

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_106304
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors influencing and effect of puerperal sepsis among postnatal women in Vom Christian Hospital, Plateau state, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript contributes to the scientific community's knowledge of puerperal sepsis and its implications for maternal health, making it important for researchers, healthcare professionals, and policymakers working in this field. 2. Overall, the title is suitable for the article's content and objectives, provided that the study's geographic focus aligns with the interests of the intended audience. 3. Abstract effectively highlights the study's importance and objectives, providing more specific methodological details and quantitative results, along with broader implications, would make it more comprehensive and informative for readers 4. Overall, the subsections and structure of the manuscript follow a logical flow, making it easy for readers to navigate and understand the research findings and their implications. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. Adequate number of references to support its claims and findings, most of the reference reflects the current state of knowledge in the field. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, Language / English quality of the article is suitable for global audience.</p> <p>In Title we found an important grammatical error – “Factors influencing and effect of puerperal sepsis among postnatal women in Vom Christian Hospital, Plateau state, Nigeria” We are suggesting you to change this title as “<i>Factors influencing and their effects on puerperal sepsis among postnatal women in Vom Christian Hospital, Plateau state, Nigeria</i>”.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The article provides a comprehensive exploration of puerperal sepsis, including its causes, effects, and prevention. It is well-structured, with clear headings and subheadings. The incorporation of statistics and references from other studies enhances its credibility. The article's emphasis on preventive measures and aseptic techniques underscores its practical significance in improving maternal health. 2) Some of the grammatical errors can be checked before submission or corrected. I am herewith quoting a very few examples. For example, a) In abstract 12th line it was supposed to be “(53.6 %) multiple vaginal examination as factors” instead of “(53.6 %) multiply vaginal examination as factors”. b) In introduction section first line it was supposed to be “Puerperal sepsis is a term given to an infection” instead of “Puerperal sepsis is a term giving to an infection”. c) Same in Introduction 2nd line it was mentioned as “Infections within pregnancy can be server as the genital tract” in manuscript. It should be changed to “Infections within pregnancy can be severe as the genital tract” Likewise other errors also should be checked and corrected. 3) Overall, the study is the need of the hour as Maternal Health Care is one of the important contributing factors for National Health. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. Jayalakshmi
Department, University & Country	Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, India