

Original Research Article

“EVALUATION OF SYSTEM OF WHEAT INTENSIFICATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES OF WHEAT”

ABSTRACT

An experiment was carried out in the field during *Rabi* 2022 to ascertain the “Evaluation of System of Wheat Intensification on growth and yield of wheat” at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.). The results showed that treatment 3 (SWI + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*) significantly increased plant height (101.93 cm), number of tillers / hill (12.91), plant dry weight (24.53 g), number of effective tillers / hill (9.78), number of grains / spike (58.76) and treatment 9 (Raised bed + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*) significantly increased Leaf area index (3.66) and Crop growth rate (17.42 g/m²/day).

[Please rewrite and elaborate abstract without mentioning treatment number and title of the paper.](#)

Keywords: *Wheat, SWI, Azotobacter, Azospirillum*

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is considered one of the most important staple [food](#) crops. This crop has good persistence of winter hardiness and occasionally susceptible to climate and soil changes that alter its nutritional composition. The average wheat kernel has about 12% water, 70% carbohydrates, 12% protein, 2% fat, 1.8% minerals, 2.2% crude fibers, and thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, and vitamin A in minute quantity. Wheat grain flour is used [for consumption](#) in [the](#) form of chapati, puri, bread, cake, sweetmeats, halwa, etc. It provides 20% of total calories for human race. Wheat provides characteristic substance “Gluten” which is very essential for bakers. Wheat straw is also a good source of feed for a large population of cattle in [our country India](#). Straw is

used in paper industries and for making temporary huts and roof. The bran, husk, and other portion of grain and straw are valuable feed for livestock.

The world's total area under cultivation of wheat ~~was-is~~ 220.89 million hectares; ~~with the~~ total production ~~was-of~~ 789.97 million metric tons and the productivity ~~was~~ 3.58 tons per hectare (USDA, 2022). Total area ~~of-under~~ wheat cultivation in India ~~was-is~~ 30.45 thousand hectares; ~~with~~ total production ~~was-of~~ around 111.32 million tons and productivity ~~was~~ 3.4 tonnes per hectare. In Uttar Pradesh total area of wheat cultivation ~~was-is~~ 9.2 million ha, ~~with~~ total production ~~was~~ 24.5 million tonnes ~~at-and~~ a productivity of 2.7 tonnes per ha (GOI, 2022).

Present-day agriculture still sustain problems related to cereal production like poor seed quality, excess chemical fertilization, poor soil quality, low crop stand, less efficient weeding practices, poor establishment of crops, pollution through chemical fertilizers, high input cost, etc. To overcome these problems, production should be enhanced using the right principles and procedures, as in crop intensification, to fulfill current and future demands. SWI ...? is a type of crop intensification, an innovative approach involving wheat cultivation components such as sowing, weeding, irrigation and nutrient management that provide better condition of growth for wheat crop in the root zone compared to conventional cultivation practices. SWI is based on the principle of root development and the principle of intensive care. Proper development of crop requires well establishment of roots for which, roots require adequate nourishment and sufficient space around the plant. Intensive care in every stage of plant growth will enhance productivity.

In addition to good crop upkeep and input supply, organic fertilizers should be used to increase crop production. *Azotobacter* is an aerobic, free-living, gram positive, spherical or oval-shaped bacterium that prefers to live in soil. The atmospheric Nitrogen is utilized by these bacteria for their cell protein synthesis. The cell protein gets mineralized in the soil after the death of *Azotobacter* cells thereby releasing the available form of Nitrogen into the soil for the plants to absorb. These bacteria are sensitive to acidic pH, high salts and temperature above 35° C (Rao, 1986).

Azospirillum is an aerobic, gram negative, motile, surface colonizing, rod shaped bacteria which can survive even in low oxygen conditions (microaerophilic). Belonging to the order Rhodospirillales, these are associated with roots of monocots. Worldwide *Azospirillum* is

considered as primary commercial phytostimulator inoculant for cereal crops. It establishes an associative symbiosis with cereals, where the association is not accompanied with formation of new organs. These benefit the plant directly by associative nitrogen fixation, synthesis of phytohormones (IAA, Indole-3 Acetic acid), and modulation of plant hormonal balance by deamination of the ethylene precursor (El-Lattief, 2016). Keeping the above [points-benefits](#) under consideration, the research entitled “**Evaluation of System of Wheat Intensification on growth and yield of Wheat**” was conducted during Rabi 2022-23 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj-211007, (U.P).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research trial was carried out at the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, during Rabi season 2022-23. The soil of the experimental area has its parenthood from the central Gangetic alluvium, with characteristics of sandy loam texture, neutral pH, and low levels of organic [elements such as](#) C (0.62%), N (225 kg/ha), P (38.2 kg/ha), K (240.7 kg/ha), Zn (2.32 mg/kg) etc. This trial consisted [of](#) nine treatments; each replicated thrice and was laid out in Randomized Block Design. Biofertilizers (*Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum*) were combined with different planting methods in each treatment. The treatment combinations used are T₁ – SWI + *Azotobacter*, T₂ – SWI + *Azospirillum*, T₃ – SWI + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*, T₄ – Line sowing + *Azotobacter*, T₅ – Line sowing + *Azospirillum*, T₆ – Line sowing + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*, T₇ – Raised bed + *Azotobacter*, T₈ – Raised bed + *Azospirillum* and T₉ – Raised bed + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*. The data [was](#) recorded on different [aspects-yield contributing parameters](#) of [the](#) crop such as, growth and yield were subjected to statistical analysis by analysis of variance method (Gomez and Gomez, 1984)-[...please list different parameters and method of taking observation on each parameter? ...hat is SWI? -Needs to be explained](#)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Growth parameters

1.1 Plant height (cm)

The results showed significant and higher plant height (101.93 cm) in the treatment 3 (SWI + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*). However, the treatment 2 (SWI + *Azospirillum*) was statistically at par with treatment 3 (SWI + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*).

Significant and higher plant height was recorded with SWI, might be due to adequate space available for roots to establish well, increasing their length and diameter, by providing strong root systems foundation for effective growth and development of the plants. Similar results were reported by **Dhar et al. (2015)**. Further, significant and higher plant height was with the application of *Azospirillum*, due to its capability to produce Indole-3-acetic acid which helps in growth regulation of wheat. Similar results ~~are in support with~~ [were reported by Karimi et al. \(2018\)](#). Another reason, significant and higher plant height was also increased with application of *Azotobacter*, might be due to the stimulation effect between *Azotobacter* and NPK on improving nutrient uptake, which in turn improves plant growth. Similar findings were also reported by **Mahato and Kafle (2018)**.

1.2 Number of tillers / hill

The results showed significant and maximum number of tillers / hill (12.91) in the treatment 3 (SWI + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*). However, the treatment 2 (SWI + *Azospirillum*) was statistically at par with treatment 3 (SWI + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*) (Table 1).

Significant and higher number of tillers / hill was recorded with SWI, might be due to efficient usage of available resources such as space, foraging area for root system, better root spread, more light interception, etc. Similar findings ~~are in support with~~ [were reported by Thakur et al. \(2010\)](#) in **paddy** and **Chatterjee et al. (2016)**. Further, significant and higher number of tillers / hill was with the application of *Azospirillum*, might be due to the role of kinetin in encouraging nutrient movement and transfer towards treated parts as being parts of high metabolism in wheat. Similar results ~~are in support with~~ [were reported by Taiz and Zenger \(2010\); Safi and AL-Faid \(2018\)](#). Another reason, significant and higher number of tillers was also with the application of *Azotobacter*, might be due to its capacity for nitrogen fixation,

expansion of root area, optimal absorption of water with nutrients and production of growth hormones. Similar findings were reported by **Sorady et al. (2022)**.

1.3 Leaf Area Index

The results showed significant and higher Leaf area index (3.66) in the treatment 9 (Raised bed + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*). However, the treatment 8 (Raised bed + *Azospirillum*) was statistically at par with treatment 9 (Raised bed + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*) (Table 1).

Significant and higher leaf area index was ~~reported-observed~~ with raised bed, might be due to favourable synthesis of growth favouring constituents in plant system with better supply of nitrogen, which led to increased number of leaves per unit area resulting in enlargement in leaf area. Similar results were obtained by **Alam (2013)**. Further, significant and higher leaf area index was with the application of *Azospirillum*, might be due to lipopolysaccharides present on outer membrane of bacterium which affect the leaf area index by increasing leaf length, especially the second leaf in wheat. Similar results ~~of are in support with~~ **Chavez-Herrera et al. (2018)**, ~~supports the present findings~~. Another reason, significant and higher leaf area index was with the application of *Azotobacter*, might be due to greater mobilization of nutrients, through the production of growth-promoting substances and enhancing the production of leaf area per unit ground area. Similar findings ~~are in support with~~ ~~were reported by~~ **Tairo et al. (2017)**; **Rani and Sharma (2018)**.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

1.4 Plant dry weight (g)

The results showed significant and higher plant dry weight (24.53 g) in the treatment 3 (SWI + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*). However, the treatment 2 (SWI + *Azospirillum*) was statistically at par with treatment 3 (SWI + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*) (Table 1).

Significant and higher plant dry weight was recorded with SWI might be due to the fact that, dry matter accumulation is the sum total effect of overall growth. Higher number of plant stand, tillers and LAI indicating higher photosynthetic efficiency resulted in higher plant dry weight. The similar findings ~~are in support with~~ ~~were reported by~~ **Chatterjee et al. (2015)**. Further, significant and higher plant dry weight was with the application of *Azospirillum*, might have improved nutrient uptake and increased number of tillers, resulted higher plant dry weight.

The similar results ~~are in support with~~ were reported by [Zorita and Canigia et al. \(2009\)](#). Another reason, significant and higher plant dry weight was also with the application of *Azotobacter*, due to improvement in availability of leaf nutrient content and growth promoting substances contributing to an increase of leaf number, leaf area, fresh weight and dry weight of head and canopy area which finally resulted in increased plant dry weight. Similar findings were also reported by [Razmjooei et al. \(2021\)](#) in lettuce.

1.5 Crop Growth Rate (g/m²/day)

The results showed significant and higher Crop growth rate (17.42 g/m²/day) in the treatment 9 (Raised bed + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*). However, the treatment 8 (Raised bed + *Azospirillum*) was statistically at par with treatment 9 (Raised bed + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*) (Table 1).

Significant and higher crop growth rate was with raised bed, might be due to suitable environmental conditions resulting in efficient vegetative growth, photosynthesis, increase in leaf area index and plant dry weight. Similar results were recorded by [Khan et al. \(2022\)](#). Further, significant and higher crop growth rate was with application of *Azospirillum*, might be due to optimum availability of essential nutrients and nitrogen in the rhizosphere of the plant due to the positive activity of soil microbes. Similar findings ~~are in support with~~ were reported by [Din et al. \(2020\)](#). Another reason, the significant and higher crop growth rate was also with the application of *Azotobacter*, due to increase in auxin production which provoke root-generator system, increase assimilation and maintain new photosynthetic organs durability. Similar findings ~~are in support with~~ were reported by [Soleymanifard et al. \(2013\)](#) in maize.

2. Yield Attributes

2.1 Number of effective tillers / hill

The results showed significant and maximum number of effective tillers / hill (9.78) in the treatment 3 (SWI + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*). However, the treatment 2 (SWI + *Azospirillum*) was statistically at par with treatment 3 (SWI + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*) (Table 1).

Significant and higher number of effective tillers / hill was found with SWI, may be due to adequate space provided to the root to function at its maximum and uptake nutrients

efficiently leading to increase in photosynthesis and effective tiller count. Similar results were also recorded by **Mithilesh and Abraham (2017)**; **Debbarma et al. (2017)**. Further, significant and higher number of effective tillers / hill was with the application of *Azospirillum* due to phytohormones, antibacterial and antifungal compounds which stimulate root system and change in root morphology which in turn affect assimilates of nutrients thus influence the development of reproductive structures. Among phytohormones, auxin, gibberellins and cytokinins are considered to play a vital role at early stage (vegetative) by affecting bud formation, therefore development of effective tillers. ~~Similar~~ The present results corroborate with **Jat et al. (2023)** in **barley**. Significant and higher number of effective tillers / hill was also with the application of *Azotobacter* due to increased availability of nitrogen to plants through biological fixation in rhizosphere by the bacterium, where greater availability of nitrogen helped in better root proliferation, resulting in more dry matter production ultimately higher number of effective tillers.. Similar findings ~~are in support with~~ were reported by **Togas et al. (2017)** in **pearl millet**.

2.2 Number of grains / spike

The results showed significant and maximum number of grains / spike (58.76) in the treatment 3 (SWI + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*). However, the treatment 2 (SWI + *Azospirillum*) was statistically at par with treatment 3 (SWI + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*) (Table 1).

Significant and higher number of grains / spike were found with SWI, may be due to wider spacings which reduced competition between plants for water, nutrient, light and space lead better growth of plants and yield attributes particularly number of grains/ spike. ~~Similar~~ The findings corroborate the results ~~are in support with~~ of **Reddy et al. (2019)**. Further, significant and higher number of grains/ spike was recorded with the application of *Azospirillum*, may be due to three possible mechanisms of activity of the living bacterium i.e. nitrogen fixation, production of plant growth promoting substances and interactions with plant nitrate assimilation. Similar findings are in support with **Patriquin et al. (1983)**; **Ozturk et al. (2003)**. Another reason, significant and higher number of grains/ spike was also with the application of *Azotobacter* due to enhancement in nutrient uptake of NO_3 , NH_4^+ , H_2PO_4 , K and Fe, improvement of plant water status and increase in nitrate reductase activity, resulted higher

number of grains/ spike. ~~Similar~~ The above results corroborate with results of Wani *et al.* (1988); Kader *et al.* (2002).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table.1 Effect of planting methods and biofertilizers on growth parameters of wheat

S. No	Treatment combination	Plant height (cm)	Number of tillers / hill	Leaf Area Index	Plant dry weight (g)	Crop Growth Rate (g/m ² /day)
1.	SWI + <i>Azotobacter</i>	99.80	11.72	1.53	22.15	9.64
2.	SWI + <i>Azospirillum</i>	100.83	11.90	1.80	22.47	10.43
3.	SWI + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	101.93	12.91	1.86	24.53	10.80
4.	Line sowing + <i>Azotobacter</i>	87.63	9.20	1.51	18.00	16.46
5.	Line sowing + <i>Azospirillum</i>	91.11	9.53	2.05	20.47	15.33
6.	Line sowing + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	96.42	10.47	3.06	21.58	16.96
7.	Raised bed + <i>Azotobacter</i>	93.20	9.87	2.61	19.67	16.67
8.	Raised bed + <i>Azospirillum</i>	97.13	10.87	3.48	20.93	16.67
9.	Raised bed + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	99.60	11.67	3.66	22.03	17.42
	Mean ±S.Em(±)	0.87	0.57	0.22	1.08	0.01
	C.D. (P = 0.05)	2.60	1.72	0.65	3.22	0.04

Table.2 Effect of planting methods and biofertilizers on yield attributes of wheat

S. No	Treatment combination	Number of effective tillers / hill	Number of grains / spike
1.	SWI + <i>Azotobacter</i>	8.91	57.30
2.	SWI + <i>Azospirillum</i>	9.29	57.94
3.	SWI + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	9.78	58.76
4.	Line sowing + <i>Azotobacter</i>	5.88	42.46
5.	Line sowing + <i>Azospirillum</i>	5.97	48.40
6.	Line sowing + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	7.08	54.10
7.	Raised bed + <i>Azotobacter</i>	6.80	52.40
8.	Raised bed + <i>Azospirillum</i>	7.70	54.60
9.	Raised bed + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	7.83	57.06
	Mean ± S.Em(±)	0.39	0.67
	C.D. (P = 0.05)	1.17	2.01

CONCLUSION

The above findings conclude that combination of SWI with *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* (treatment 3) resulted in higher plant height, maximum number of tillers / hill, higher plant dry weight and yield attributes. Whereas, combination of raised bed with *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* (treatment 9) resulted in higher leaf area index and crop growth rate.

[...Please rewrite and elaborate conclusion without mentioning treatment number](#)

REFERENCES

- Alam, M.S. (2013). Growth and yield potentials of wheat as affected by management practices. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* **8**(47): 6068-6072.
- APEDA (2022). Wheat, Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority. *Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Government of India*. https://apeda.gov.in/apedawebsite/six_head_product/cereal.htm accessed on July 07 2023
- Chatterjee, K., Singh, C.S., Singh, A.K., Singh, A.K. and Singh, S.K. (2016). Performance of wheat cultivars at varying fertility levels under system of wheat intensification and conventional method of wheat production system. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science* **8**(3): 1427-1433.
- Chavez-Herrera, E., Hernandez-Esquivel, A.A., Castro-Mercado, E. and Garcia-Pineda, E. (2018). Effect of *Azospirillum* brasilense Sp245 Lipopolysaccharides on Wheat Plant Development. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* s00344-018-9782-2.
- Debbarma, V., Singh, V. and Vishwakarma, S.P. (2020). Effect of System of Wheat Intensification Technique on growth parameters of organic wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **9**(10): 1902-1913.
- Dhar, S., Barah, B.C., Vyas, K.A. and Uphoff, T.N. (2015). Comparing System of Wheat Intensification (SWI) with standard recommended practices in the northwestern plain zone of India. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science* 1476-3567.
- Diaz-Zorita, M. and Fernandez-Canigia, M.V. (2009). Field performance of a liquid formulation of *Azospirillum* brasilense on dryland wheat productivity. *European Journal of Soil Biology* **45**: 3-11.

- Din, I., Khan, H., Khan, N.A. and Khil, A. (2021). Inoculation of nitrogen fixing bacteria in conjugation with integrated nitrogen sources induced changes in phenology, growth, nitrogen assimilation and productivity of wheat crop. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences* **20**: 459-466
- El-Lattief A.E.A. (2016). Use of *Azospirillum* and *Azotobacter* bacteria as biofertilizers in cereal crops: A review. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Applied Sciences* **6**(7): 36-44.
- El-Sorady, G.A., El-Banna, A.A.A., Abdelghany, M.A., Salama, A.A.A., Ali M.H., Siddiqui, H.M., Hayatu, N.G., Paszt, L.S. and Lamloom, F.S. (2022). Response of Bread Wheat Cultivars Inoculated with *Azotobacter* Species under Different Nitrogen Application Rates. *Sustainability* **14**, 8394.
- GOI (Government of India), Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Agricultural Statistics at a Glance (2022).
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A.(1984). Statistical procedure for Agriculture research 1st Edition, John Wiley and Sons publication, New York.
- Jat, M.L., Chaplot, P.C., Dhayal, B.C., Meena, S.N. and Reema (2023). Integrated nutrient management in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) under central plateau and hills agroecological region. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **68**(1): 20-25
- Kader, M.A., Mian, M.H. and Hoque, M.S. (2002). Effects of *Azotobacter* inoculant on the yield and Nitrogen uptake by wheat. *Online Journal of Biological Sciences* **2**(4): 259-261.
- Karimi, N., Zarea, M.J. and Mehnaz, S. (2018). Endophytic *Azospirillum* for enhancement of growth and yield of wheat. *Society for Environmental and Sustainability* **1**:149-158.
- Mahato, S. and Kafle, A. (2018). Comparative study of *Azotobacter* with or without other fertilizers on growth and yield of wheat in Western hills of Nepal. *Annals of Agrarian Science* **16**: 250-256.
- Mithilesh and Abraham, T. (2017). Agronomic evaluation of certified organic wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **6**(7): 1248-1253.

- Ozturk, A., Caglar, O. and Sahin, F. (2003). Yield response of wheat and barley to inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria at various levels of nitrogen fertilization. *Journal of plant nutrition and plant science* **166**: 262-266.
- Patriquin, D.G., Dobereiner, J. and Jain, D.K. (1983). Sites and processes of association between diazotrophs and grasses. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **29**: 900-915.
- Rani, P. and Sharma, K.D. (2018). Evaluating the impact of bio-inoculants on growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) at different growth stages under varying soil moisture regimes. *Journal of Agrometeorology* **20**:182-187.
- Rao, D.L.N. (1986) Nitrogen fixation in free living and associative symbiotic bacteria. In: Soil microorganism and plant growth. Subha Rao N.S (Ed.) Oxford and IBH Pub. Co., New Delhi
- Razmjooei, Z., Etemadi, M., Eshghi, S. and Ramezani, A. (2022). Potentia role of foliar application of *Azotobacter* on growth, nutritional value and quality of lettuce under different nitrogen levels. *Plants* **11**: 406.
- Reddy, J.R., Singh, R., Singh, R.K. and Singh, E. (2021). Performance of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars under System of Wheat Intensification and Conventional methods of sowing. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **10**(02): 3389-3394.
- Safi, M.A.S. and AL-Faid, J.A.A. (2018). Effect of spraying Kinetin and Licorice root extraction wheat yield and its components. *International Journal of Agricultural and Statistical Sciences* **14**(1): 271-277.
- Soleymanifard, A., Piri, I. and Naseri, R. (2013). The effect of Plant Growth Promoting Bacteria on physiological and phenological traits of maize (*Zea mays* L.) at different levels of nitrogen fertilizer. *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences* **2**(9): 55-64.
- Tairo, E.V., Mtei, K.M. and Ndakiemi, P.A. (2017). Influence of water stress and rhizobial inoculation on the accumulation of chlorophyll in *Phaseolus Vulgaris* (L.) cultivars. *International Journal of Plant Soil Science* **15**: 1-13.
- Taiz, L. and Zenger, E. (2010). *Plant physiology* Fifth Edition Sinauer Associates, Inc., Publishers Sunderland, Massachusetts.

Thakur, A.K., Rath, S., Roychowdhur, S. and Uphoff, N. (2010). Comparative performance of rice with system of rice intensification (SRI) and conventional management using different plant spacings. *Journal of Agronomy and crop science* **196** (2): 146-159.

Togas, R., Yadav, L.R., Choudhary, S.L. and Shisuvinahalli, G.V. (2017). Effect of *Azotobacter* on growth, yield and quality of pearl millet. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* **6**(4): 889-891.

USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) (2022). World production, Markets and Trade report. Foreign Agricultural Service. 1-280. Access on <https://apps.fas.usda.gov.in>

Wani, S.P., Chandrapalaiah, S., Zambre, M.A. and Lee, K.K. (1988). Association between nitrogen-fixing bacteria and pearl millet plants, responses mechanisms and resistance. *Plant and soil* **110**:284-302.

UNDER PEER REVIEW