

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_OR_106985</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Influence of Horizontal Corneal Diameter on Corneal Tomography and Belin/Ambrósio Enhanced Ectasia Display of Pentacam Corneal Tomography</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Spelling of Figure 1 cited in text is wrong at few places. Kindly change it</p> <p>I would like to congratulate the authors for a well written manuscript.</p> <p>Though, this particular kind of studies are done in the past and has similar results to most of the studies (Ref 13,14,15 &amp; 16) authors were able to correctly bring out the fact that small corneas tend to have a steep anterior surface and more curved posterior surface and more thickness.</p> <p>It will be great if the authors can elaborate the conclusion a little more with some cut off limits/ range of WTW on which the false impression of corneal ectasia is more evident.</p> <p>Declaration of Consent is not properly written which needs modification.</p> <p>Kindly resubmit with above mentioned minor revisions.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vikas Sharma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>5 Air Force Hospital, India</b>