

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106515
Title of the Manuscript:	Household food security through wetland resources with adaptation to climate change: An empirical analysis of Ranikor, Meghalaya
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Climate change is identified as a major threat to wetlands. In view of this, the theme for discussion occupies a pivotal role and very apt for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title found to be lengthy, it can be presented precisely. It could be: "Food security and wetland resources with reference to climate change" or 'An Empirical study of Wetland resources and food security in Meghalaya with regard to climate change'.</p> <p>3. Abstract consist of 247 words with 4 key words. Words like re-digging of canal, construction of embankments, poultry rearing etc., may be incorporated.</p> <p>4. Introduction, methodology, results and discussion and conclusions were elaborately discussed, leaving little room for review of related literature.</p> <p>5. The validity and the reliability of the tools may be discussed.</p> <p>6. Just six references were cited, that too they are not in order and no recent references were seen. It seems to be incomplete. Moreover, please follow standard MLA format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The presentation may be presented with a refined linguistic skills.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Pay utmost attention to revise references</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Samuel Babu
Department, University & Country	Holy Mary Institute of Technology & Science, India