

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106898
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence on crop health and yields as affected by soil organic matter and crop residue management: an overview
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Certainly, here are areas that may need modification or adjustment for each question:</p> <p>1. Importance for Scientific Community: The manuscript is important, but it should highlight its unique contributions and relevance to current agricultural and environmental challenges.</p> <p>2. Suitability of Title: The title is suitable, but it could be made more specific to reflect the key findings or focus of the manuscript.</p> <p>3. Comprehensiveness of Abstract: The abstract is comprehensive, but it could benefit from specifying the main findings or contributions of the manuscript.</p> <p>4. Appropriateness of Subsections and Structure: The structure appears appropriate, but the manuscript could benefit from subheadings within sections to enhance readability.</p> <p>5. Scientific Correctness: The scientific content appears accurate, but it should undergo peer review to ensure validity.</p> <p>7. Adequacy of References: The references seem relevant, but it's important to ensure they include the most recent and pertinent research in the field.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Additional Comments: Overall, the manuscript is well-structured and informative, but peer review will be essential to validate its scientific accuracy and contributions.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deksiso Guye Gelgelu
Department, University & Country	Punjabi University, India