

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_107037
Title of the Manuscript:	Contribution of the Leukocyte Adherence Inhibition Test to the Diagnosis of Innate Non-IgE-mediated Immunoreactivity against <i>Alternaria alternata</i> .
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript written satisfactorily.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Recent references may be included.</p> <p>1. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the abstract (For example, IL-4, IL-5, IL-9, IL-13, etc.). Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words to be abbreviated.</p> <p>2. The full form of the species should be given when the first time appears in both the abstract and in the remaining part of the manuscript and it should be followed by only the first letter of the genus (For example, <i>Alternaria alternata</i> when the first time appear and followed by <i>A. alternata</i>).</p> <p>3. The limitation of the present study an future directions may be given along with conclusion or under separate heading for understanding the concepts clearly.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The words are juxtaposed in many places and it should be properly checked and corrected. For example, "meanLAI" as "mean LAI"; "times).There" as "times). There"; "growingcolonies" as "growing colonies"; "alternatacan" as "alternate can"; "alternariosisare" as "alternariosis are"; etc.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors have put effort to evaluate the "Contribution of the Leukocyte Adherence Inhibition Test to the Diagnosis of Innate Non-IgE-mediated Immunoreactivity against <i>Alternaria alternata</i>". The authors describe the results undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by them. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the shortcomings which need to be considered. Plagiarism need to be checked since I don't have any commercial software for checking it. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MINOR REVISIONS are carried out.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Vijaya Anand
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India