

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_106058
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of the Solar Performance of a Solar Refrigerator Using a Silica Gel-water Combination
Type of the Article	Study of the Solar Performance of a Solar Refrigerator Using a Silica Gel-water Combination

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>no</p> <p>yes</p> <p>no</p> <p>no</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, need revision to be quality.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abstract should be containing all the results that the authors reach - The author should explain at the end of the introduction with an abstract to clarify the work of the author and the steps of the present work. - the word (isostere) in the manuscript test must be changed in composite material of cooled refrigerant, - the word (irradiation) in the manuscript test must be changed in radiation. - The English language of the manuscript should be reviewed once again. - The abstract should have contained results more valuable for the research from the experimental work. - The conclusion must have all the results that the author reaches in the manuscript. - The English language of the manuscript needs revision. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The abstract should be containing all the results that the authors reach- The author should explain at the end of the introduction with an abstract to clarify the work of the author and the steps of the present work.- the word (isostere) in the manuscript test must be changed in composite material of cooled refrigerant,- the word (irradiation) in the manuscript test must be changed in radiation.- The English language of the manuscript should be reviewed once again.- The abstract should have contained results more valuable for the research from the experimental work.- The conclusion must have all the results that the author reaches in the manuscript.- The English language of the manuscript needs revision.	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
--	--	---

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rasheed N. Abed
Department, University & Country	Al-Nahrain University, Iraq