

Genetic studies in advanced sugarcane mid-late clones through yield and quality traits

ABSTRACT

Sugarcane varietal development is frequently aimed at increasing yield and sucrose quality. Effective crop genetic evolution requires knowledge of the numerous traits that contribute to the present diversity by genetic analysis. Keeping in view, this experiment was conducted using a randomized block design with three replications and the trial consisted of nine mid-late sugarcane genotypes. Data on cane yield and quality traits were used to estimate the genetic variability parameters, heritability, and genetic advance (GA). Analysis of variance revealed highly significant and significant differences for all studied traits. Evaluated characters exhibited different levels of variability, heritability, and genetic advance among the studied genotypes. Low to high phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) were recorded. The moderate GCV and PCV values were found particularly for Sugar Yield at harvest (18.09% and 21.64%) and Cane Yield at harvest (16.62% and 20.14%) respectively, whereas the lowest GCV and PCV (1.43% and 2.26% respectively) manifested for Purity at the 12 months stage. The highest broad sense heritability value manifested for Pol in juice at 12 months stage (%) (86.47%) followed by CCS at 12 months stage (%) (85.43%), while the lowest heritability (35.00%) revealed only for Germination % at 30 DAP. In the present study, high heritability and genetic advance as a percentage of the mean (>50) was recorded for Millable canes at harvest (000/ha) and single cane weight at harvest (kg) indicating a predominance of additive gene action for these characters. Therefore the result of this study suggests the existence of variability for cane yield and quality traits in these sugarcane genotypes, which should be exploited in future breeding.

Keywords: *Sugarcane, Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variance*

1. INTRODUCTION:

“Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) is polyploidy in nature with a high number of chromosomes. It is a cross-pollinated crop having vegetative propagation and perennial growth habit, which makes it a difficult crop from a breeding point of view” [1]. The sugarcane varieties tend to run out or decline after some years of cultivation in a specific area. Hence to obtain high yield on a sustainable basis, it has been essential to substitute regularly grown varieties with new clones. Sugarcane varieties are clonally propagated and therefore are not expected to undergo genetic changes as they may occur in a seed-propagated crop. Several ratoons lead to a decline in the variety due to disease incidence and other environmental constraints with a need for seed replacement. “Meanwhile Sugar industry is the second largest agro-based industry after textile contributing 2.0% of the total gross domestic product in India and is also very important to the production of ethanol and energy from its biomass”. [1]

“Mid-late and Early maturing sugarcane varieties have advantageous to both the growers and sugar industries. Early varieties provide an efficient and reliable means of achieving increased sugar yields at the beginning of the season” [2]. “Meanwhile, mid-late varieties important to increase the crushing period of Industry. Cultivation of both early and mid late clones by farmers provide the raw material required for a given crop cycle and allow balanced commencement of the harvesting during the processing season, and ensure profitability” . [2]

“In sugarcane economic characters, mostly polygenically controlled and having complex type of inheritance are often influenced by the environment” [3]. Anshuman et al., [4] Stated that “genetic variability and heritability are useful parameters that can help the breeding during different stages of crop improvement”. “The total amount of genetic variability available in the base population, as well as the heritability of the traits under improvement, will be critical to the success of such a program. As a result, developing a breeding strategy requires a thorough understanding of genetic characteristics”. (5)

“Therefore, advanced breeding material helps the breeder for planning sound breeding programmes. A good knowledge of genetic resources might also help in identifying desirable genotypes for future hybridization program” [6]. Keeping in view, this experiment was taken up to study the variability parameters including genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation and the genetic advance as well as heritability estimates for quantitative and qualitative characters for nine sugarcane clones **in plant crop to understand the interrelationship among the traits**

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

The experiment was conducted at Regional Sugarcane and Rice Research Station (RS&RRS), Rudrur, Nizamabad district (18.567 N latitude : 77.876 E longitude) Telangana state during the 2021-22 cropping season in black cotton soil, following Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. Nine mid-late maturing clones of sugarcane, including three checks were used in this experiment. The three-eyed setts of each genotype were planted in a 6 m × 9 m size plot. Row to Row distance was 1.5 m. Setts were planted in the ridge and furrow method. Data were collected on sixteen different yield and quality characters namely Germination % at 30 Days After Planting (DAP), Tillers at 120 DAP (‘000/ha), Plant height at harvest (cm), Cane diameter at harvest (cm), Single cane weight at harvest (kg), Millable canes at harvest (000/ha), Brix at 10 months stage (%), Pol in juice at 10 months stage (%), Purity at 10 months stage (%), Brix at 12 months stage (%), Pol in juice at 12 months stage (%), Purity at 12 months stage (%), CCS at 10 months stage (%), CCS at 12 months stage (%), Sugar yield at harvest (t/ha), Cane yield at harvest (t/ha). Intercultural operations like weeding, earthen-up, and irrigation were done as per the required schedule. The collected data were analyzed by statistical software, namely OPSTAT for Analysis of variance, Mean, range, Genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation (%), Heritability (Broad sense %), Genetic advance (GA), and Genetic advance as percent of the mean (%) analysis.

Brix % at 10 and 12 months stage:

“It is a measure of total soluble solids present in the juice. It was taken directly by using a Brix hygrometer. A total of 250 ml juice was taken in measuring cylinder and hygrometer was dipped into the juice then reading was recorded from the juice level. These readings were corrected to the temperature at 20°C by using temperature correction chart” [7].

Pol % at 10 and 12 months stage:

Pol % refers to the sucrose per cent in juice. It was done according to Spencer and Meade (1955) [7] method. “It was estimated with the help of Polari scope. First 100 ml juice was taken in conical flask and 4 gm Honey dry lead sub acetate was added and mixed well by shaking the flask. After few minutes this solution was filtered twice through a dry Whatman no. 1 filter paper and the abstract was collected into a clean and dry beaker. The abstract poured into the Polari meter tube. These tubes were placed in the Polari scope. Thereafter Pol values were recorded by polarising the clear juice in Polari scope this value

called dial reading. Sucrose Per cent in juice was obtained by referring the brix and dial reading to Schmitz's table". [7]

CCS Percent& CCS yield (t/ha):

CCS % is determined by formula $[S-(B-S) \times 0.4] \times 0.73$

Where, S = Sucrose percent in juice (pol %). B = Brix percent in juice.

$$\text{CCS yield (t/ha)} = \frac{\text{CCS\%} \times \text{Cane yield(t/ha)}}{100}$$

Purity % at 10 and 12 month stage:

Purity percent of juice = $\frac{\text{Sucrose percent in juice}}{\text{Corrected Brix \%}} \times 100$

Corrected Brix %

The data were statistically analyzed. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was worked out according to the procedure of Randomized Block Design for each character [8]. The analysis of variance was used to derive variance components [9].

Genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variability were calculated (10). Heritability in broad sense (h^2_b) was estimated (11) and genetic advance (GA) was calculated (8). GCV and PCV were categorized as low (0-10 percent), moderate (10-20 percent) and high (above 20 percent) according to Sivasubramanian and Menon (1973) (13), while heritability in broad sense was categorized as low (0-30 percent), moderate (31-60 percent) and high (above 60 percent) (14). Genetic advance as per cent of mean was categorized as low (0-10 percent), moderate (11-20 percent) and high (above 20 percent) (12). (1955).The phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients were obtained (12).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

The analysis of variance for all sixteen characters showed statistically highly significant among the clones (Table 1) suggesting that the clones were genetically divergent. This indicates that there is ample scope for the selection of promising clones among nine clones for sugarcane improvement. High variability was recorded for different traits in sugarcane. To make sense of the amount of existing variability in the present clones, range, mean and standard error were calculated [15,16 and 17] (Table 2). However, range is the crude method of estimation of variability, which indicates observed phenotypic variability only. Among all the clones, the yield was recorded from 81.71 t/ha to 140.16 t/ha. It also showed the advisable range of co-efficient of variation for all the traits.

Table 1: Analysis of variance for sixteen traits of mid-late maturing sugarcane clones

S.No.	Characters	Mean sum of square		
		Replication (d.f. =2)	Treatment (d.f.=8)	Error (d.f.=16)
1	Germination % at 30 DAP	27.13	112.42**	43.02
2	Tillers at 120 DAP (000/ha)	58.06	548.43**	52.87
3	Plant height at harvest (cm)	227.68	1285.95**	130.31
4	Cane diameter at harvest (cm)	0.017	0.224**	0.015
5	Single cane weight at harvest (kg).	0.004	0.159**	0.011
6	Millable canes at harvest (000/ha).	115.43	538.45**	38.71
7	Brix at 10 months stage (%)	0.78	6.74**	0.62

8	Pol in juice at 10 months stage (%)	0.50	12.88**	0.76
9	Purity at 10 months stage (%)	7.11	69.93**	7.42
10	Brix at 12 months stage (%)	0.19	1.55**	0.24
11	Pol in juice at 12 months stage (%)	0.16	1.76**	0.09
12	Purity at 12 months stage (%)	3.41	7.32**	2.46
13	CCS at 10 months stage (%)	0.28	8.51**	0.50
14	CCS at 12 months stage (%)	0.12	1.08**	0.06
15	Sugar yield at harvest (t/ha)	4.14	24.14**	3.03
16	Cane yield at harvest (t/ha)	167.03	1121.93**	151.76

* Significant at 5%, ** significant at 1% DAP – Days After Planting

Table.2 Mean, range and coefficient of variance for sixteen traits of mid-late maturing sugarcane clones

S.No.	Characters	Mean \pm SEM	Range		C.V.
			Max.	Min.	
1	Germination % at 30 DAP	44.61 \pm 3.79	52.30	32.94	14.70
2	Tillers at 120 DAP (000/ha)	85 \pm 4.20	104.00	67.00	8.59
3	Plant height at harvest (cm)	257.18 \pm 6.59	290.73	222.13	4.44
4	Cane diameter at harvest (cm)	2.86 \pm 0.07	3.35	2.43	4.34
5	Single cane weight at harvest (kg).	1.47 \pm 0.06	1.58	1.17	7.12
6	Millable canes at harvest (000/ha).	74 \pm 3.59	93.00	58.00	8.38
7	Brix at 10 months stage (%)	18.14 \pm 0.45	19.60	15.47	4.34
8	Pol in juice at 10 months stage (%)	15.34 \pm 0.50	17.76	11.85	5.67
9	Purity at 10 months stage (%)	84.20 \pm 1.57	90.65	76.61	3.24
10	Brix at 12 months stage (%)	21.84 \pm 0.28	22.90	20.93	2.24
11	Pol in juice at 12 months stage (%)	19.48 \pm 0.17	20.29	18.29	1.52
12	Purity at 12 months stage (%)	89.19 \pm 0.91	90.73	85.48	1.76
13	CCS at 10 months stage (%)	10.38 \pm 0.41	12.43	7.59	6.84
14	CCS at 12 months stage (%)	13.53 \pm 0.14	14.14	12.44	1.79
15	Sugar yield at harvest (t/ha)	14.66 \pm 1.00	19.83	11.48	11.87
16	Cane yield at harvest (t/ha)	108.21 \pm 7.11	140.16	81.71	11.38

Table.3 Genetic parameters for sixteen traits of mid-late maturing sugarcane clones

Sl. No	Character (s)	Coefficient of Variation (%)		Heritability (Broad sense %)	Genetic advance (GA)	Genetic advance as percent of the mean (%)
		Genotypic	Phenotypic			

1	Germination % at 30 DAP	10.8	18.2	35.0	5.86	13.1
2	Tillers at 120 DAP (000/ha)	15.19	17.45	75.76	23.04	27.24
3	Plant height at harvest (cm)	7.63	8.83	74.72	34.95	13.59
4	Cane diameter at harvest (cm)	9.24	10.21	81.91	0.49	17.23
5	Single cane weight at harvest (kg).	15.04	16.64	81.71	0.41	28.01
6	Millable canes at harvest (000/ha).	17.39	19.31	81.14	23.95	32.28
7	Brix at 10 months stage (%)	7.88	8.99	76.70	2.57	14.21
8	Pol in juice at 10 months stage (%)	13.11	14.28	84.24	3.80	24.79
9	Purity at 10 months stage (%)	5.42	6.31	73.74	8.07	9.59
10	Brix at 12 months stage (%)	3.03	3.77	64.56	1.09	5.01
11	Pol in juice at 12 months stage (%)	3.84	4.13	86.47	1.43	7.35
12	Purity at 12 months stage (%)	1.43	2.26	39.74	1.65	1.85
13	CCS at 10 months stage (%)	15.74	17.16	84.10	3.08	29.73
14	CCS at 12 months stage (%)	4.32	4.68	85.43	1.11	8.23
15	Sugar yield at harvest (t/ha)	18.09	21.64	69.91	4.56	31.16
16	Cane yield at harvest (t/ha)	16.62	20.14	68.06	30.56	28.24

The estimated genetic parameters (PCV, GCV, heritability, genetic advance) are furnished in Table 3. The results of genetic variability indicated that the moderate GCV and high PCV were observed for Sugar Yield at harvest (18.09% and 21.64%) and Cane Yield at harvest (16.62% and 20.14%), which were exhibited the existence of large genetic variability and demonstrated the effective selection for the given traits. The moderate values of GCV and PCV were recorded for millable canes at harvest (17.39% and 19.31%), followed by Germination at 30 DAP (%) (10.80% and 18.20%), Tillers at 120 DAP (000/ha) (15.19% and 17.45%), CCS at 10 month stage (%) (15.74% and 17.16%), Single Cane Weight at harvest (kg) (15.04% and 16.64%), Pol in juice at 10 months stage (%) (13.11% and 14.28%) respectively. Similar results were reported by Tabassum *et al.* (18) and Pandey *et al.* [1] for Germination at 30 DAP (%). While Cane diameter at harvest (cm), low GCV (9.24 %) and moderate PCV (10.21%) were recorded. For Plant height at harvest (cm) (7.63% and 8.83%), Brix at 10 months stage (%) (7.88% and 8.99%), Purity at 10 month stage (%) (5.42% and 6.31%), Brix at 12 months stage (%) (3.03% and 3.77%), Pol in juice at 10 months stage (%) (3.84% and 4.13%), CCS at 12 month stage (%) (4.32% and 4.68%) and Purity at 12 month stage (1.43% and 2.26%) had the lowest GCV and PCV respectively, which exhibited a huge impact of the environment on the trait (Table 3). These results are in conformity with the reports of Tabassum *et al.* (18) for Brix at 12 months stage (%), Purity at 12 month stage (%).

Heritability is a good indicator of transmission of characters from parents to its progeny. Heritability is classified as low (below 30%), medium (31%–60%) and high above 60%). The estimates of heritability help the plant breeder in selection of genotypes from diverse genetic population. Therefore, high heritability helps in effective selection for a particular character. Most of the traits in this study were manifested high heritability (64.56%–86.47%), while moderate heritability revealed only for Purity at 12 months stage (%) (39.74%) and Germination % at 30 DAP (35%). Same results are obtained by Rakesh *et al.* [5] for Germination % at 30 DAP. The highest broad sense heritability value manifested for Pol in juice at 12 months stage (%) (86.47%) followed by CCS at 12 months stage (%) (85.43%), Pol in juice at 12 months stage (%) (84.24), Cane diameter at harvest (cm) (81.91), Single cane weight at harvest (kg)

(81.71) and Millable canes at harvest (000/ha). (81.14) (Table 3). Similar findings were reported by Gowda *et al.*[19] as heritability is a good index of transmission of characters from parents to its progeny; Alam *et al.* (20) for number of millable cane; Ranjan and Kumar (21) and Dereje.S.(22) for Cane diameter at harvest (cm) and CCS yield. As a result, selection breeding for the improvement of these clones based on these traits may be reliable. However, heritability alone does not indicate the amount of genetic improvement that might result through genotype selection. Thus, heritability data should be combined with genetic advance (5)

“The genetic advance is a useful indicator of the effective and efficient selection progress that can be expected as result of exercising selection on the base population. In present study high genetic advances (>20%) were revealed for Plant height at harvest (cm), Cane yield at harvest (t/ha), Millable canes at harvest (000/ha) and Tillers at 120 DAP (000/ha), while others traits manifested low genetic advance (<10%)” (Table 3). [23] ; Ranjan and Kumar (21) and Negi *et al.* [24] on sugarcane genotypes. “In present study high heritability and genetic advance as percentage of mean (>50) was recorded for Millable canes at harvest (000/ha), Single cane weight at harvest (kg) indicating predominance of additive gene action for these characters. This shows that selection is effective to improve these traits. On other hand high heritability with low Genetic advance as percentage of mean was revealed for Purity at 10 months stage (%), Brix at 12 months stage (%), Pol in juice at 12 months stage (%), Purity at 12 months stage (%) and CCS at 12 months stage (%)”.[25].

CONCLUSION:

The results of this investigation it can be revealed that the values of PCV were higher than GCV but in a narrow range for almost all the studied traits indicating the least influence of the environment. High heritability and genetic advance as a percentage of the mean (>50) was recorded for Millable canes at harvest (000/ha) and single cane weight at harvest (kg) indicating a predominance of additive gene action for these characters. Therefore the result of this study suggests the existence of variability for cane yield and quality traits in these sugarcane genotypes, which should be exploited in future breeding to develop superior sugarcane varieties for higher yields with quality.

REFERENCES:

1. Pandey D, Singh SP, Jeena AS, Khan KA, Tabassum, Arvind Negi and Koujalagi D. Study of Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic advance for various Yield and Quality Traits in Sugarcane Genotypes. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.*2018;7(4): 1464-1472
2. Singh, P.R. and M.R. Gupta. “Sugarcane management strategy for early maturing varieties for higher productivity in U.P.” *Ind. Sugar* 1999;48(12) 983-989.
3. Mali, S. C. and Patel, A. I., Correlation and Heritability Studies in Sugarcane. *AGRES – An International e-Journal.* 2013; 2(4): 466-471.
4. Anshuman, S., Bhatnagar, P. K., Khan, A. Q. and Shrotria, P. K. Variability and heritability for cane yield, its components and quality characters in sugarcane (*Saccharum spp complex*). *Ind Sug J.* 2002; 53(4): 717-719.
5. Rakesh. G, G. Eswara Reddy , N. Swapna , P. Jalender Naik , Y. Swathi , T. Prabhakar Reddy and M. Vijay Kumar. Estimation of Genetic Parameters in Early Maturing Sugarcane Clones for Yield and Quality Traits. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International.*2020; 42(5): 115-121.
6. Chaudhary R. Genetic variability and heritability in sugarcane. *Nepal Agric. Res. J.*2001. 4&5: 56-59.
7. Spencer GL and Meade GP. *Cane Sugar Hand Book.* J. Wiley and Sons, N. Y. 1955.
8. Panse VG and Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods of agricultural workers 2nd edn. ICAR, Publication, New Delhi. 1967: pp. 381.

9. Cochran WG and Cox GM. Experimental Designs. 2nd Edn. Wiley, New York. [1957].
10. Burton GW and Devane EW. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinaceae*) from replicated clonal material. *Agronomy Journal*. 1953: 45: 478-481.
11. Lush, J. L. Heritability of quantitative characters in farm animals. *Hereditas*. 1949:35: 365-375.
12. Johnson HW, Robinson HF and Comstock RE. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. *Agronomy Journal*, (1955): 47 (7): 314-318.
13. Sivasubramanian, S. and Menon, M. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in rice. *Madras Agric. J.* 1973: 60: 1139.
14. Robinson, H. F., Comstock, R. E. and Harvey, P. H. Estimates of heritability and the degree of dominance in corn. *Agronomy journal*. 1949. 41: 353-359.
15. Doule RB and Balasundaram N. Genetic variability in sugar yield and its components for selection of sugarcane. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities*. (2002):27(3): 326-327.
16. Singh MK, Pandey SS, Kumar R and Singh AK. Estimation of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in mid-late maturing clones of sugarcane. *Environment and Ecology*. (2010): 28(4): 2301-2305.
17. Praveen Kumar SS, Pandey, Balwant Kumar, Kamat DN and Mahesh Kumar. Assessment of Genetic Parameters for Various Productive Traits in Early Maturing Sugarcane. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 2018:7(05): 1387-1392.
18. Tabassum, Anand Singh Jeena and Rohit. Estimation of genetic variability, character association and path coefficient using sugarcane segregating population. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2023: Vol 14(2): 665 – 674.
19. Swamy Gowda SN, Saravanan K and Ravishankar CR. Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance in Selected Clones of Sugarcane. *Plant Archives*. 2016: 16(2): 700-704.
20. Alam M. N, Nath U.K, Karim K. M. R, Ahmed M.M and Mitul R. Y. Genetic Variability of Exotic Sugarcane Genotypes. *Hindawi Scientifica*. 2017.
21. Ranjan R. and Kumar B. Study of Genetic Variability for Cane Yield and its Component Traits in Early Maturing Sugarcane. *Int.J.Curr. Microbiol. App.Sci*. 2017: 6(10): 1739-1748
22. Dereje Shimelis. Estimation of genetic parameters of sugarcane (*Sacharrum officinarum* L.) varieties grown at Arjo-Dedessa sugar Project, Western Ethiopia. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences*. 2018: 5 (8):30-35
23. Ahmed AO, Obeid A. Investigation on variability, broad sensed heritability and genetic advance in Sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp). *International Journal of AgriScience Vol*. 2012: 2(9): 839-844.
24. Negi A. S, Sigh S.P, Jeena A.S. and Talha M. Estimation of Variability Parameters in Early Generation General Collection Progenies of Sugarcane (*Saccharum* Species Complex). *International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research*. 2017 1(6): 2319-1473.
25. Kamat DN and Singh JRP. Variability in sugarcane under rainfed condition. *Sugar Tech.*, 2001: 3(1&2): 165-167.