

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_106367
Title of the Manuscript:	Educators Perceptions on Drug Abuse Prevention: A Post-pandemic Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is really important and can be improved to better understanding.</p> <p>2. The title it's ok. But the authors should consider it like a pilot study.</p> <p>3. The Abstract is a little confusing. Improve order in objective, methods, results and conclusions. As determined by the Journal's rules. Keywords: Remove bold formatting</p> <p>4. This study appears to be a pilot study.</p> <p>5. It is very difficult to say that they are effective strategies, especially when there is a small sample number, and there was no randomization of groups. I suggest that the text be completely revised for a pilot study methodology, or series of cases and the conclusions are only suggestions and that new studies should be carried out.</p> <p>6. Introduction: Place the follow excerpt in indirect quotation: "As per the 2016 Survey, — <i>many drug abuse cases had dropped to their lowest levels since this survey's inception; no increase in use of marijuana among teens</i>" in addition the survey also registered a decrease in the use of synthetic drugs as well as decrease in misuse of prescription drugs.</p> <p>7. In the literature review, there is a lack of quotes about the excerpts. Authors must specify where the information comes from.</p> <p>8. It is not mentioned whether approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee prior to starting the study, just the consent form would not be useful.</p> <p>9. Conclusion is too long.</p> <p>10. The references are recent but do not follow a standard, they must all be reviewed</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English and punctuations should be revised.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kelly Fernanda Molena
Department, University & Country	University of São Paulo, Brazil