

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_106520
Title of the Manuscript:	ATTITUDE OF FARMERS AND FACTOR ASSOCIATED WITH FARMERS ATTITUDE TOWARDS AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION SERVICES PROVIDED BY PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS IN KIBONDO DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, this manuscript is quite interesting to the scientific community</p> <p>2. The title already describes the research clearly, but the results are still not in accordance with the description of the title so it is not consistent.</p> <p>3. Abstracts are generally quite appropriate, but should include the basis of the problem, objectives, results, conclusions and recommendations.</p> <p>4. Authors should review the writing guidelines for the AJAEES scientific writing standards.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is sufficient to meet scientific principles, but needs sharpening on the analysis.</p> <p>6. References in general have used the latest sources, there are references found over 10 years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> English quality of the article is quite suitable for scholarly communications A lot of improvements have been made by the authors. However, there is still things needs clarifications. Please refer to my comments on the manuscript. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>After author revision, no need to return to me for rechecking.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tatan Sukwika
Department, University & Country	Sahid University, Indonesia