

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_107087
Title of the Manuscript:	Strangulated Pericecal Internal Hernia –Two Case Reports
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The article presents 2 cases of internal paracecal hernia, a relatively rare pathology, extremely suggestively documented, and useful for young surgical specialists. The intraoperative images are well chosen and help to understand this type of pathology and the surgical solutions.</p> <p>The chosen title is eloquent and faithfully reflects the content of the article.</p> <p>The abstract clearly presents the content of the article, providing all the important data found in the material.</p> <p>The article respects the patterns necessary for a presentation of clinical cases, the clinical circumstances, preoperative imaging and suggestive intraoperative images being detailed. The discussion and conclusion chapters are relevant and complete the clinical presentation.</p> <p>Certainly, the conducted study is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The bibliography is well chosen and eloquent to support this type of article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English used is correct, keywords are chosen correctly.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cirimbei Ciprian
Department, University & Country	Institute of Oncology, University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania