

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_106173
Title of the Manuscript:	Enumerating the role of farm females in farm family decision making – a study in Manipur, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1-Yes partly, the manuscript tackled the issue of gender biasness in day to day activities, it highlighted the importance of enrolling women in farming and family decision making to fill gaps and thereby providing high crops yield and thus improving the socio-economic livelihood.</p> <p>2-The title can be reframed to be more elaborate and concise, such as (Evaluating the role of females in farming and family decision making –a study in Manipur, India.</p> <p>3-Yes</p> <p>4-All results should come before discussion and conclusion. No result should come after conclusion, except if appendix is allowed by the ethics of the journal.</p> <p>5-yes as it addresses a problem.</p> <p>6-No. More references can be added more importantly during discussion, as it is expected to relate the findings in the study with other authors finding. Most recent references can also be referred to and update current findings.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Average. There is need to improve on the grammar for simple communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The theme font should be uniform and align with the journal guideline.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatima Ahmed Abdullahi
Department, University & Country	Kaduna State University, Nigeria