

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_106274
Title of the Manuscript:	Action and Justice – A Long Note
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is. It is a review of the concept presented thus a kind of summary.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p> <p>It is impossible to judge as the way the literature review was conducted is not stated.</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The paper is very hard to read as it is presented in a very specific style and the concepts presented are not explained in more reader friendly form. In fact the title could be changed to: "Action and Justice – A Much Too Long Note".</p> <p>The way the review was conducted – the choice of literature – needs to be clearly stated.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Barbara Wieliczko</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute for Rural and Agricultural Development, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland</b>