

Genetic variability studies in Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) for quantitative and qualitative characters

ABSTRACT

A study was carried out on brinjal to estimate variability, heritability, and genetic advance in the Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Pasighat. The high Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) (>20%) values for fruit diameter, fruit length, fruit weight, number of branches per plant, leaf area, number of fruits per plant, number of seeds per fruit, total phenol, anthocyanin, and solasodine content indicated high genetic variability among genotypes, making selection more effective for brinjal improvement. High heritability and high genetic advances were seen in the number of seeds per fruit, fruit yield per ha, leaf area, fruit weight, anthocyanin, and total phenol, which may be owing to additive gene action and selection from these traits being more successful.

Keywords: Genetic variability, PCV, GCV, Heritability, Genetic advance,

INTRODUCTION

Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) is most important vegetable crop of night shade family with chromosome number $2n=24$. It is eulogized as Eggplant or Poor man's cup or Aubergine. It is annual, herbaceous, sometime perennial and basically self-pollinated in nature. Genus *Solanum* comprises around 2000 species categorized into 75 genera, in that important edible species are *Solanum melongena*, *Solanum torvum*, *Solanum nigrum*, *Solanum macrocarpon*, *Solanum ferox* and *Solanum aethiopicum*. Since people have been growing brinjal for the past 4,000 years in the subcontinent, it is believed to have its roots in India (Dunlop, 2006). India is the country where many large fruited cultivars were domesticated. The species *S. melongena* was well-known in India since antiquity (De Candolle 1886), and was thought to be a native of Asia and the Indo-Burma region is the center of origin according to Vavilov 1928. There are three main species in the genus "*Solanum*," namely *escullantum* (large round), *serpentium* (long slender), and *depressum* (dwarf brinjal) (Choudhary, 1976).

Any crop improvement effort requires a good understanding of the level of genetic variability present in the genotypes for different traits. The important element of every population is variability. The heritable element of overall variability is represented by the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), which measures the genetic proportion of this variability. The chance of using a certain character in a selection programme increases with

increasing GCV. Heritable variability cannot be calculated only based on genetic variability as measured by GCV. In addition, estimation of heritability and genetic advance as percent of the mean is also needed to assess the extent of genetic gain expected from effective selection. The variability available in the genotypes can be partitioned into heritable and nonheritable components, *viz.*, the coefficients of phenotypic and genotypic variation (PCV and GCV), heritability in a broad sense (H), genetic advance (GA), and genetic advance as a percent of the mean. Under varied climatic conditions, phenotypic variability changes, whereas genetic variability remains constant and is more valuable to a plant breeder for selection or hybridization. Since yield is a complex trait impacted by numerous yield-contributing components and significantly influenced by environmental factors, were estimations of heritability and genetic progress are relevant to selection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Vegetable Experimental Farm, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural University, Pasighat, East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh, India, which is geographically situated between 28°04'N latitude and 95°22'E longitude at an elevation of 153 meters above the MSL which has a humid subtropical climatic condition, where the soil has the sandy loamy texture with p^h of 6-7. Genotype (Table 1) were evaluated for its growth, yield and quality attributing traits and seeds were sown in raised nursery bed and covered by the thin layer of sand and watered every day. Care was taken against damping off by drenching 0.1% of carbendazim. This study was carried out during March, 2022 to August, 2022. Parents were raised in a randomized complete block design with three replications. Thirty-five days-old seedlings raised in the nursery beds were transplanted on the mulched beds adopting a spacing of 60 × 60 cm. Ten plants were maintained for each parent in each replication. Recommended cultural practices were followed uniformly to all genotypes and grown well. Observations were recorded in five randomly selected plants in each replication. The data were analysed by the methods outlined by Panse and Sukhatme (1967) using the mean values at random plots in each replication from all genotypes to find out significance of genotypic effect.

The GCV, PCV interpreted as low (0–10%), moderate (11–20%), high (>20%), heritability (h²) and GAM sorted as low (0–30%), moderate (31–60%), high (>60%). Genetic advance as mean was low (0–10%), moderate (11–20%), high (>20%) worked out (Burton and De-Vane 1953 and Hanson et al. 1956, Johnson et al. 1955, respectively).

$$\text{Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV\%)} = \frac{\text{Genotypic standard deviation}}{\text{Grand mean}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV \%)} = \frac{\text{Genotypic standard deviation}}{\text{Grand mean}} \times 100$$

Heritability in broad sense is the ratio of genotypic variance to the total phenotypic variance is symbolized as h^2 (BS) and estimates of heritability expressed in percentage. Estimation of heritability was done as per the formula given by Hanson et al. (1956).

$$H(\%) = \frac{\text{Genotypic variance}}{\text{Phenotypic variance}}$$

Genetic advance (GA) is improvement in the mean genotypic value of selected plant over the parental population. It was calculated by the formula as suggested by Johnson et al. (1955) and Lush (1949).

$$GA = \frac{\text{Genotypic variance}}{\text{Phenotypic variance}} \times k \times \sigma_p$$

Where, σ_p = Phenotypic standard deviation

k = Selection differential, a constant value is 2.06 at 5% selection intensity

Table 1. Details of the parents used in the present study.

Sl. No.	Genotype	Collected from	Symbol
1.	Pusa Purple Cluster	IARI New Delhi	P1
2.	Rajendra Baingan-2	BAU Sabour	P2
3.	Swarna Mani	BAU Sabour	P3
4.	Tripura Local	West Tripura	P4
5.	Muktakeshi	BAU Sabour	P5
6.	Haritha	KAU Kerala	P6
7.	CO-2	TNAU	P7
8.	Arunachal Local	Arunachal Pradesh	P8

Table 2: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for yield and quality attributing traits in brinjal genotypes

Source of variations	Df	DFE	DFIF	DFH	FD (cm)	FL (cm)	FW(g)	NBP	LA (cm ²)
Replication	2	6.24	0.03	1.38	0.09	0.22	2.52	0.10	7.67
Genotypes	7	67.59*	29.30*	38.94*	10.53*	56.16*	5168.81*	21.55*	6094.96*
Error	14	1.73	1.98	1.86	0.20	0.32	4.33	0.31	5.95
S. Em (±)		0.76	0.81	0.79	0.26	0.33	1.20	0.32	1.41
C.D at 5%		2.31	2.46	2.39	0.78	0.99	3.64	0.98	4.27
CV		3.15	3.00	2.60	4.00	2.91	4.67	2.83	6.16

Source of variations	Df	NFP	NSP	FYP (kg)	FYPH(q)	PH (cm)	TPC mg/100g	ANT mg/100g	SOL mg/100g
Replication	2	1.86	21.40	0.83	38.40	7.30	4.66	0.62	0.10
Genotypes	7	218.86*	88833.00*	1.01*	18511.30*	313.25*	440.29*	1543.28*	11.74*
Error	14	1.26	51.45	0.10	21.12	3.25	1.71	1.20	0.19
S. Em (±)		0.65	4.14	0.18	2.65	1.04	0.75	0.63	0.25
C.D at 5%		1.97	12.56	0.55	8.05	3.16	2.29	1.92	0.76
CV		7.31	12.26	5.43	6.37	5.02	3.24	3.76	3.64

* Significance at 5%

DFF-Days to First Flowering, DFIF-Days to 50% flowering, DFH- Days to first fruit Harvest, FD (CM)- Fruit Diameter, FL-Fruit length (cm), FW-Fruit weight (gm), NBP-No. of branches per plant, LA (cm²)- Leaf area, NFP- No. of fruits per plant, NSF-No. of seeds per fruit, FYP- Fruit Yield per plant (kg), FYPH- Fruit yield per Ha, PH-Plant height (cm), TP – Total Phenol (mg/100g), ANT- Anthocyanin (mg/100g), SOL- Solasodine (mg/100g).

Sl. No	Traits	Mean	Range		Variability		Heritability	Genetic advance	Genetic advance as per cent of mean
			Min	Max	GCV (%)	PCV (%)			
1	Days to first flowering	54.97	46.25	62.17	8.52	8.85	92.68	9.29	16.91
2	Days to 50 percent flowering	66.02	59.70	69.48	4.57	5.04	82.16	5.64	8.54
3	Days to first harvest	71.49	65.66	76.76	4.92	5.27	86.94	6.75	9.45

Table 3 : Mean, range, variability, heritability, and genetic advance as per cent of mean yield and quality attributing traits of brinjal.

Traits	DFIF	DFH	PH	NBP	FD	FL	FW	NFP	NSF	FYP	LA	TP	ANT	SOL	Yield per ha
DFF (G)	0.61**	0.86**	0.20*	0.18	0.45**	0.16	0.46*	-0.66**	0.18	-0.02	0.22	-0.08	0.0004	0.20	-0.11
(P)	0.46**	0.67**	0.19*	0.15	0.40*	0.14	0.40*	-0.55**	0.15	-0.001	0.19*	-0.07	-0.0006	0.13	-0.10
DFIF (G)		0.48**	0.11	0.06	0.48**	0.18	0.38*	-0.41**	0.32	0.35*	0.13	0.15	0.04	-0.02	0.33*
(P)		0.35*	0.09	0.05	0.39*	0.16	0.32*	-0.33**	0.27**	0.24*	0.12	0.14	0.04	-0.03	0.28
4 (P) Fruit diameter (cm)				5.97	1.32	6.82	37.34	0.41**	38.48	94.54	35.72	0.17	74.79	0.23	0.06
DFH (G)			0.17	0.07	0.36*	0.06	0.33*	-0.41**	0.12	-0.02	0.30	-0.17	0.14	0.23	0.06
(P) Fruit length (cm)															
5 (P)			0.15	0.06	1.05	3.80	16.18	0.29*	39.04	0.38*	39.38	10.10	98.30	0.002	0.28*
FW (G)				2.64	17.96	25.14	44.79	0.10	44.84	12.12	99.75	0.20	90.14	0.18	0.24
(P) Fruit weight (g)															
7 (P) Number of branches per plant				0.43*	0.96	0.29*	5.94	0.24*	14.80	0.24*	24.78	0.09	24.89	0.12	95.81
NBP (G)				96.58	0.03	60.03	0.04	187.29	0.01	46.65	0.01	46.72	99.97	0.21	92.67
(P) Leaf area (cm ²)															
8 (P)				0.04	60.03	0.04	187.29	0.01	46.65	0.01	46.72	99.97	0.21	92.67	0.02
PD (G)				17.31	10.61	26.66	36.66	0.84**	49.21	0.72*	49.66	0.67	98.62	0.46**	0.48**
(P) Number of fruits per plant															
10				419.62	185.19	691.51	41.00	41.03	99.83	99.83	354.07	84.38	84.38		
11				1.80	0.78	2.55	30.73	35.31	75.74	75.74	0.99	55.09	55.09		
12				331.43	171.32	414.57	23.69	23.73	99.66	99.66	161.45	48.71	48.71		
13				64.61	50.65	81.95	15.73	15.98	96.95	96.95	20.62	31.92	31.92		
14				52.72	40.13	74.53	22.94	23.07	98.85	98.85	24.76	46.98	46.98		
15				31.83	11.79	75.63	71.22	71.30	99.77	99.77	46.65	146.55	146.55		
16				5.23	2.81	8.58	37.55	38.47	95.29	95.29	3.95	75.51	75.51		

GCV- Genotypic coefficient of variance, PCV- Phenotypic coefficient variance

Table 4: Genotypic and phenotypic correlation among yield and quality attributing traits in brinjal.

(P)						0.25**	0.82**	-0.69**	0.65**	0.43**	-0.03	0.49**	0.39**	0.08	0.47**
FL (G)							0.39**	-0.12	0.14	0.37*	0.18	-0.29	-0.35	0.05	0.50**
(P)							0.38**	-0.12	0.14	0.33*	0.17	-0.29**	-0.34**	0.04	0.49**
FW (G)								-0.64**	0.57**	0.43**	0.01	0.24	0.14	0.24	0.61
(P)								-0.63**	0.57**	0.40*	0.01	0.24*	0.14	0.23*	0.61**
NFP (G)									-0.44**	0.04	0.03	-0.26	-0.32	0.03	-0.02
(P)									-0.43**	0.03	0.03	-0.26**	-0.31**	0.02	-0.02
NSF (G)										0.50**	0.08	0.62	0.52	0.19	0.40*
(P)										0.46**	0.08	0.62**	0.52**	0.18	0.40*
FYP (G)											0.19	0.33	0.12	0.01	0.83**
(P)											0.18	0.30**	0.11	0.03	0.76**
LA (G)												-0.15	-0.29	0.05	0.29
(P)												-0.15	-0.30**	0.05	0.28
TP (G)													0.82**	-0.12	0.18
(P)													0.81**	-0.11	0.18
ANT (G)														-0.14	0.02
(P)														-0.13	0.02
SOL (G)															0.08
(P)															0.08

* Significance at 5%; **Significance at 1%

DFF-Days to First Flowering, DFIF-Days to 50% flowering, DFH- Days to first fruit Harvest, FD (CM)- Fruit Diameter, FL-Fruit length (cm), FW-Fruit weight (gm), NBP-No. of branches per plant, LA (cm²)- Leaf area, NFP- No. of fruits per plant, NSF-No. of seeds per fruit, FYP- Fruit Yield per plant (kg), FYPH- Fruit yield per Ha, PH-Plant height (cm), TP – Total Phenol (mg/100g), ANT- Anthocyanin (mg/100g), SOL- Solasodine (mg/100g), G-Genotypic correlation, P-Phenotypic correlation

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The magnitude of variability existing in crop species is of almost importance, as it provides the basis for effective selection the total variance i.e., phenotypic variance present in the population arises due to combined action of genotypic and environmental effects. Phenotypic variability is the observable variation present in a character in the population, it includes both genotypic and environmental components of variations and as a result, its magnitude different under different environmental condition. Genotypic variation, on the other hand, is the components of variation, which is due to the genotypic difference among individual with in a population and is the main concern of plant breeders. The analysis of variance revealed that significant variations were observed in genotypes almost for all the characters. The significant variation among the parent were found for days to first flowering , days to fifty percent flowering, days to first harvest, fruit diameter, fruit length, fruit weight, number of branches per plant, leaf area, number of fruits per plant, number of seeds per plant, fruit yield per plant, fruit yield per ha, plant height, total phenol content, and anthocyanin content and solasodine content, it indicated sufficient scope for bringing genetic improvement in brinjal. In crop improvement, only the genetic components of variation are important since only this component is transmitted to the next generation, the extent of contribution of the phenotypic variation for the fruits yield in a population is ordinarily expressed as the ratio of genetic variation to the total variance, which is known as heritability.

The improvement in genotypic value of the new population compared to the original population is estimated as genetic advance. It is the degree of gain obtained in a particular character. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance offers the most suitable condition for selection. With these points in view, the variability results for 16 quantitative traits were evaluated in the present investigation and discussed here under.

Fruit yield per ha showed high genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation (>20%), high heritability and high genetic advance. The genotypes displayed significant differences for all the traits through analysis of variance study. The characters considered for the present study had PCV a little higher in magnitude than the corresponding GCV. Thus, indicating the reliability of selection based on these traits as possibly the environmental factors have played a lesser role in the expression of these characters. Consequently, simple selection for traits can be practiced for further improvement. The results of Ravali *et al.* (2017) and Lokesh *et al.* (2013) were in agreement with the present findings. Yield per plant recorded maximum genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic variation (>30%). Similar results were also reported by Vaishya *et al.* (2017), Mangai *et al.* (2017) and Lokesh *et al.* (2013). Fruit diameter exhibited high genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation (>30%) with high heritability. The findings are in close harmony with those of Manpreet *et al.* (2013), Milli *et al.* (2014). Fruit length showed high genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation and high heritability. The findings are in close harmony with those of Singh and Kumar

(2005), Manpreet *et al.* (2013), Milli *et al.* (2014). Fruit weight showed high genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation (>40%), high heritability and high genetic advance. The results of Lokesh *et al.* (2013) were in agreement with the present findings.

Number of fruits per plant revealed high GCV and PCV with moderate heritability and high genetic advance. Similar results were also reported by Sonagara *et al.* (2022). Number of seeds per fruit exhibited high genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation with high heritability, high genetic advance and similar reports were found by Rad *et al.* (2015). High genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were observed for leaf area. Heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean were also found to be high. The results are in conformity with the observations of Bansal (2007). The estimates of heritability and genetic advance per cent of mean for number of branches per plant revealed high genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation with low genetic advance. These results were in accordance with the observations of Karak *et al.* (2012), Singh and Kumar (2005).

High heritability coupled with high GA was observed for total phenol and high genetic advance which was agreeable with earlier findings of Sonagara *et al.* (2022). Anthocyanin reported high genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation with high heritability and high genetic advance and results are in accordance with reports of Sonagara *et al.* (2022). Solasodine showed high genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation coupled with high heritability and low genetic advance and findings were in accordance with Sharmin *et al.* (2010) and Roychowdhury *et al.* (2011). Lower GCV and PCV (<20%) were observed in days to first flowering, days to fifty percent flowering, days to first harvest and plant height. Thus, these traits were less influenced by environment. Similar results were recorded by Madhavi *et al.* (2015) and Sujin *et al.* (2017).

“High heritability in the broad sense helps identify appropriate characters for selection and enables the breeder to select superior genotypes based on phenotypic expression of quantitative characters. High yield can be achieved by the selection of characters that have high heritability coupled with genetic advances. Selection of one trait invariably affects several associated traits which evoke the necessity of determining interrelationships of various yield components among them and with yield”. (Konyak *et al.* 2020). Heritability in broad sense was estimated highest in number of seeds per fruit, anthocyanin, fruit weight, fruit yield per ha and indicating that the traits is least influence by environment. Further, there is abundant range for improving these traits in desirable direction with direct selection. Similar findings were also reported by earlier investigation Kumar (2016) and Konyak *et al.* (2020). High genetic advance per cent of mean were recorded for anthocyanin followed by number of fruits per plant, leaf area, fruit weight, solasodine indicates significance of additive gene action governing this trait. So, further selection will improve this trait. Similar results were obtained for some of these characters by Sharma and Swaroop (2000), Sunitha and Bandhopadhy (2005).

Correlation coefficient measure the mutual relationship between various plant characters and determine the components on which selection can be based for improvement in yield. Phenotypic correlation is the observable correlation between two variables, it may be either due to a pleiotropic action of genes. The estimates of phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficient of fruit yield per ha of brinjal with different metric traits in general the magnitude of coefficient for genotypic correlation was higher than their respective phenotypic correlation coefficient. The knowledge regarding the association of various characters among themselves and with economic characters is essential. In this study, the genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were worked out for yield per ha and its attributing components. The difference between the genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients was narrow for various traits in the present findings and this indicates the lesser influence of environment in expression of these traits and presence of strong inherent association among the traits.

In the present investigation, the genotypic correlation coefficients were higher in magnitude than phenotypic correlation coefficients for all the characters. indicated strong association between the two characters genetically. Correlation studies revealed that characters like fruit yield per plant, fruit weight, fruit length, fruit diameter, number of fruits per plant and number of seeds per fruit had significant positive correlation with fruit yield per plant and fruit yield per ha both at genotypic and phenotypic level. Similar results were also reported by Nayak and Nagre (2013), Patel *et al.* (2015) in their experiments. Positive and significant correlation could possibly be used in selecting better yield.

However, negative, and significant association of fruit yield per ha was illustrious with days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, and number of fruits per plant at both genotypic and phenotypic level, indicated these characters could not be improved simultaneously through selection and suggested that this character should not be emphasized for direct selection of high yielding genotype. So, independent selection for this trait could be made to get improved population.

To obtain a clear understanding of the association the genotypic correlation coefficient of fruit yield with its contributing components were partitioned into direct and indirect effects through path coefficient analysis as suggested by Wright (1921). The direct selection for yield is not sufficiently effective due to its low heritability and it is desirable to select indirectly for improving yield, and demonstrated by Dewey and Lu (1959) which is simply partial regression coefficient analysis and splits correlation coefficients into direct and indirect involvement of various characters on yield. This technique provides information

about relative contribution of each trait and enables the breeder to identify important component traits of yield and improve the breeding cycle.

In the present investigation, 16 traits were selected for genotypic path analysis and the traits which showed positive direct effect with yield per ha were explained and these were days to first flowering (0.84), number of fruits per plant (0.82), fruit weight (0.61), fruit yield per plant (0.45), fruit diameter (0.33), leaf area (0.26), anthocyanin content (0.12) and plant height (0.06). Among them, days to first flowering and number of fruits per plant had shown the highest direct positive effect on yield at both genotypic and phenotypic level. Therefore, direct selection for these traits would be worthy effective for improvement of yield. The present findings are in line with reports by Mishra *et al.* (2007), Singh *et al.* (2003) and Sharma and Swaroop (2000), Mohanty (1999).

CONCLUSION

For each trait investigated in this study, the phenotypic coefficients of variability were larger than the genotypic coefficients of variability. High GCV and PCV (>20%) values for fruit diameter, fruit length, fruit weight, number of branches per plant, leaf area, number of fruits per plant, number of seeds per fruit, fruit yield per plant, fruit yield per ha, total phenol, anthocyanin, and solasodine content indicated enormous genetic variability among genotypes, making selection more effective for brinjal improvement.

The number of seeds per fruit, fruit yield per ha, leaf area, fruit weight, anthocyanin, and total phenol showed high heritability along with significant genetic advance, which may be owing to additive gene action and selection from these traits being more successful. Fruit length, fruit diameter, number of branches per plant, days first harvest, days first flowering, solasodine, and yield per plant all showed low genetic advance, suggesting that these traits were influenced by non-additive gene action and that heterosis breeding from these traits may be more successful.

DECLARATION

The authors declare no conflict of interest

Acknowledgement

With deep sense of gratitude, the authors duly acknowledge Division of Vegetable Science, CHF, CAU, Pasighat and Dept. of Basic Sciences and Humanities CHF, CAU, Pasighat for providing the necessary facilities to carry out this research work.

REFERENCES

- Bansal, S. 2007. Study of genetic divergence in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes. M.Sc. Thesis, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur.

- Bansal, S. and Mehta, A.K. 2007. Genetic divergence in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **36**: 319-320.
- Burton, G. W. 1952. Qualitative inheritance in grasses. Proceedings of the 6th International Grassland Congress, Pennsylvania State College. Pennsylvania State College, Pennsylvania, USA. **1**: 277-283.
- Choudhary, B. 1976. Vegetables, National Book Trust, New Delhi, 58p.
- De Candolle, A. 1886. Origin of cultivated plants. reprinted 1959 by Hafner Publ. Co, New York, 29-33pp.
- Dunlop, F. 2006. Revolutionary Chinese cookbook. Recipes from Hunan Province, Ebury Press, 202p.
- Hanson, C. H., Robinson, H. F and Comstock, R. E. 1956. Biometrical studies of yield in segregating populations of Korean lespedeza. *Agron. J.* **48**: 268.
- Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. 1955. Estimate of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agro. J.* **47**: 314-318.
- Karak, C., Ray, U., Akhtar, S., Naik, A. and Hazra, P. 2012. Genetic variation and character association in fruit yield components and quality characters in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *J. crop weed.* **8**(1): 86-89.
- Konyak, W. L., Kanaujia, S. P., Jha, A., Chaturvedi, H. P. and Ananda, A. 2020. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis of brinjal. *SAARC J. Agric.* **18**(1): 13-21.
- Kumar, R. S. and Arumugam, T. 2016. Gene action in eggplant landraces and hybrids for yield and quality trait. *Indian J. Farm. Sci.* **6**(1): 79-89.
- Lokesh, B., Reddy, P.S., Reddy, R.V.S.K. and Sivaraj, N. 2013. Variability, heritability and genetic advance studies in Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Electron. J. Plant Breed.* **4**(1): 1097-1100.
- Lush, J. L. 1949. Biometrical Studies in Plant Breeding. Kalyani Publ., New Delhi.
- Madhavi, N., Mishra, A. C., Prasad, J. O. and Bahuguna, N. 2015. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Plant Arch.* **15**(1): 277-281.
- Mahalanobis, P. (1936). On the generalized distance in statistics. *Proceedings of the National Institute of Science. India* **12**: 49-55.
- Mangai, V., Patil, H. B., Mallesh, S., Satish, D. and Muthaiah, K. 2017. Variability and genetic divergence studies in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes. *Ecol. Environ. Conserv.* **35**(2): 1261-1265.
- Manpreet, Dhatt, A. S. and Singh, B. 2013. Variability, heritability, and genetic advance in eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) during summer and rainy season. *Asian J. Biol. Sci.* **8**(2): 200-204.

- Mehta, N. and Sahu, M. 2009. Genetic divergence in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Int. J. Plant Sci.*, **4**: 123- 124.
- Milli, C., Bora, G. C., Das, B. J. and Paul, S. K. 2014. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in (*Solanum melongena* L.) Brinjal genotypes. *Res. J. Agric. Food Sci.* **2**: 192-194.
- Rad, M. R. N., Poodineh, M., Ghalandarzahi, A. and Abkhoo, J. 2015. Variability, heritability and association analysis in eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). *J. Agric. Biol. Sci.* **10**(12): 464-468.
- Rathi, S., Kumar, R., Munshi, A.D. and Verma, M. 2011. Breeding potential of brinjal genotypes using D² analysis. *Indian J. Hort.*, **68**(3): 328-331.
- Rao, C. R. (1952). *Advanced Statistical Methods in Biometric Research*. New York, John Wiley and Sons.
- Ravali, B., Reddy, K. R., Saidaiah, P. and Shivraj, N. 2017. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol Appl. Sci.* **6**: 42-47.
- Roychowdhury, R., Roy, S. and Tah J. 2011. Estimation of heritable components of variation and character selection in eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). for mutation breeding programme. *Continental J. Biol. Sci.* **4**(2):31-36.
- Sharma, T. V. R. S. and Swaroop, K. 2000. Genetic variability and character association in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Indian J Hortic.* **57**(1): 59-65.
- Sunitha, K. and Bandhyopadhyay, B. B. 2005. Variability and correlation studies in brinjal. *Indian. J. Hortic.* **62**(2): 210-212.
- Sharmin, D., Meah, M. B. and Moniruzzaman, M. 2010. Inheritance of resistance to phomopsis blight and fruit rot in brinjal. *J. Agroforestry Environ.* **3**(2):135-140.
- Singh, O. and Kumar, J. 2005. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in brinjal. *Indian J Hortic.* **62**(3): 265-267.
- Sonagara, M. K., Patel, B. N., Acharya, R. R., Parihar, A., Patel, R. and Vaghela, U. 2022. Assessment of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Pharma innov.* **11**(12): 1981-1983.
- Sujin, G.S., Karuppaiah, P. and Saravanan, K. 2017. Genetic variability and correlation studies in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Indian J. Agric. Res.* **51**(2): 112-119.
- Vaishya, D. V., Yadav, G. C., Bhargav, K. K., Tripathi, V., Pandey, M., Singh, D. and Singh, V. 2017. Genetic diversity assessment in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes for yield and yield components. *Bull. Environ. Pharmacol. Life Sci.* **6**(11): 108-111.
- Vavilov, N. I. 1928. Geographical centers of our cultivated plants. In: *Proceedings of the 5th International Congress of Genetics*, New York. p. 342-369.





Fig1 : Mean performances of eight parents of brinjal for yield and quality attributing traits

Fig 2: Genotypes



Genotypes



Genotype 5



Genotype 6



Genotype 7



Parent 8

