

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_107071
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Role of Artificial intelligence for Augmentation of adaptable Sustainable Education
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, this article is important to the scientific research community. (1) The paper unearths new privacy and ethical issues in AI education that need to be solved for the academic community. (2) The paper affirms the irreplaceability of teachers in the AI era, affirms the necessary role of teachers, and provides reassurance for the teaching industry. (3) This article analyzes the possible auxiliary role of AI in adaptable Sustainable Education.</p> <p>2. The title of this article covers the main content of the article, is concise and suitable for the article.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract includes the main content of the article.</p> <p>4. The contrast between the roles of AI in Adaptive Learning and Personalized Learning may need to be strengthened to highlight the differences and the progressive nature of the article structure.</p> <p>5. Yes, the paper conforms to the research methods of a scientific paper.</p> <p>6. The number of citations is sufficient, but it seems that they are not marked in the text. I don't know where the above documents are cited.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language of the paper is smooth and easy to understand, and meets the requirements of academic articles.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lan Zihang
Department, University & Country	College of Humanities and Social Sciences, Macau University of Science and Technology, China