

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_106792
Title of the Manuscript:	An Intersectional Analysis of Menopause-Related Domestic Violence in Bangladesh: Socio-cultural Determinants and Health Implications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6.</p> <p>7. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The topic considered by the author(s) is an important one that affects the lives of women who are going through menopause and yet, they have to deal with domestic violence. The manuscript is important to the scientific community.</p> <p>The title is not suitable for this manuscript considering the level of analysis that was done. No intersectional analysis was done, neither were the health implications of domestic abuse nor menopause were done. The authors should consider using - Menopause-Related Domestic Violence in Bangladesh and its associated factors</p> <p>The abstract is a good summary of the article, even though there are discrepancies between what was presented in the abstract and what was reported in the article. For example, the abstract reported that the study was conducted among 400 women but the results reported 500</p> <p>There were too many sub-sections for this article; they need to be merged, where applicable, for better understanding.</p> <p>The presentation and interpretation of the results are not correct but the science behind the manuscript is correct.</p> <p>The author(s) need to add more references, especially in the development of the theory of the relationship between menopause and domestic violence and in discussing the findings of the study</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The authors must be commended for the quality of this report, even though they need to edit the manuscript for clarity</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>Methods:</p>	<p>The authors should consider presenting the results in the abstract in the following order: prevalence rate, bivariate and then multivariate results, if applicable</p> <p>The authors wrote "As women transition into the menopausal phase, unique physiological and psychological challenges emerge, potentially exacerbating domestic tensions and susceptibility to violence [6]."</p> <p>The authors could improve this article by stating how and why transition into the menopausal phase can exacerbate domestic violence (DV)? They should also consider highlighting the theories around the link between DV and menopause</p> <p>a. As has been said, the authors should consider merging some subsections for clarity. For example, study design, study population and sample size b. The authors to provide additional details around the sample selection c. Authors to include under the data analysis section, the study outcomes accessed and in</p>	

Comment [U1]: How and why? What are the theories around the link between DV and menopause.

