-0.001	0.001	0.002	-0.008	0.001	-0.073	-0.001	0.187
Days to maturity	0.045	-0.032	0.03	-0.002	0.001	-0.005	0.006	-0.001	0.007	-0.003	-0.001	0.002		0.002	-0.003	-0.002	-0.002	0.158	-0.003	-0.111
HCN (ppm)	0.188	0.001	0.002	-0.001	0.001	-0.003	-0.002	0.001	-0.004	0.001	-0.001	0.003	-0.004		-0.001	-0.002	0.001	0.026	-0.002	0.175
Protein content %	-0.048	-0.002	-0.001	0.001	0.005	0.007	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.002	0.004	0.003	-0.001		0.003	0.007	-0.098	0.005	0.042
Brix %	0.259	-0.008	0.001	-0.002	-0.004	-0.002	-0.001	-0.001	0.004	-0.003	0.001	0.005	-0.009	0.004	-0.001		0.007	0.032	-0.004	0.229
IVDMD	0.126	0.026	-0.001	0.002	-0.005	0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.002	-0.002	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	-0.002		0.01	-0.007	0.087
Dry matter %	0.009	-0.499	-0.019	-0.001	-0.001	0.002	-0.004	0.001	-0.005	0.003	0.005	0.007	0.01	-0.001	0.005	0.005	-0.005		0.001	0.517
1000 seed weight (g)	0.171	-0.013	0.004	-0.001	0.001	-0.003	-0.003	0.003	0.006	-0.002	-0.001	0.001	-0.008	0.003	-0.001	-0.002	0.001	0.038		0.147
Dry fodder yield (g/plant)	0.88	0.105	-0.003	-0.007	0.003	-0.002	-0.001	0.004	0.011	-0.004	0.001	0.009	0.003	0.002	0.001	-0.001	0.002	-0.233	-0.001	
	Correlation with yield	Direct effect	Days to 50% flowering	Plant height (cm)	Stem girth (cm)	Number of nodes	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf width (cm)	Flag leaf length (cm)	Flag leaf width (cm)	Panicle length (cm)	Panicle width (cm)	Days to maturity	HCN (ppm)	Protein content%	Brix %	IVDMD	Dry matter %	1000 seed weight (g)	Dry fodder yield (g/plant)

Residual factor = 0.013