ANALYSIS OF AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATES' ASSEMBLAGES IN RELATION TO SELECTED WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS ALONG NAIROBI RIVER, KENYA

Abstract

Aquatic diversity in riverine ecosystems is threatened by the intensification of unsustainable human actions in the river catchment areas. Nairobi River is one of the wetlands globally that is experiencing high levels of degradation and this has a detrimental effect on the community's livelihood. The study aimed to analyze assemblages of macroinvertebrates about water quality parameters in the Nairobi River watershed in Kenya. The study objectives were: to find out macro invertebrates' diversity in the Nairobi River; to investigate selected water quality parameters in rainy and dry periods along the river; and to analyze the spatial distribution of macro invertebrates in relation to selected water quality parameters. Data sampling was done both during the dry and wet seasons and comparison was done between the periods. This was to determine the temporal characteristics of the stations. Sampling was done in eight sampling stations along the longitudinal river gradient based on the present land use activities. In each sampling station, two samples were collected; one for macroinvertebrates and the other for water samples.Direct observation, interview guides as well and field and laboratory measurements were the main data collection methods. The land use activities along the river were noted. During the dry days, temperature, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, turbidity nitrates, and phosphates had $P < .05$ and F>1. This indicated a significant difference in water quality. Middle course section had higher dissolved oxygen as compared to lower course and upper course. Both during the wet and dry days chemical parameters were higher in the lower and upper course as compared to the middle course (middle course was undergoing rehabilitation). In the same period macro invertebrates were higher in middle course 1 section of the river (mean 657.00) and in the middle course 2 section (mean 588.00) to upper and lower sections. However, there was no significant difference *($P > .05$)* in the sites. Similarly, during the wet days, macro invertebrates were noted to be higher in the middle course 2 areas (mean 168) and middle course 1 (mean 155). However, the number of macro-invertebrates was not significantly different *($P > .05$)*. Results clearly indicated that different macro invertebrates species reacted differently to water pollution, some of the organisms were tolerant to pollution and thus could survive in all environments and their number were high. These included midge larvae and pupa, aquatic earthworm and mosquito larvae, they were able to survive in low oxygen content since majority had physiological, structural and behavioral adaptations to low oxygen content.Organisms that were less or not tolerant to pollution were only sampled from the less polluted sections of the river. Their numbers were very low both during the dry and wet seasons. Macro invertebrates that were very few in all sampling sites both during the rainy and dry days were; water penny, gilled snail, finger nail clam among other

The study recommends the need to conserve the river watershed as well as restore degraded river sections to guarantee the continued future provision of ecosystem services to the adjacent urban river communities and improve the river's biological integrity.

Keywords: Degradation; macroinvertebrates; water quality and wetland

INTRODUCTION

The biological integrity of many tropical streams is adversely affected by the activities related to the increasing human population. An increase in urban human population causes expansion of agriculture, industrialization, urbanization, and deforestation in the river watershed [7]. These activities destroy aquatic habitats by interfering with natural stream physic-chemical balance and flow characteristics to support diverse aquatic biotas [3]. Additionally, they degrade aquatic habitats by increasing both organic and inorganic pollution that accelerate eutrophication levels thus degrading water quality [25]

Benthic macroinvertebrates are vital species in global wetlands because they act as indicators of water quality changes due to their different tolerance levels to water pollution[12, 10].They maintain the functional and structural integrity of rivers through degrading particulate organic matter which helps in the decomposition of organic matter and nutrient recycling [3, 10].Reduced aquatic biota diversity is attributed to toxic waters.Environmental and water quality factors influenced by human activities such as total dissolved oxygen, dissolved oxygen, water temperature, altitude, stream depth, sunlight, water turbidity, phosphate, and nitrate levels influence both the functional and structural composition of macro invertebrates[10]. These factors interfere with the organisms' colonization, migration, extinction, and reproduction rates. Aquatic biological communities are adversely affected when their habitats are degraded since this alters resource availability for them.

Globally, wetlands and aquatic ecosystems are being destroyed currently at a higher rate and this is causing global concerns due to the expected loss of biodiversity and other associated negative impacts [1].The high loss of aquatic biodiversity in Spain has been associated with the large-scale commercial conversion of wetlands into agricultural and urban land.According to [11], human beings have altered water bodies in Europe in an attempt to produce energy, grow food, and protect themselves against floods. This has brought harm to water which are the natural habitats of aquatic life.

Degradation of aquatic ecosystems has also been reported in Africa through various studies undertaken to restore them. In Ethiopia, [3] attributed the massive loss of wetland biodiversity to the unsustainable use of land adjacent to water bodies. Furthermore, linked increased [15] macroinvertebrate diversity to improved water quality. Therefore, an undisturbed water ecosystem supports more aquatic biotas diversity due to its pristine water quality.

Conversion of wetlands to agricultural land in East Africa is on a high rise due high demand for food resources as a result of the increasing population [18] Nakivubo wetland in Uganda has experienced 62% degradation due to unsustainable human activities on the wetland including agriculture [8].River Rwanda in western Uganda has been faced with degradation risk due to land use activities that have been taking place in the surrounding. People have encroached on the wetlands and runoff from degraded hills due to poor agricultural activities has interfered with water quality. Their biggest polluters are hotels, major industries, and higher institutions of learning.[6]

Many aquatic ecosystems have highly been degraded in Kenya due to human activities and climate change [13].Nairobi River is the main tributary of the Athi River that drains its water in the Indian Ocean, sourcing of the river is Ondiri swamp. The river transverses through Nairobi city in areas under different unsustainable land use activities such as informal settlement, industrialization, urban waste dumping sites, and inappropriate agricultural activities. These activities have rendered the river to be one of the highly polluted rivers in Kenya thus adversely affecting the stream ecosystem [23]. According to [4] rapid population growth is the major cause of degradation of urban aquatic ecosystems. Therefore, understanding how water quality parameters affect species diversity is vital in promoting the conservation and management of aquatic ecosystems. The study findings will be vital in making environmentally informed decisions aimed at conserving and managing wetland ecosystems to ensure future ecosystem services are guaranteed.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Area

This study was carried out in the Nairobi River, which was used as a sample section to represent the whole river at a stretch in Nairobi County, Kenya. The section covers approximately 1.5km running from the upper section (Consolata) to the lower course (Gikomba). This section of the river was selected since it represented an area that had undergone riparian land rehabilitation (Michuki Park) and others that had immersed human negative impact on riparian land in terms of destruction of river line vegetation and pollution. The study area lies between longitude 36°48'0"E and 36°50'0"E and latitude 1°16'0' ´S to 1°18'0' ´S as indicated in Figure 1. The study area receives an average rainfall of 674mm per annum and an average temperature of 18.8 °C. (Climate data 2021) and slopes toward the river. The four major sampling sites are representative of the entire Nairobi river ecosystem, from its source to when it drains to the Arthi River

Materials and Methods



Figure 1: Map of the study area

Conceptual Framework

Macro invertebrates' assemblages were dependent on water quality. Figure 2 above represents a detailed representation of the parameters that were tested during the research period. Both physical and chemical parameters were tested to find out the impact they had on the macro invertebrates' assemblages. Data collection was done both during the dry and wet periods and comparison was done. During the wet period, water volume in water bodies' increases and this dilutes the water. This results in a reduced concentration of pollutants and at the same time increase in dissolved oxygen in the water (which is essential to the survival of animals).



Figure 2: Conceptual framework representing macro invertebrates' assemblages about water quality parameters in different seasons.

The Study Design and Sampling

The experimental research design was the main research design applied during the study. The physical parameters were all tested in the field using various portable meters depending on the parameter. The chemical parameters were tested in the lab. The study area was divided into strata where a stratified sampling method was used during the collection of samples. These strata were the upper course, middle course as well and lower course. Lab and field tests gave information that was later used to compare all the sections of the river under study. Data on macro invertebrates' assemblages and the water quality parameters were collected both during the dry and the wet season and finally comparison was done.

Data Collection

Macro invertebrates were collected using a D-frame net (30cm in diameter with a mesh of 0.25mm) which was located on the downstream end of the river section to be tested as the water upstream was disturbed using the feet or a log of wood in deeper sections of the river. The net was bounced backward and then scooped forward to trap organisms moving downstream. Different sections of the river were sampled to obtain a standard sample that could represent the river. After collection, the organisms were placed on plastic trays for analysis in the field using a biotic index. The collected organisms were returned to the river after analysis.

Chemical parameters were collected and temporarily stored in special sample bottles. Later they were taken to the lab for analysis using a ultra-violet spectrophotometer. The results obtained were used for further analysis. Physical parameters were tested in the field. Oxygen was tested using a dissolved oxygen analyzer portable meter and turbidity was measured using a turbidity meter. Other parameters such as Ph, temperature, total dissolved solids, and electrical conductivity were tested by a common meter that gave results for all the parameters.

Data Analysis

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences was used to analyze the data that was collected.

ANOVA was used to test whether a significant variation existed between water physical chemical parameters and macro invertebrates in all the sampling plots. Strength of relationship between the macro invertebrates obtained and physical chemical parameters was tested using Pearson correlation coefficient. Paired T-test was used to test the diversity of macro invertebrates

Results and Discussions

4.1 Water physic-chemical Variations of Selected Parameters during Dry Days

In the current study, the highest mean Temperature during dry days was 24.10^{0}C in the lower course section of the river while the lowest temperature was in the upper course section of the river (mean 20.47^{0}C). During the drydays, the middle course 2 section had the highest water pH (mean 5.41) whereas the lower course had the lowest pH (3.15). A higher level of dissolved oxygen was recorded in the water in the upper course section of the river (0.45). Electrical conductivity was significantly higher in the upper course area than in other areas (F=3.73, *P=.042*). The lowest is in the middle course 2 section (mean 772.5). During this period, Total dissolved solids were significantly higher in the upper course section (70.13) than in other sections (F = 24.04, *P = .0001*). The finding of the study further showed that nitrates and phosphates in the lower course section of the river (112.16 and 917.38

respectively) were significantly higher compared to other sections (F = 22.56, $P = .0001$ and F = 15.05, $P = .0001$ respectively).

Table 1: Selected Physio-chemical Properties of Water Sample during Dry Days

Site	Temp. (^{0}C)	Ph	DO (mg/L)	EC (µS/cm)	TDS (mg/L)	TURB NTU	Nitrates (mg/L)	Phosphates (mg/L)
Upper course	20.47± 0.41^{a}	3.72± 0.64^{a}	0.45± 0.09^{a}	850.3±22.2^{b}	595.2± 15.5^{b}	70.13±0.64^{b}	66.73± 12.7	489.00± 220^{b}
Lower course	24.10 ±0.62^{b}	3.15 ±1.62^{a}	0.40± 0.04^{a}	843.0±13.7^{b}	592.1±9.87^{b}	37.00±1.30^{a}	112.16± 0.73	917.38± 8.51^{c}
Middle course 2	22.76 ±0.39^{b}	5.41 ±1.22^{a}	0.43± 0.20^{a}	772.5± 34.3^{a}	562.8±13.5^{ab}	34.92±3.83^{a}	13.05± 0.61	14.80 ±2.05^{a}
Middle course 1	22.27± 0.31^{b}	3.37 ±0.14^{a}	0.40±0.07^{a}	777.3±0.75^{a}	546.9± 2.25^{a}	35.38±5.70^{a}	71.04± 11.5	99.54± 9.74^{a}
NEMA	**-**	**6.5-8.5**		**2500**	**1200**	**5**	**10**	
WHO	**24-30**	**6.5-8.5**	**< 6**	**1200**	**500-1000**	**0.1-10**	**2.0-50.0**	**0.5**
F- value	**11.32**	**0.93**	**0.04**	**3.73**	**4.15**	**24.04**	**22.56**	**14.05**
P –value	**0.001**	**0.458**	**0.987**	**0.042**	**0.031**	**0.0001**	**0.0001**	**0.0001**

Mean values in the same column denoted by different letters are significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$. Mean separated using Tukey HSD

Table 2: Selected Physio-chemical Properties of Water Sample during Wet Days

Site	Temp. (^{0}C)	Ph	DO (mg/L)	EC (µS/cm)	TDS (mg/L)	TURB NTU	Nitrates (mg/L)	Phosphates (mg/L)
Upper course	18.17± 0.29^{a}	3.74± 0.12^{a}	0.70± 0.13^{b}	680.3± 73.3^{a}	479.6±50.7^{a}	95.2± 35.7^{a}	51.33 ± 5.10^{b}	207.8 ±114^{a}
Lower course	20.15± 0.48^{b}	4.06±0.15^{a}	0.78± 0.09^{b}	692.8±45.1^{a}	481.7±29.1^{a}	85.5± 38.4^{a}	112.69± 0.60^{c}	704.2 ± 220^{a}
Middle course 2	19.15± 0.02^{ab}	4.21± 0.46^{a}	1.10± 0.00^{a}	657.8± 52.2^{a}	462.1± 38.2^{a}	84.2± 38.6^{a}	13.03± 0.37^{a}	241.4 ± 228^{a}
Middle course 1	18.51±0.11^{a}	4.31± 0.11^{a}	1.10± 0.09^{a}	779.8± 2.84^{a}	549.3±5.13^{a}	92.8± 35.9^{a}	59.99 ±6.31^{b}	112.7 ± 15.7^{a}
F- value	**9.43**	**0.96**	**5.27**	**1.13**	**1.20**	**0.02**	**101.76**	**2.45**
P –value	**0.002**	**0.444**	**0.015**	**0.378**	**0.350**	**0.996**	**0.0001**	**0.113**

From the above data in table 1 above, during the dry days, temperature, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, turbidity nitrates, and phosphates had $P < .05$ and F>1. This indicates a significant difference in water quality. We therefore reject the null hypothesis that states that, there is no variation in water quality among the sampling plots and accept the alternate hypothesis that, there is variation in water quality among the sapling plots.

Changes in the physic-chemical parameters in water along the river indicated a trend shown in Figure 3. River water in the lower course (Gikomba area) had the highest levels of Nitrates, turbidity, and phosphates. Levels of nitrates were highest in the lower course and least in the middle course). However, electroconductivity, temperature, dissolved oxygen, and TDS did not have a significant variation in all the sampling points. There were fewer pollutants in the middle section of the river as compared to the upper and lower sections. Thiswasattributed to the high level of protection that is given to the middle course section against sources of pollution. This is because the middle course section lies in Michuki Park which is under conservation thus the area has reduced dumping of wastes which in turn results in a reduction in the number of pollutants.



Figure 3: Levels of the physic-chemical elements along the river from the lower course (Consolata) – upper course (Gikomba) section in the dry season.

4.1.2 Physic-chemical variations during wet days

During the wet (rainy) days as indicated in table 2 above, the mean water temperature was significantly higher in the lower course section (20.15^{0}C) but lowest in the upper course (18.17^{0}C), F= 9.43, $P = .002$. Dissolved oxygen in water was significantly higher in middle course 2 (mean 1.10) and middle course 1(1.10) sections of the river (F = 5.27, $P = .015$). There was no statistically significant difference in the water pH, Electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, and turbidity in the different sections of the river ($P > 0.05$). Levels of Nitrates in the water in the lower course section of the river were significantly higher (mean 112.69) compared to other sections (F = 101.76, $P =.0001$). However, phosphate levels were not significantly different in the river sections ($P > .05$), this is represented in Tables 1 and 2.

Considering the flow of the river (from the upper course to the lower course section), the levels of Phosphates and nitrates in the water were higher in the lower course section as compared to other sections of the river. Higher levels of dissolved oxygen were detected in the upper course section of the river, reduced slightly in middle course 1, then increased in middle course 2, and finally a decline in the lower course. The variation shows the pollution tread as the river moves through the upper rehabilitated middle and the lower course. Figure 4 below clearly indicates the tread. From the above results on wet days, the majority of the parameters (phosphates, PH, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, and turbidity) were not significantly different at $P>0.05$. Therefore,we accept the null hypothesis that states that, there is no variation in water quality among the sampling plots. Figure 4.2 below is a comparative bar graph representing the levels of physic-chemical parameters in the sampling points during the wet days.

Results in Tables 1 and 2 above clearly indicate that most pollutants were in the lower course of the river(Gikomba) and fewer pollutants were in the middle courses 1 and 2. This was due to greater exposure of the river section to anthropogenic activities in the lower course which lies in an area with many jua kali industries.[15].On the other hand, the middle course had fewer pollutants since it lies in a highly protected area that is undergoing rehabilitation with regular garbage collection. [16]Levels of dissolved oxygen also play a significant role since it is a basic requirement for the survival of organisms.



Figure 4: Levels of the physic-chemical elements along sections of the river [Upper course (Consolata) – Lower course (Gikomba)] during the wet period.

4.2 Abundance and diversity of macroinvertebrates along Nairobi River

The number of macroinvertebrates during dry days was higher in the middle course 1 section of the river (mean 657.00) and in the middle course 2 section (mean 588.00) compared to other sections of the river. However, there was no significant difference *(P >.05)* in the sites. Similarly, during the wet days, a higher number of macro-invertebrates was noted in the middle course 2 areas (mean 168) and middle course 1 (mean 155). However, the number of macro-invertebrates was not significantly different *(P >.05)*. This is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Number of macro invertebratesalong River Nairobi

Site	Macroinvertebrates in dry days (mean ± SE)	Macroinvertebrates in Wet days (mean ± SE)
Upper course	74.80± 48.5a	109.75 ±34.3a
Middle course 2	588.00 ±449a	168.00±56.3a
Middle course 1	657.00±519a	155.25±26.9a
Lower course	329.00 ±263a	47.00± 8.69a
F- value	0.52	2.33

P –value	0.676	0.126

Mean values in the same column denoted by different letters are significantly different at P ≤ 0.05. Means separated using Tukey HSD

From the results in Table 3 above, it is evident that both during dry and wet days, the number of macroinvertebrates were more in middle course 1 and 2. This can be attributed to various factors such as water quality parameters and vegetation cover. Vegetation cover affects dissolved oxygen since plants and animals circulate important gases such as oxygen and carbon (iv) oxide among themselves. According to [28] vegetation cover also helps in the moderation of temperature in the atmosphere due to the creation of shade. This makes rehabilitation that has been taking place in the middle course of the river (Michuki Park) an important aspect of the life of macroinvertebrates.

From the results on water quality, the middle course section generally had less concentration of pollutants making it favorable to the majority of the macroinvertebrates. Though distribution of the macroinvertebrates was also determined by the tolerance levels of the organisms towards pollution. Some organisms were more tolerant than others.The most abundant macroinvertebrate in the dry days were midge larvae *(Cricotopus lebetis)*and aquatic earthworms (*Limnodrilus hoffmeister)*. They were more despite the high levels of pollution since they are highly tolerant of pollution. They can survive in areas with high levels of pollution [2]. Aquatic earthworms can survive in water with very low oxygen concentrations and even live without oxygen for weeks. They are capable of surviving in highly disturbed water bodies since they are in a position to regenerate the damaged or lost parts of their bodies naturally. Some of them have a long lifespan of up to several years. These characteristics make them capable of surviving in polluted and disturbed waters. During the rainy days, the most abundant macroinvertebrates were midge larvae and mosquito larvae as shown in table 4 below. Mosquito larvae *(Culicidae larvae)* are capable of surviving in varied levels of water pollution. They can survive in salt water, water polluted with organic and garbage material as well as in fresh water. Water polluted with garbage and organic material is the best for the multiplication of mosquito larvae *(Culicidae larvae)*. According to table 4 and 5 below, macroinvertebrates that were few in number both on wet and dry days were: crane fly *(Tupulidea latreille)*, water penny, gilled snail, fingernail clam, planaria, water bug nymph, rat-tailed maggots, and leech. Some of them are pollution sensitive while others are moderately sensitive and this resulted in their lower numbers.

According [8]monitoring of water quality parameters can be very expensive, instead monitoring the fluctuation of insect communities can be very insightful. Macroinvertebrates such as midges can be used to monitor the water quality of localized environments since they have limited mobility. Midges are tolerant to water pollution though this varies depending on the variety of midges. They tolerate high loads of pollution as well as low levels of oxygen.Aquatic midges manage to survive in areas with low oxygen levels and high organic matter due to some physiological and behavioral adaptations.In low-oxygen sediments, they construct burrows as well as fixed tubes made of sediments which are held together by silky secretions [20]. These organisms ventilate these tubes with fresh water by the dorsal-ventral undulations of their body. This facilitates gaseous exchange when the amount of oxygen is low. The larvae stage of the midges extends their tubes above the sediments to access enough oxygen when the level is low below the sediments.The physiological adaptation of midges (chironomids) is the possession of hemoglobin which increases respiratory efficiency. The hemoglobin has a very high affinity for oxygen. It serves as a temporary storage for oxygen which is absorbed through the cuticle. The oxygen is stored until the need arises. Haemoglobin also plays a vital role in the transportation of oxygen to various body tissues. This makes the midges to alternate behavioral and physiological characteristics to survive even during the period of rest [19]

According to the results obtained, leech and gilled snails had the least tolerance to pollution, they were very few in the area under study and could not survive in the lower section of the river which had the highest levels of pollution.Leech adaptations to water quality vary depending on the species. Some can survive in extreme environmental conditions. The environmental extremes include extremes in salinity, light, temperature, pressure, moisture, and pollution.Leeches are capable of surviving in areas with insufficient water. They have mucus glands that produce mucus which is distributed in all parts of the body. The mucus has biological importance to leech among them being prevention against desiccation. They can tolerate some time out of water bodies.

Leeches also use various sensory structures to help them locate potential hosts, habitats, and prey. They sensillae as chemoreceptors to detect changes in chemicalcomposition in water. Their eyes are used as photoreceptors to detect changes in light intensity inside the water. Sensillae are also used to detect sounds and vibrations in water. All these structural adaptations make some of the leeches survive in varied environmental conditions.Gilled snail undertakes gaseous exchange by use of their gills. They absorb dissolved oxygen from water through their gills. They rely on high oxygen concentration and thus cannot survive in water with low dissolved oxygen.

Abundance of macroinvertebrates in different sampling sites



Figure 5: Spatial Distribution of macro-invertebrates abundance at the upper course (Consolata) – middle course (Michuki) – lower course (Gikomba) area

From map 5 above, it is evident that macro invertebrates' abundance was highest in the middle course with a mean value of (415 and 378) which was a rehabilitated section, and lowest in the upper course (92.28) which was a non-rehabilitated section. The rehabilitated section had fewer pollutants due to conservation measures put in place as compared to the non-rehabilitated section. The rehabilitated section also had the advantage of having higher dissolved oxygen as compared to other sections of the river. Some of the macroinvertebrates that were present in the water were midge larvae, aquatic larvae, water penny, crane fly, gilled snails, fingernail clams, and planaria during the dry days. During the rainy days, midge larvae, midge pupa, water bug pennies, rat-tailed maggots, leeches, gilled snails, aquatic earthworms, and water pennies were caught. This indicated a slightly higher diversity of macroinvertebrates during the rainy days as compared to dry days. This was due to higher concentration of dissolved oxygen in water and dilution of the polluted water during the rainy days as compared to dry days

Nairobi River impacted in stream water quality differently. As a result, macro invertebrates responded differently to water quality changes over wet and dry sampling seasons. This is because, difference aquatic invertebrates have different tolerance and sensitivity levels to habitat changes as a result of disturbances. Areas with highly degraded water quality recorded a general low diversity and abundance of sensitive species. Therefore, degraded rivers in the world need to be properly managed and conserved in order to improve the rivers biological integrity as well as guaranteeing future ecosystem services to the river communities.

Table 4. Macro Invertebrates Distribution – Wet Season

Macro Invertebrates	Sampling Site(s)							
	Upper Course (Consolata)		**Middle Course 1** (Upper Michuki Park)		**Middle Course 2** (Lower Michuki Park)		**Lower Course (**Gikomba)	
	1st Sampling	2nd Sampling	1st Sampling	2nd Sampling	1st Sampling	2nd Sampling	1st Sampling	2nd Sampling
Midge Larvae*(Cricotopus lebitis)*	101	40	107	98	131	117	6	35
Mosquito Larvae*(Culicidae larvae)*	44	21	18	88	65	159	5	0
Rat Tailed Maggots(*Eristalis tenax)*	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
Midge Pupa*(Cricotopus lebetis)*	55	33	19	37	11	30	4	21
Leech*(Hirudo medicinalis)*	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Aquatic Earthworm*(Limnodrilus hoffmeister*)	0	**106**	0	0	0	3	20	0
Gilled Snail*(Athearnia anthonyi)*	**0**	**1**	3	0	0	4	0	0
Dragon Fry Larvae(*Anisoptera sel*ls)	**0**	**0**	0	0	0	1	0	0
Water Bug Nymph*(Lethocerus americanus)*	**0**	**0**	0	0	0	1	1	0
House Fry Larvae*(Musca domestica)*	**0**	**0**	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	**201**	**202**	**149**	**223**	**209**	**209**	**37**	**57**

Table 5. Macro Invertrabraes Distribution Dry Season

Macro Invertebrates	**Sampling Site(s)**							
	Upper Course (Consolata)		**Middle Course 1** (Upper Michuki Park)		**Middle Course 2** (Lower Michuki Park)		**Lower Course** (Gikomba)	
	1st Sampling	2nd Sampling	1st Sampling	2nd Sampling	1st Sampling	2nd Sampling	1st Sampling	2nd Sampling
Midge Larvae*(Cricotopus phlebitis)*	55	359	46	316	99	103	6	178
Midge Pupa*(Cricotopus lebetis)*	13	74	1	108	0	53	3	41
Crane Fry-Larvae*(Tupulidea latreille)*	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
Planaria*(Planaria torva)*	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fingerlings	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Rat Tailed Maggot Larvae (*Eristalis tenax)*	5	**0**	0	0	0	0	0	1
Aquatic Earthworm *(Limnodrilus hoffmeister*)	77	**0**	0	277	0	0	0	0
Dragon Fry Larvae*(Anisoptera selys*)	**0**	**0**	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mosquito Larvae (Culicidae larvae)	**0**	**1780**	0	413	34	0	2	12
Leech*(Hirudo medicinalis)m*	**0**	**0**	0	1	0	1	0	0
Gilled Snail *(Athearnia anthonyi)*	**0**	**0**	1	2	0	0	0	0
Water Penny*(Eubria palustris)*	**0**	**0**	0	0	1	0	1	0
Total	**154**	**2213**	**49**	**11117**	**135**	**157**	**13**	**232**

REFERENCES

1. Ahmed, S. F., Kumar, P. S., Kabir, M., Zuhara, F. T., Mehjabin, A., Tasannum, N.,& Mofijur, M. (2022). Threats, challenges and sustainable conservation strategies for freshwater biodiversity. *Environmental Research*, *214*, 113808.
2. Akyildiz, G. K., & Duran, M. (2021). Evaluation of the impact of heterogeneous environmental pollutants on benthic macroinvertebrates and water quality by long-term monitoring of the buyukmenderes river basin. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, *193*(5), 280.
3. Allen WK, Richard LB. The importance of riparian vegetation to the stream ecosystems. California Riparian Systems, 2020; 159- 167.
4. Ashraf, A., Liu, G., Yousaf, B., Arif, M., Ahmed, R., Irshad, S., & Gulzaman, H. (2021). Recent trends advanced oxidation process-based degradation of erythromycin: Pollution status, eco-toxicity, and degradation mechanism in aquatic ecosystems. *Science of The Total Environment*, *772*, 145389.
5. Assefa F, Elias E, Soromessa T, Ayele GT. Effects of changes in land–use management practices on the soil physicochemical properties in Kabe wetlands, Ethiopia. Air, Soil and Water Research 2020; 13: 1178622120939587.
6. Basooma, A., Teunen, L., Semwanga, N., & Bervoets, L. (2021). Trace metal concentrations in the abiotic and biotic components of River Rwizi ecosystem in western Uganda, and the risks to human health. *Heliyon*, *7*(11).
7. Berihun ML, Atsushi T, Haregeweya N, Meshesha TD, Adgo E, Tsubo M, Masunaga T, Fenta AA, Sultana D, Yibeltal M, et al. Exploring land use/land cover changes, drivers, and their implications in contrasting agroecological environments of Ethiopia. Land use policy, 2019; 87: 104052.
8. Dalahmeh, S., Björnberg, E., Elenström, A. K., Niwagaba, C. B., & Komakech, A. J. (2020). Pharmaceutical pollution of water resources in Nakivubo wetlands and Lake Victoria, Kampala, Uganda. *Science of the Total Environment*, *710*, 136347.
9. Fransson, E., & Tekla, T. (2022). Exploring the biodiversity of aquatic insects in wetlands near conventional and organic agriculture areas: A descriptive pilot study with field and laboratory work conducted in rice crop areas in the southern Brazilian Pampas biome. A study with aquatic insects used as bioindicators together with water parameters, to discuss future sustainable agriculture and the Agenda 2030 goals

10. Khudhair N., Yan C., Liu M., and Yu H. Effects of habitat types on macroinvertebrates assemblages' structure: a case study of sun island bund wetland. Biomed Research International, 2019.

11. Lemm, J. U., Venohr, M., Globevnik, L., Stefanidis, K., Panagopoulos, Y., van Gils, J., & Birk, S. (2021). Multiple stressors determine river ecological status at the European scale: Towards an integrated understanding of river status deterioration. *Global Change Biology*, *27*(9), 1962-1975.

12. Malakane, K., Addo-Bediako, A., & Kekana, M. (2020). BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES AS BIOINDICATORS OF WATER QUALITY IN THE BLYDE RIVER OF THE OLIFANTS RIVER SYSTEM, SOUTH AFRICA. *Applied Ecology & Environmental Research*, *18*(1).

13. Masese FO, Achieng AO, O'Brien GC, McClain ME. Macroinvertebrate taxa display increased fidelity to preferred biotopes among disturbed sites in a hydrologically variable tropical river. Hydrobiologia. 2020;848 (2021):321– 343. DOI:https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10750-020- 04437-1.

14. Masese MO, Kitaka N, Kipkemboi J, Gettela MG, Irvine K, McClain ME. Litter processing and shredder distribution as indicators of riparian and catchment influences on the ecological health of tropical streams. Ecological Indicators. 2014;46:23-37.

15. Mengistu, H. A., Demlie, M. B., & Abiye, T. A. (2019). Groundwater resource potential and status of groundwater resource development in Ethiopia. *Hydrogeology Journal*, *27*(3), 1051-1065.

16. MULI, N. M. WATER QUALITY PROFILE OF RIVERS NAVIGATING URBAN AREAS: CASE STUDY OF THE NAIROBI RIVER IN KENYA CLAUDIUS B. ODUOR1 GEORGE M. THUMBI2.

17. Mwanzu, A., Nguyu, W., Nato, J., & Mwangi, J. (2023). Promoting Sustainable Environments through Urban Green Spaces: Insights from Kenya. *Sustainability*, *15*(15), 11873.

18. Ondiek RA, Vuolo F, Kipkemboi J, Kitaka N, Lautsch E, Hein T, Schmid E. Socio-economic determinants of land use/cover change in wetlands in East Africa: A case study analysis of Anyiko wetland, Kenya. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 2020; 207.

19. Phillips, A. J., Govedich, F. R., & Moser, W. E. (2020). Leeches in the extreme: Morphological, physiological, and behavioral adaptations to inhospitable habitats. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife, 12*, 318-325.

20. Podder, R., Nath, S., Modak, B. K., Weltje, L., & Malakar, B. (2022). Tube length of chironomid larvae as an indicator for dissolved oxygen in water bodies. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1), 19971.
21. Tull, T., Krais, S., Peschke, K., Weyrauch, S., Triebskorn, R., & Köhler, H. R. (2023). Tire and Road Wear Particle-Containing Sediments with High Organic Content Impact Behavior and Survival of Chironomid Larvae (Chironomus riparius). *Environments*, *10*(2), 23.
22. Van der Meer, T. V., Verdonschot, P. F., van Eck, L., Narain-Ford, D. M., & Kraak, M. H. (2022). Wastewater treatment plant contaminant profiles affect macroinvertebrate sludge degradation. *Water Research*, *222*, 118863.
23. Vane, C. H., Kim, A. W., dos Santos, R. A. L., Gill, J. C., Moss-Hayes, V., Mulu, J. K., ... & Olaka, L. A. (2022). Impact of organic pollutants from urban slum informal settlements on sustainable development goals and river sediment quality, Nairobi, Kenya, Africa. Applied Geochemistry, 146, 105468.
24. Wang, M., & Ann, Z. (2023). Quantifying the Interaction Effects of Climatic Factors on Vegetation Growth in Southwest China. *Remote Sensing*, *15*(3), 774.
25. Ward, G. M., Ward, A. K., Dahm, C. N., & Aumen, N. G. (2020). Origin and formation of organic and inorganic particles in aquatic systems. In *The Biology of Particles in Aquatic Systems, Second Edition* (pp. 45-73). CRC press.