

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_106873
Title of the Manuscript:	THE INFLUENCE OF DEVELOPMENT AND ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF SMALL AND MEDIUM INDUSTRIES IN HULU SUNGAI TENGAH DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Scientific <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I am not pleased of it as scientific paper, demanding major work. 2. Article title <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • THE INFLUENCE OF DEVELOPMENT AND ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF SMALL AND MEDIUM INDUSTRIES IN HULU SUNGAI TENGAH DISTRICT • The number of words exactly 20, so it is ok, • But could be modified as follow: "Effect of developmental intervention on the development of small and medium industries in Hulu Sungai Tengah District" 3. Abstract <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The method and objectives is not well clarified 4. Manuscript structures 5. Correlation 6. References <p>Some gaps are observed in the reference as commented in the main document.</p> <p>For instance, journals are expected to be in italic font, but not.</p> <p>Some Authors are not well written in the form of scientific styles. Difficult to understand whether it is single Author name or two, if so should be written accordingly.</p> 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>There is redundancy in Hulu Sungai Tengah Regency, usage, 28/310 in the abstract and many many times in the body as indicated in Yellow shading. This should be replaced at least either in abbreviation or remarked as study area.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abraha Negash
Department, University & Country	Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia