

Original Research Article

Impact of Different Land Use Practices on size of Soil Aggregates And its Mean Weight Diameter Under Vertisols of Central India

Abstract:

Present study was carried out at JNKVV, Jabalpur (23⁰10' N latitude, 79⁰57' E longitudes and at elevation 393.0 meter above mean sea level) during 2019. Study was laid out in split plot design with nine main treatments of land use practices (forest land, perennial forage land, uncultivated land, aonla orchard, rice-wheat system, soybean-wheat system, guava orchard, mango orchard and citrus orchard) and three sub-plot treatments of soil depths (0-20, 20-40 and 40-60 cm) which were replicated three times. A total of 81 soil samples were subjected to determination of different size (> 5.0, 2.0-5.0, 1.0-2.0, 0.50-1.0, 0.25-0.50, 0.10-0.25 and <0.10 mm) water stable soil aggregates and mean weight diameter of soil aggregates. Results revealed that land use practices and soil depths significantly affect the mean weight diameter of soil aggregates, distribution of different size soil aggregates. It was noted that irrespective of soil depths, proportions of macro-aggregates (>0.50 mm size) and mean weight diameter were highest under forest land and lowest in soybean-wheat system. However, number of micro-aggregates (< 0.50 mm) increased with soil depths and macro-aggregates and mean weight diameter of soil aggregates were highest at 0-20 cm depth. Present study concluded that extent of soil disturbance significantly alters the proportion of macro-aggregates (>0.50 mm size) and mean weight diameter of water stable soil aggregates with higher in undisturbed (forest, uncultivated and perennial forage) land uses and lower in crop lands which decreased with increase in soil depths.

Introduction: Land use comprises the management and adjustment of natural environment or wasteland into assembled environment such as settlements and semi-natural habitats such as arable fields, pastures, and managed woods. Land use by means of human beings has an extensive history, first developed more than 10 thousand years ago. It has been defined as "the purposes and activities through which people interact with land and terrestrial ecosystems" and as "the whole of arrangements, activities, and inputs that individuals undertake in a certain land type." Land use is one of the most important drivers of global environmental change. Ellis *et al.*,

Comment [IK1]: The Abstract is still at the top and the rest of you sentence should be down.

Comment [IK2]: The present

Comment [IK3]: meters

Comment [IK4]: This study was carried out in 2019, are you sure that there is not updated information in your study area?

Comment [IK5]: Is this present study that you are talking it is in 2019 or 2023 please?

Comment [IK6]: I would like to know if there is any link between land use-size of soil aggregates and crop productivity?

Comment [IK7]: Please add Key word at the end of the abstract

2019. Land use and land cover (LULC) dynamic forces offer vital facts for the representatives to reunite forestry management practice and crop cultivation in the agroforestry landscape Pareta and Pareta, 2011.

In a broad logic of ecosystem, land denotes to landforms, climate, edaphic characters, plants, and water resources. Variations in LULC date back to early history and be situated the direct and indirect moment of human activities on the participating fundamentals of these resources Wulder *et al.*, 2016. The undesirable modifications subsidize suggestively to the damage to biodiversity and hostile climate change, mostly due to the change of forestry to farming areas, human settlement, and infrastructure Duguma *et al.*, 2019.

Anthropological activities have exaggerated about 75–83% of the global terrestrial land surface and has degraded about 60% of the ecosystem services through time and the human footmark has increased by just 9% Venter *et al.*, 2016. These modifications could have initially happened by means of the burning of vegetation areas to improve the natal of agriculture, resultant in the widespread deforestation and deprivation of soil's terrestrial exterior that lasts today with a greater extent and rate through the world Arevalo *et al.*, 2014. The LULC dynamic forces fixed with the growing human residents are disturbing worldwide atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gas (GHG) in diverse ways Băltesanu *et al.*, 2013.

The main causes of increasing CO₂ associated to land use have been the alteration of natural vegetation, shrub, and wetlands to farming land and settlements, which has been aggravated by road creation and other substructures. Considerate the position of LULC is energetic for the assortment and the potentials for ideal use of land-use kinds to encounter the growing anxieties for rudimentary human needs in agreement with ecological protection Rawat and Kumar, 2015. A mixture of crop and farming trees in agroforestry land-use type typically provides to alleviate climate alteration and bring innumerable outcomes in ecological, economic, and social services Jarzebski and Gasparatos, 2019.

Aggregate is a basic unit of soil structure, and it is an intermediate form of single grained and massive structures, aggregates as a naturally occurring cluster or group of primary particles stabilized by cementing materials like organic matter, iron and aluminum oxides, carbonate and/or silica. The formation and maintenance of stable aggregate is essential feature of soil tilth and they are more sensitive to land use management practices Six *et al.* 2004. Distribution and

stability of soil aggregate are the key indicator of soil quality and physical health of soil as it reflects the conditions of many physical properties viz. bulk density, porosity, water retention and release behavior, air and temperature movement in soils, erosivity of soil and can facilitate many chemical and biological processes in soil Cavalieri *et al.*, 2009; Saree *et al.*, 2012. The stability and distribution of different size aggregates [coarse macro-aggregate (> 2.0 mm), meso-aggregate (2.0 - 0.25 mm) and micro-aggregate (< 0.25 mm)] is greatly influenced by land-use practices and soil depth Shrestha, 2004. Mean weight diameter (MWD) of soil aggregates is the most widely used index for size distribution of stable aggregates in soil and an important indicator of soil health as it directly affects different physical, chemical and biological properties of soil and greatly influenced by changes in land use practices and soil depth Celik, 2005; Gajic *et al.*, 2006.

Distribution and stability of soil aggregates is determined by the quality and quantity of associated carbon fractions Jastrow *et al.*, 1998. Soil organic carbon plays an important role in the formation and stabilization of soil aggregates Spohn and Giani., 2011. There exists a close relationship between soil aggregation and soil organic carbon accumulation because SOC directly promotes soil aggregation through its binding action. The extent of carbon retention in soil depends on the nature of aggregation. Carbon sequestration in soil could be enhanced through promotion of aggregation and amount of organic carbon fractions associated with different size soil aggregates. This might be an effective strategy to mitigate the increasing concentration of atmospheric CO₂ through judicious land use management practices Bajracharya *et al.*, 1998; Bronick and Lal, 2005.

Material and Methods

Study area

Present study was carried out during 2019 under different land use practices (forest land, perennial fodder land, uncultivated land, wheat-soybean cropping system, rice-wheat cropping system, mango orchard, citrus orchard, guava orchard and aonla orchard) at horticultural research farms of Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur. The experimental site is situated at 23^o10' N latitude, 79^o57' E longitudes and at elevation 393.0 meter above mean sea level in the South-Eastern part of the Madhya Pradesh and central India. The sites of forest land, uncultivated land and different orchards were more than fifteen years old, while fields of

Comment [IK8]: Please add it

Comment [IK9]: Please add a map of the study area

perennial forage, soybean-wheat and rice-wheat cropping systems in same practice from last ten years. Soil of the study sites were swell-shrink type vertisols having dark greyish brown to dark yellowish-brown colour belongs to *Kheri* series of fine Montmorillonite hyperthermic family of *Typic Haplustert* and known as medium black soil. The climate in the Jabalpur is generally nice and respectable. The tropic of cancer passes through the middle of the district. It has sub-tropical climate characterized by hot dry summers and cool winters. Jabalpur lies in the “*Kymore Plateau and Satpura hills*” agro-climatic zone of Madhya Pradesh. The average maximum temperatures during the month of June-July varies between 41.8 to 44.4 °C and are the hottest month of the year, while the average minimum temperature ranges from 5.4 to 9.3 °C during December-January, which are the coldest month of the year. The average annual rainfall over the district is 1276 mm which is mostly received between June to September (summer monsoon) and a little rainfall (74 to 176 mm) received during October to May. The average humidity of the region is about 74 per cent and average evaporation is 3.94 mm day⁻¹.

Table 1: Experimental details and treatments:

Main plot treatments (Land use practices)	: L ₁ : Forest land L ₂ : Perennial forage land L ₃ : Uncultivated land L ₄ : Aonla orchard L ₅ : Rice-Wheat system L ₆ : Soybean-Wheat system L ₇ : Guava orchard L ₈ : Mango orchard L ₉ : Citrus orchard
Sub-plot treatments (Soil depths)	: D ₁ : 0-20 cm D ₂ : 20-40 cm D ₃ : 40-60 cm
Replications	: 03
Design of Study	: Split plot design
Number of samples	: 81 (09 x 03 x 03)

Collection of soil samples

Soil samples were collected in three replicates from selected sites in different land uses (forest, perennial forage, uncultivated, soybean-wheat, rice-wheat, mango orchard, citrus orchard, guava

orchard and aonla orchard) at 0-20, 20-40 and 40-60 cm depths using post hole auger sampler. The study, focus on different soil aggregates and mean weight diameter. The detail accounts of methodologies followed during course of present study are described under following sub-heads:

Determination of aggregate size distribution:

The wet sieving technique as prescribed in modified Yoder's sieving method (Yoder, 1936) was used for aggregate size analysis. Soil samples collected at 0-20, 20-40, and 40-60 cm from each land uses were brought to the laboratory and air dried then broken gently with cleavage. Samples were cleaned by removing roots, lime concretion, larger stone *etc.* For aggregate size analysis 200 g of air-dried clod passed through 8.0 mm sieve and retained on 5.0 mm sieve were taken. Entire (200 g) soil sample was placed on the top (5.0 mm sieve) of the sieve set (5.0, 2.0, 1.0, 0.5, 0.25, 0.1 mm) from top to bottom. Spread the soil samples (200g) evenly in top sieve (5.0 mm) and 10-15 ml of salt free water was sprayed on soil and after 5 minutes another 5 ml of water have been sprayed. Then sieve set was transferred to the drum of sieve shaker and clamped in position. Drum was filled with salt free water up to a level slightly below the top sieve keeping it in highest position. On the oscillator switch and let the sieve oscillate in water for 10 minutes with a frequency of 30 cycles per minutes through a stroke length of about 3.8 cm. Sieves set was too out of drum and drain of water was allowed then sieves were separated and placed on paper sheet and aggregate retained on each sieve were allowed to dry and harden in air. Amount of soil material retained on each sieve was dried in an oven at 105 °C for 24 hours and proportion of each size fraction of soil aggregates in percentage was computed.

Determination of mean weight diameter of soil aggregate

Mean weight diameter of soil aggregate is commonly used index of soil structure. It gives an estimate of weighted percentage of average size of all aggregates. The mean diameter of any particular size range of aggregates (X_i) is multiplied by the weight of the aggregates in that size range as a fraction of total dry weight of sample analysed (W_i). The sum of the products gives the MWD in mm.

$$MWD = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i W_i$$

Comment [IK10]: Please try to explain in detail the exact methodology of the soil sample how do you sample soil in different land use, composite sampling?,

Comment [IK11]: Which one please

Where,

X_i is mean diameter of i^{th} size fraction in mm; n is number of size ranges and W_i is the weight of aggregates of size fraction.

Statistical analysis

The data pertaining to each character of the soil were tabulated and analysed statistically by applying the standard technique analysis of variance for split plots design was worked out and the significance of treatments were tested to draw valid conclusion as described by Gomez and Gomez, 1984. The differences of treatments mean were tested by 'F' test of significance on the basis of null hypothesis. Critical differences were worked out at 5 percent level of probability where 'F' test was significant. If the variance ratios (F-test) were found significant at 5% level of significance, the standard error of mean (SEM_{\pm}) and critical differences (CD) were calculated accordingly.

Comment [IK12]: Please mention the statistical software that you used to analyse the data

Result and discussion

Results obtained during the present study have enumerated in different points. The results of the study obtained and analysis carried out to determine the distribution of different size soil aggregates and mean weight diameter of aggregates in relation to contrast land use practices (forest, uncultivated and perennial fodder lands; soybean-wheat and rice-wheat systems; mango, citrus, guava and aonla orchards) and soil depths (0-20, 20-40, and 40-60 cm) are presented under following heads:

Effect of land use practices and soil depth on distribution of different size soil aggregate:

Data pertaining to effect of land use practices and soil depths on distribution of different size soil aggregate are presented in table 2. It is evident from the data that distribution of different size aggregate fractions (> 5.0, 2.0-5.0, 1.0-2.0, 0.5-1.0, 0.25-0.5, 0.1-0.25 and < 0.1 mm) were significantly affected by land use practices and soil depths but the interaction effect of land use practices and soil depths on distribution of different size aggregate fractions have been found non-significant. Dick, 1997 reported that land use strongly influences the soil properties like distribution and stability of aggregates due to change in types of vegetation, frequency and intensity of tillage, use of organic matter and depth of soil.

Comment [IK13]: Please this reference is too old, look for a recent one.

Data revealed that proportion of soil aggregates having size > 5.0 mm was highest (9.4%) under forest land which have been significantly superior over those obtained under perennial fodder land (7.4%), aonla orchard (6.7%), rice-wheat system (4.4%), soybean-wheat system (4.3%), guava orchard (7.5%), mango orchard (7.6%) and citrus orchard (6.7%) but statistically at par with uncultivated land (9.0%). Whereas, proportion of > 5.0 mm size soil aggregates under perennial fodder land, aonla, guava, mango and citrus orchards were statistically on par but found significantly superior over those obtained under rice-wheat and soybean-wheat systems which were statistically at par. Data also showed that amount of > 5.0 mm size soil aggregates decreased significantly with successive increase in soil depth and highest (8.5%) value was found at 0-20 cm depth and lowest (5.4 %) at 40-60 cm depth. The results are found similarly by Nascente *et al.*, 2015; Lawal *et al.*, 2009 and reported that fractions of macro-aggregates were significantly higher in forest land as compared to cultivated land and proportions of micro-aggregates increased with soil depth irrespective of land use practices.

Data further showed that proportion of soil aggregates having size 5.0-2.0, 2.0-1.0 and 1.0-0.5 mm were highest (17.0, 21.7 and 18.1%) under forest land which had been statistically on par with those obtained in uncultivated land (15.5, 21.6 and 18.1%), respectively and significantly superior over those found under perennial fodder land (15.0, 20.9 and 16.9%), aonla orchard (13.6, 18.4 and 17.7%), rice-wheat system (9.3, 15.1 and 16.2%), soybean-wheat system (8.5, 15.4 and 16.3%), guava orchard (13.1, 18.5 and 14.4%), mango orchard (12.6, 18.9 and 15.3%) and citrus orchard (11.7, 17.2 and 16.5%) respectively. Data also indicated that proportion of soil aggregates having size 5.0-2.0, 2.0-1.0 and 1.0-0.5 mm were decreased significantly at successive depth increases, with highest (15.2, 21.6 and 20.3 %), respectively at 0-20 cm depth and lowest at 40-60 cm depth. The similar findings was reported by Shrestha and Lal (2008) and found that water-stable macro-aggregates (>2 mm) in forest and pasture land soils were 24, 90 and 66%, and 13, 74 and 43% higher than arable land at 0-5, 5-15, and 15-30 cm depths, respectively.

Data presented in table 2 also revealed that proportions of 0.5-0.25 and 0.25-0.10mm size aggregate fractions were maximum (21.8 and 20.0%) under rice-wheat system which had been statistically at par with those under soybean-wheat system (21.5 and 20.0 %) but significantly higher over those found under forest land (12.1 and 11.9%), perennial fodder land (13.4 and

Comment [IK14]: Give me an explanation about the fact that macro-aggregates were significantly higher in forest land as compared to cultivated land in your study area before to compare your result with other authors.

13.7%), uncultivated land (11.9 and 12.1%), aonla orchard (15.2 and 16.0 %), guava orchard (14.6 and 13.6%), mango orchard (15.1 and 13.7%) and citrus orchard (15.0 and 15.3%), respectively. It was also noticed that proportions of 0.5-0.25- and 0.25-0.10-mm size aggregates increased significantly with consecutive increase in soil depths and found highest (19.2 and 20.1 %) at 40-60 cm depth, respectively. The similar findings also reported by Manna *et al.*, 2007 macro-aggregates having size range of 0.25 to 2 mm were dominant (43–61%) in surface (0–15 cm soil. And also reported by Gebremariam and Kebede, 2010 stated that WSA in surface soils of the three land uses ranged from 46.86 to 52.55% with highest in forest land and lowest in farmland.

Table2: Effect of land uses and soil depth on distribution of different size soil aggregates

Treatments	Distribution of different size soil aggregates (%)						
	> 5.0 mm	2.0-5.0 mm	1.0-2.0 mm	0.5-1.0 mm	0.25-0.5 mm	0.10-0.25 mm	< 0.10 mm
Land use practices (Main plots)							
L₁: Forest land	9.4	17.0	21.7	18.1	12.1	11.9	9.8
L₂: Perennial forage land	7.4	15.0	20.9	16.9	13.4	13.7	12.7
L₃: Uncultivated land	9.0	15.5	21.6	18.1	11.9	12.1	11.7
L₄: Aonla orchard	6.7	13.6	18.4	17.7	15.2	16.0	12.4
L₅: Rice-Wheat system	4.4	9.3	15.1	16.2	21.8	20.3	12.8
L₆: Soybean-Wheat system	4.3	8.5	15.4	16.3	21.5	20.0	14.0
L₇: Guava orchard	7.5	13.1	18.5	14.4	14.6	13.6	18.2
L₈: Mango orchard	7.6	12.6	18.9	15.3	15.1	13.7	16.9
L₉: Citrus orchard	6.7	11.7	17.2	16.5	15.0	15.3	17.6
SEm ±	0.28	0.53	0.54	0.42	0.49	0.41	0.21
CD (<i>p</i>=0.05)	0.81	1.55	1.56	1.23	1.45	1.19	0.56
Soil Depth (Sub-plots)							
D₁ 0-20 cm	8.5	15.2	21.6	20.3	10.6	8.2	15.7
D₂ 20-40 cm	7.0	14.0	17.5	17.1	17.1	17.2	10.0

D₃40-60 cm	5.4	9.6	16.9	12.5	19.2	20.1	16.3
SEm ±	0.13	0.22	0.31	0.38	0.24	0.26	0.15
CD (p=0.05)	0.35	0.62	0.86	1.09	0.66	0.72	0.43
Interaction (M x S)							
SEm ±	0.37	0.67	0.98	1.13	0.96	0.89	0.44
CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.28

Data further revealed that proportion of soil aggregates of size < 0.10 mm was significantly affected by different land use practices and soil depths. It was maximum (18.2%) under guava orchard followed by citrus orchard (17.6%) and mango orchard (16.9%), while minimum (9.8%) in forest land followed by uncultivated land (11.7%). Data clearly indicated that proportion of soil aggregates of size < 0.10 mm was significantly affected by soil depths with highest (16.3%) at 40-60 cm followed by 0-20 cm (15.7%) and lowest (10.0%) at 20-40 cm depth. The similar findings were reported by Kalhor *et al.*, 2017.

Comment [IK15]: This part is more result than discussion please could you try to discuss the result a lite bit

Effect of land use practices and soil depths on mean weight diameter of soil aggregates:

Mean weight diameter (MWD) of soil aggregates is an important indicator of soil quality in terms of movement of nutrients, water, air and temperature in soil which ultimately regulates the root growth. The data pertaining to effect of land use practices and soil depth on MWD of soil aggregates are presented in table 3. It is clearly evident from the data that MWD of soil aggregates was significantly affected by land use practices and soil depth and their interaction as well.

Data clearly showed that among the land uses practices largest (1.30 mm) MWD of soil aggregates was found under forest land followed by uncultivated land (1.24 mm) and perennial forage land (1.13 mm) while smallest (0.78 mm) MWD have been obtained under soybean-wheat system followed by rice-wheat system (0.80 mm). The similar findings were reported by Debasish *et al.*, 2011 also suggested that mean weight diameter of soil aggregates was significantly higher in forest land over the cultivated soil. And the results might be due to extent of soil disturbances. Data also revealed that MWD of soil aggregates under forest and uncultivated lands were statistically on par but significantly superior over those found in other

land uses. Similarly, MWD of soil aggregates under aonla, guava and mango orchards were statistically at par but significantly superior over those obtained under rice-wheat and soybean-wheat systems and citrus orchard. And also, similar findings reported by Arnab *et al.*, 2012 and states that higher amount of WSA of size >2 mm in grassland (95.7%) and lowest in agriculture (50.5%) and eroded land (40.1%) at 0-15 cm soil depth. Data also revealed that (MWD) of soil aggregates significantly decreased with increase in soil depth and it was highest (1.20 mm) at 0-20 cm depth followed by 1.09 mm (20-40 cm) and smallest (0.83 mm) at 40-0 cm depth. The similar findings also reported by Somasundaram *et al.*, 2012; Emadi *et al.*, 2009. Interaction effect of land use practices and soil depths on mean weight diameter of soil aggregates was also found significant. MWD of soil aggregates under forest land at 0-20 cm (1.53 mm), 20-40 cm (1.33 mm) and 40-60 cm (1.04 mm) depths were statistically at par with those found under uncultivated land but significantly higher than the other land uses at respective depths. The similar findings were reported by Kubare *et al.*, 2018; Nascentee *et al.*, 2015. And also reported by Gajic *et al.*, 2006 and states that higher amount of macro-aggregate and larger MWD in forest soil and micro-aggregates (< 0.25) and smaller MWD in cultivated soil at 0-20 cm soil depth.

Comment [IK16]: Same conclusion, please discuss the results

Table 3: Effect of land use practices and soil depths on mean weight diameter of soil aggregates

Treatments		Mean weight diameter of soil aggregates (mm)									
Soil Depth(cm)	Land uses	FLL	PF	UL	AO	RW	SW	GO	MO	CO	Mean
		L ₁	L ₂	L ₃	L ₄	L ₅	L ₆	L ₇	L ₈	L ₉	
D₁ (0-20 cm)		1.53	1.36	1.43	1.23	0.94	0.84	1.16	1.16	1.10	1.20
D₂ (20-40 cm)		1.33	1.15	1.26	1.13	0.86	0.85	1.10	1.09	1.08	1.09
D₃ (40-60 cm)		1.04	0.87	1.02	0.79	0.61	0.64	0.91	0.86	0.74	0.83
Mean		1.30	1.13	1.24	1.05	0.80	0.78	1.06	1.04	0.97	1.04
Comparison of main plot (Land use practices) treatments										SEm ±	0.024
										CD (p=0.05)	0.069

Comparison of sub-plot (Soil depth) treatments	SEm ±	0.010
	CD (p=0.05)	0.027
Comparison of main plots at the same level of sub-plot treatments	SEm ±	0.029
	CD (p=0.05)	0.082
Comparison of sub-plot at the same or different levels of main treatments	SEm ±	0.022
	CD (p=0.05)	0.062

Note: Forest land (FL), perennial forage (PF), Uncultivated land (UL), Aonla orchard (AO), Rice-wheat (RW), Soybean-Wheat (SW), Guava Orchard (GO), Mango Orchard (MO), Citrus Orchard (CO).

Conclusion: The present study investigated those macro-aggregates (> 0.50 mm size) were higher under undisturbed lands (forest, uncultivated and perennial forage), followed by least disturbed (orchard land) and lowest under crop land (rice-wheat and soybean-wheat systems), whereas, amount of micro-aggregate (< 0.50 mm size) fractions increased with soil depth and macro-aggregates were maximum at 0-20 cm depth. Mean weight diameter of soil aggregates was significantly affected by land use practices and soil depths with highest under forest land and lowest in soybean-wheat system. And also, the mean weight diameter of soil aggregates was decreased with increase in soil depth.

Comment [IK17]: Add recommendation please

References:

- Arevalo, C. B., Bhatti, J. S., Chang, S. X., & Sidders, D. (2011). Land use change effects on ecosystem carbon balance: from agricultural to hybrid poplar plantation. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 141(3-4), 342-349.
- Arnab B. 2012 Soil erodibility and organic carbon dynamics in relation to land use and soil type in sub montane Punjab. M.Sc. thesis, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India.
- Bajracharya, R. M., Lal, R., & Kimble, J. M. (1998). Soil organic carbon distribution in aggregates and primary particle fractions as influenced by erosion phases and landscape position. *Soil processes and the carbon cycle*, 353-367.

- Bălteanu, D., Dragotă, C. S., Popovici, A., Dumitrașcu, M., Kucsicsa, G., & Grigorescu, I. (2013). Land use and crop dynamics related to climate change signals during the post-communist period in the south Oltenia, Romania. In *Proc. Rom. Acad* (Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 265-278).
- Blanco-Canqui, H, and Lal R. 2008. No-tillage and soil-profile carbon sequestration: an on-farm assessment. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 72(3): 693-701.
- Bronick, C. J., & Lal, R. (2005). Manuring and rotation effects on soil organic carbon concentration for different aggregate size fractions on two soils in northeastern Ohio, USA. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 81(2), 239-252.
- Cavaliere, K. M. V., da Silva, A. P., Tormena, C. A., Leão, T. P., Dexter, A. R., & Håkansson, I. (2009). Long-term effects of no-tillage on dynamic soil physical properties in a Rhodic Ferrasol in Paraná, Brazil. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 103(1), 158-164.
- Celik I. 2005. Land-use effects on organic matter and physical properties of soil in a southern Mediterranean highland of Turkey. *Soil and Tillage research*, 83(2): 270-277.
- Dick, R. P. (1997). Soil enzyme activities as integrative indicators of soil health.
- Duguma, L. A., Atela, J., Minang, P. A., Ayana, A. N., Gizachew, B., Nzyoka, J. M., & Bernard, F. (2019). Deforestation and forest degradation as an environmental behavior: unpacking realities shaping community actions. *Land*, 8(2), 26.
- Ellis, Erle; Goldewijk, Kees Klein; Gaillard, Marie-José; Kaplan, Jed O.; Thornton, Alexa; Powell, Jeremy; Garcia, Santiago Munevar; Beaudoin, Ella; Zerboni, Andrea (2019-08-30). "Archaeological assessment reveals Earth's early transformation through land use". *Science*. 365 (6456): 897–902.
- Emadi, M., Baghernejad, M., & Memarian, H. R. (2009). Effect of land-use change on soil fertility characteristics within water-stable aggregates of two cultivated soils in northern Iran. *Land Use Policy*, 26(2), 452-457.
- Franzluebbers A J, Arshad M A. 1997. Soil microbial biomass and mineralizable carbon of water- stable aggregates. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 61(4): 1090-1097.
- Gajic B, Tapanarova A, Tomic Z, Kresovic B, Vujovic D and Pekic, B. 2013. Land use effects on aggregation and erodibility of Luvisols on undulating slopes. *Australian Journal of Crop Science* 7(8): 1198.

- Gajic, B., Dugalic, G., & Djurovic, N. (2006). Comparison of soil organic matter content, aggregate composition and water stability of gleyic fluvisol from adjacent forest and cultivated areas. *Agronomy Research*, 4(2), 499-508.
- Gebremariam M, and Kebede F. 2010. Land use change effect on soil carbon stock, above ground biomass, aggregate stability and soil Crust: A case from TahtayAdyabo, North Western Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. *J. Drylands*, 3(2): 220-225.
- Gebremariam, M., & Kebede, F. (2010). Land use change effect on soil carbon stock, above ground biomass, aggregate stability and soil Crust: A case from TahtayAdyabo, North Western Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. *J. Drylands*, 3(2), 220-225.
- Gomez, K. A., & Gomez, A. A. (1984). *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*. John Wiley & sons.
- Jarzebski, M. P., & Gasparatos, A. (2019). Land use change, carbon stocks and tree species diversity in green spaces of a secondary city in Myanmar, Pyin Oo Lwin. *PLoS one*, 14(11), e0225331.
- Jastrow J D. 1996. Soil aggregate formation and the accrual of particulate and mineral-associated organic matter. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 28(4-5): 665-676.
- Jastrow, J. D., Miller, R. M., & Lussenhop, J. (1998). Contributions of interacting biological mechanisms to soil aggregate stabilization in restored prairie. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 30(7), 905-916.
- Kalhor S A, Xu X, Chen W, Hua R, Raza S and Ding K. 2017. Effects of different land-use systems on soil aggregates: a case study of the Loess Plateau (Northern China). *Sustainability* 9(8): 1349.
- Kubar, K. A., Huang, L., Lu, J., Li, X., Xue, B., & Yin, Z. (2018). Integrative effects of no-tillage and straw returning on soil organic carbon and water stable aggregation under rice-rape rotation. *Chilean journal of agricultural research*, 78(2), 205-215.
- Manna, M. C., Swarup, A., Wanjari, R. H., Mishra, B., & Shahi, D. K. (2007). Long-term fertilization, manure and liming effects on soil organic matter and crop yields. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 94(2), 397-409.
- Nascente A S, Li Y and Crusciol C A C. 2015. Soil aggregation, organic carbon concentration, and soil bulk density as affected by cover crop species in a no-tillage system. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo* 39(3): 871-879.
- Pareta, K., & Pareta, U. (2011). Forest carbon management using satellite remote sensing techniques: a case study of Sagar district (MP). *International Scientific Research Journal*, 3(4), 335-348.

- Puget P, Angers D A and Chenu C. 1998. Nature of carbohydrates associated with water-stable aggregates of two cultivated soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 31(1): 55-63.
- Rawat, J. S., & Kumar, M. (2015). Monitoring land use/cover change using remote sensing and GIS techniques: A case study of Hawalbagh block, district Almora, Uttarakhand, India. *The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*, 18(1), 77-84.
- Rawat, J. S., & Kumar, M. (2015). Monitoring land use/cover change using remote sensing and GIS techniques: A case study of Hawalbagh block, district Almora, Uttarakhand, India. *The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*, 18(1), 77-84.
- Saree, S., Ponphang-nga, P., Sarobol, E., Limtong, P., & Chidthaisong, A. (2012). Soil carbon sequestration affected by cropping changes from upland maize to flooded rice cultivation. *Journal of Sustainable Energy & Environment*, 3, 147-152.
- Shrestha B M, Singh B R, Sitaula B K, Lal R, and Bajracharya R M. 2007. Soil aggregate-and particle-associated organic carbon under different land uses in Nepal. *Soil Sci. Soc. of Am. J* 71(4): 1194-1203.
- Shrestha, R. K., & Lal, R. (2008). Land use impacts on physical properties of 28 years old reclaimed mine soils in Ohio. *Plant and soil*, 306(1), 249-260.
- Six J A E T, Elliott E T and Paustian K. 2000. Soil macroaggregate turnover and microaggregate formation: a mechanism for C sequestration under no-tillage agriculture. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 32(14): 2099-2103.
- Somasundaram, J., Singh, R. K., Ali, S., Sethy, B. K., Singh, D., Lakaria, B. L., ... & Sinha, N. K. (2012). Soil aggregates and other properties as influenced by different long-term land uses under table landscape topography of Chambal region, Rajasthan, India. *Indian Journal of Soil Conservation*, 40(3), 212-217.
- Spohn M and Giani L. 2011. Impacts of land use change on soil aggregation and aggregate stabilizing compounds as dependent on time. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43(5): 1081-1088.
- Venter, O., Sanderson, E. W., Magrach, A., Allan, J. R., Beher, J., Jones, K. R., ... & Watson, J. E. (2016). Sixteen years of change in the global terrestrial human footprint and implications for biodiversity conservation. *Nature communications*, 7(1), 1-11.
- Wulder, M. A., White, J. C., Loveland, T. R., Woodcock, C. E., Belward, A. S., Cohen, W. B., ... & Roy, D. P. (2016). The global Landsat archive: Status, consolidation, and direction. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 185, 271-283.

Yoder, R. E. (1936). A direct method of aggregate analysis of soils and a study of the physical nature of erosion losses.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

