

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_103750
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Efficacy of Cypermethrin and Plant Extract in the Control of Cucumber Pests in Ado Ekiti, Southwestern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is very important for the scientific community as the experiment is designed to study the efficiency of botanicals rather than the chemical control measures which is quite necessary in the modern day's health problems.</p> <p>It would be better if the title is changed as "<i>Comparative Efficacy Of Three Plant Extracts In The Control Of Cucumber Pests In Ado Ekiti, Southwestern Nigeria</i>"</p> <p>Yes the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes they are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct. But it is required to add ANOVA table while writing the results, type of data collected (such as pest attack counting and vegetative growth characteristics) used for the ANOVA analysis.</p> <p>There are many researchers who have published articles with similar line of work (plant extracts efficiency on cucumber pests) rather than giving examples of plant extracts to control plant diseases. It is required to add these references to strengthen up the discussions part of the article.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Typographical and grammar mistakes. It is better to check for grammar mistakes through free online services available on the web. 2) It is required to follow a similar style of references throughout the bibliography section. 3) Scientific names should always be italicized wherever necessary and in Table 1 of the Results section in the Spp column once check the species name for all the pests. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lavuri Krishna
Department, University & Country	Institute of Rice Research, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, India