

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_106449
Title of the Manuscript:	OCCURRENCE OF AFLATOXIN IN READY-TO-EAT ROASTED SNACKS OBTAINED FROM PORT HARCOURT AND OWERRI, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, but it is restricted to certain local areas.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Not all are recent, they need excessive revision as indicated in the revised version</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It needs more revision as indicated in the revised version.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Comments and Suggestions</p> <p>The paper, titled "OCCURRENCE OF AFLATOXIN IN READY-TO-EAT ROASTED SNACKS OBTAINED FROM PORT HARCOURT AND OWERRI, NIGERIA" aimed to ascertain the safety of vended ready-to-eat cashew, groundnut, and breadfruit. The authors studied the chemical composition of these nuts.</p> <p>Also, Fungi contaminating these foodstuffs were recorded, in addition to assessing their aflatoxigenicity, and the contents of aflatoxins were also addressed.</p> <p>The following point needs to be addressed to improve the manuscript.</p> <p>The manuscript contains major corrections and questions requiring answers and revision, as indicated in the enclosed revised version.</p> <p>The abstract has grammatical errors and questions to be answered.</p> <p>The Introduction needs more updating and supporting references. Additionally, there are repetitions and unnecessary details.</p> <p>Some of the methods used lack up-to-date references.</p> <p>The results and discussion section needs more attention and revision, as well as updated</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>references in respect of their findings.</p> <p>The references section needs more attention and deep checkup to be similar in all references, inside the text and the list, like the examples shown in the revised version.</p> <p>The manuscript contains a lot of drawbacks that need to be revised before consideration for publication.</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abd El-Raheem Ramadan El-Shanshoury
Department, University & Country	Tanta University, Egypt