

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_106252
Title of the Manuscript:	The effects of School Quality Assurance on Teachers' Performance in Public Primary Schools in Iringa District, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript's topics hold significant educational value, emphasizing the need for continuous exploration of strengths and weaknesses in educational development and quality assessment. While the author's commendable approach includes two research questions and a literature review, it appears somewhat limited with only three relevant references. A broader consideration of related theories is essential. The research methods are clear and feasible, but deeper analysis of findings, including the author's perspectives and attitudes, is warranted. Likewise, research conclusions and recommendations require revision and supplementation, and potential study limitations should be acknowledged.</p> <p>2. Suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract needs refinement, addressing background, motivations, and employed research methods explicitly.</p> <p>4. The manuscript's chapter structure is solid.</p> <p>5. Substantial improvement is needed in the analysis and discussion sections to elevate the manuscript's quality and contribution.</p> <p>6. Expand the literature review with more up-to-date references and ensure completeness in existing references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is reasonably fluent, but it is still advisable to conduct thorough proofreading.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript required extensive revision. Additionally, further proofreading of the document was necessary, including the correction of numbering in certain subheadings and punctuation errors in specific paragraphs."</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rungtai Lin
Department, University & Country	Graduate School of Creative Industry Design, National Taiwan University of Arts, Taiwan