

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_106692
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinico-Epidemiological Study and Outcome of Snakebite Cases in Western Ghats of South India Done at a Tertiary Care Centre
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, at least for those in India and the region this paper is covering. Useful for snake-bite managements.</p> <p>Title consider as change as indicated in my comments within the manuscript.</p> <p>Mostly fine with some suggestions included in my comments within the manuscript. Please refer there.</p> <p>Need results and discussion for this manuscript to be combined as Results & Discussion section in particular for this manuscript for a better readability. Kindly consider the suggestion.</p> <p>Yes, very much and acceptable. Kindly consider my further suggestions in comment boxes.</p> <p>Can consider latest years especially related to the incidences and prevalence of snake bites (if you can get hold of them).</p> <p>Refer to my comment boxes within the manuscript attached.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>In some minor instances it was noticeable but overall well written.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Some further suggestions in the comment boxes within the attached manuscript reviewed by me.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jaya Vejayan
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah, Malaysia