

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_107330
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO POLICE OFFICERS TURNOVER IN TANZANIA: EXPERIENCE FROM POLICE FORCE IRINGA MUNICIPALITY
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Manuscript important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article suitable.</p> <p>3. An abstract presentation to summarize the main points of contents, and emphasizing the key points of the work that really want to be presented, by a writing to short and concise of the presentation about to 10 - 12 lines (250 Words). Which, the consists of 3 important parts: introductory, objectives, methods of operation, summarizing important results in the overview of all tasks.</p> <p>4. In the discussion by explanation and extension of research findings accordant to objectives, and the findings are consistent with past research findings to support in the results as well as citing available sources of the documents. In the recommendation of presenting a way of applying research results that demonstrates the benefits and values under the research findings. (Concise, clear and comprehensive)</p> <p>5. Manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. References sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Grammar reviews.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pornchai Jedaman
Department, University & Country	Rajabath Maharakham University, Thailand