

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_106415
Title of the Manuscript:	ACUTE CORONARY SYNDROME INDUCED BY CARBON MONOXIDE INTOXICATION. CASE REPORT AND LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	Case study

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript is quite important for medical community as it draws attention to the rare cause of acute coronary syndrome by carbon monoxide poisoning.</p> <p>Title has to be adjusted as only case report. Remove literature review as no adequate weightage is placed on literature review in this manuscript.</p> <p>Quite appropriate, but the subheading in the abstract needs to change to conclusion. Appropriate</p> <p>Yes, to some extent as I am wondering with the CO level of 550 ppp, which is very high and almost lethal in few hours but the patient was salvaged.</p> <p>This is important as most references are quite old, with only one (1) article is recent (within 5 years). Needs serious update on the references. Quite curious on the event occur? Please specify the date of case taken.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Linguistic refinement is recommended</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yu Chye Wah
Department, University & Country	ASIMT University, Malaysia