

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_106585
Title of the Manuscript:	A Case of Axillary Tail of Spence Carcinoma or Occult Breast Carcinoma? - A Diagnostic Dilemma
Type of the Article	Case study

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Somewhat</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Somewhat</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Somewhat</p> <p>1. The readability of this paper requires improving. I have difficulty following the text. The whole text should have been paragraphed properly. In the current form, the entire text appears as a big lump, impairing its readability substantially.</p> <p>2. The abstract unclear please rewrite abstract</p> <p>3. The literature review on the recent progress for this research topic is not sufficient.</p> <p>4. In this research, we need to simulate the proposed idea and prove its effectiveness and compared with traditional methods</p> <p>5. The conclusion unclear please rewrite conclusion</p> <p>6. There must be a comparison between the previous work and work search</p> <p>7. Research needs to be very modern and more references</p> <p>8. The references need more arrangements such as IEEE or Harvard ....</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>somewhat</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammed Aboud Kadhim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Middle Technical University, Iraq</b>