

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_107128
Title of the Manuscript:	A rare case of adenocarcinoma of ampulla of Vater – A case report
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>[1] Yes</p> <p>[2] I have changed the title to improve upon its presentation in written English</p> <p>[3] It was not written well comprehensively to publishable standards and I have made corrections to bring it up to an acceptable and publishable standard which should be shared with the authors to reflect upon and incorporate if they agree with me</p> <p>[4] Yes, but it the aim and declaration of the role played by each co-author should be included and I have made a supportive change in the wording of the title of the article.</p> <p>[5] It is a reasonable case report to illustrate to readers that pancreato-duodenectomy should be undertaken as treatment of curative intent of low-staged localized tumours of the pancreas, duodenum and ampulla region as standard treatment, it is not special and it does not have any follow-up data, ideally if the authors would wait a bit and provide follow-up data on the outcome it would be more helpful because everyone knows what the standard treatment is.</p> <p>[6] The writing of the reference should be full and consistent and to conform to the referencing style of the journal</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The delivery of the English language is sub-standard and I have made changes to the writing to bring the writing up to publishable standards and this should be shared with the authors to reflect upon and incorporate if they agree with my changes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo
Department, University & Country	North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom