

Original Research Article

Bio-efficacy of Fenazaquin 20 SC against European red mite, *Panonychusulmi* (Acari: Tetranychidae) on apple in Kashmir

ABSTRACT

Efficacy studies were conducted during June-July in 2017 and 2018 at two locations in district Baramulla of Jammu and Kashmir against the phytophagous mite *Panonychusulmi* (Koch) in apple orchards. The test molecule Fenazaquin 20 SC at the dosages of 15, 20 and 25 ml along with standard checks Hexythiazox 5.45 EC and Fenazaquin 10 SC at 40 ml per 100 litre of water was evaluated against *Panonychusulmi*. After fifteen days of spray, maximum 90.45 and 87.99 per cent ERM mortality of was obtained from Fenazaquin 20 SC @ 25 ml in both the years at location Ferozpora, Rafiabad; whereas, at another location Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara, highest ERM mortality of 84.95 and 90.18 per cent was recorded during both the respective years. The least mortality of natural enemies Coccinellids (pooled for two years) as 23.32 and 22.91 per cent was recorded with the test chemical Fenazaquin 20 SC @ 15 ml per litre of water; whereas, highest mortality of 32.09 and 30.46 was recorded with coded molecule @ 25 ml. in Ferozpora, Rafiabad; and Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara. Similarly, the least mortality of *Amyloseius* spp. as 34.50 and 33.38 per cent was recorded with the chemical @ 15 ml per litre of water. The highest yield of apples was recorded with application of Fenazaquin 20 EC @ 25 ml in both the locations.

Key words: Apple, *Panonychusulmi*, Fenazaquin 20 SC, Hexythiazox 5.45 SC, efficacy, predators, safety

INTRODUCTION

Apple is considered as the an important temperate fruit in the Kashmir valley and is the mainstay of Horticulture industry. The apple crop is attacked by number of insect pests; amongst them San Jose scale (SJS), *Quadraspidiotus perniciosus* and European red mite (ERM), *Panonychusulmi* are considered as the major insect pests infesting the crop. The two major mite pests of apple in north western Himalayas are the European red mite *Panonychusulmi* (Koch) and two spotted spider mite *Tetranychusurticae* (Koch). Both immature and adult stages of European red mite puncture the tissues of the leaves and feed on plant sap. Heavily infested leaves become dull green, brownish yellow or bronzed giving a burnt appearance to orchards. Severe infestation results in early dropping of leaves, retarded growth, weakened & reduced fruit buds and fruit set, reduced size of fruits and

Comment [y1]: Good work, but clearly state your recommendation, considering:
1. Efficacy, and its adverse effect on natural enemies.
2. Also, economic feasibility or you can leave this one for future work.

premature fruit drop in subsequent years (). The damaged leaves are exposed to secondary infection of fungal diseases chiefly *Alternaria* blight causing more potential loss to the growers. In nature, phytophagous mites are kept under check by different predators such as predatory mites, *Chrysoperla* larvae, *Stethorus* beetles and predatory thrips etc. Khajuria and Sharma (1996) reported the phytoseiid mite *Amblyseius fallacis* (Garman) to suppress mites in apple. Phytoseiid mites are an important component of IPM by virtue of their ability to feed on alternate prey and survive at low prey mite densities (Overmeer, 1985). In recent past, indiscriminate use of insecticides has often been attributed for mite outbreaks. Though, numerous acaricides are being recommended for the effective mite management (Marshall and Pree, 1991; Khajuria et al., 2006), but with the passage of time many of these acaricides have become obsolete either due to ban or their non-production. Besides, associated natural enemies are also adversely affected due to indiscriminate chemicals usage and exposure to manage phytophagous mites. Therefore, the newer acaricides against phytophagous mites be evaluated regularly under field conditions to appreciably keep their population below threshold levels. Keeping this in view, the bioefficacy of newer molecule Fenazaquin 20 SC at dosages of 15, 20 and 25 ml per 100 liters of water was evaluated against phytophagous mites along with their safety to natural enemies in apple orchards.

Comment [y2]: Citation

Comment [y3]: Citation

Comment [y4]: Citation

Comment [y5]: Old reference, use updated one or recent past works.

Comment [y6]: Old reference, use updated one or recent past works.

Comment [y7]: Old reference, use updated one or recent past works.

Comment [y8]: Citation

Comment [y9]: Citation

Comment [y10]: This statement shows your objectives were:
1. Bio-efficacy test for Fenazaquin, and
2. Their safety to safety to natural enemies

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field trials were conducted at two different locations i.e. Government Apple orchard, Chitkarak, (Handwara) Kupwara; and Ferozpora, Rafiabab, Baramulla, Kashmir (J&K) during the years 2017 and 2018. The selected orchard had previous history of European Red Mites (ERM) infestation. The ERM population was counted on 20 leaves from all the four directions (one each from northern, southern, eastern and western side of the tree's periphery) of each selected tree, 2-3 hours before treatment and at subsequent post count intervals of 1, 5, 7, 10 and 15 days after the spray application. The trials were laid in a randomized block design (RBD). Each treatment was replicated thrice. The test molecule Fenazaquin 20 SC was sprayed at three different concentration of 15, 20, 25 ml per 100 liters of water along with standard check Fenazaquin 10SC and Hexythiazox 5.45 EC each at 40 ml per 100 litres of water. Spraying was done by high volume sprayer @ 10 litres per tree. The data pertaining to phytotoxicity were recorded at 1, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 15 days after treatment application. Similarly, the observations on natural enemies were also recorded 1 day before spraying and 1, 3, and 7 days after spray. The

Comment [y11]: In this part include the following:
1. The formula used to calculate the corrected mortality percentage.
2. The software used to perform the analysis.
3. How the data was transformed.
4. Mention the author used for phytotoxicity scale
5. The life stages counted
6. Whether, mites count per 20 leaves were converted to per one leaf.
7. Whether other Agronomic and Pest Protection works were performed uniformly to all experimental units.
8. How the mites were counted, like
a. Using naked eyes or microscope
b. On field or laboratory
c. Form both sides of the leaves or one side
9. Whether homogeneity of variance was performed to combine the data over location or season/years.
10. The date when the treatments application started.

phytotoxicity data on chlorosis, necrosis, wilting, scorching, hyponasty and epinasty were recorded after 1, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 15 days after spray using (1-10) scale at three concentrations i.e. @ 20, 40 and 80 ml per 100 litres of water. Yield was also calculated on the basis of A-Grade Apple boxes/ tree, treatment wise at time of crop harvest.

Comment [y12]: Citation required.

Comment [y13]: Not clear, these were not the treatments evaluated.

Comment [y14]: High yield does not necessarily mean economically feasible, so consider the cost of each treatment/dose.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The highest mortality of European red mite (ERM) as 90.45 and 87.99 per cent was recorded with the application of Fenazaquin 20 SC at a dosage of 25 ml/100 lit of water; which is closely followed by mite mortality of 87.25 and 83.95 per cent at recommended dose of 20 ml after 15 days of spray treatment during both the year, 2017 and 2018, respectively at location Ferozpora, Rafiabad, Baramulla.. The least ERM mortality of 82.63 and 83.67 per cent was recorded with standard check Hexythiozox 5.45 EC @ 40 ml per 100 litres of water (Table 1). In another location, Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara; the maximum ERM mortality as 84.95 and 90.18 per cent was recorded with spray application of test molecule Fenazaquin 20 SC @ 25 ml/100 lit of water during both the respective years. The standard check Hexythiozox 5.45 EC @ 0.40 ml per litre of water recorded least ERM mortality of 77.94 and 77.95 per cent after 15 days of spray application at both the respective locations (Table 2).. Though, all the treatments were statistically significant from the control which registered mean mortality of ERM as 19.96 and 20.95 per cent at location .Ferozpora, Rafiabad, Baramulla; and 20.00 and 22.59 per cent at Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara in both the respective years. The natural enemies associated with *Panonychus ulmi* at both the locations were Coccinellids and predatory mite *Amblyseius* sp. during both the consecutive years of study. The least mortality of Coccinellids was 23.32 and 22.91 per cent with spray application of Fenazaquin 20 SC @ 15 ml/100 lit of water at both the location Ferozpora, Baramulla and Chitkak, Kupwara, respectively. However, molecule Fenazaquin 20 SC @ 25 ml was highly toxic to Coccinellids predators in recording highest mortality of 32.09 and 30.46 per cent at both the respective locations. Similarly, the minimum mortality of *Amyseius* spp. as 34.50 and 33.38 per cent was recorded with treatment of Fenazaquin 20 SC @ 15 ml; whereas, the test molecule at dosage of 25 ml per 100 litre of water recorded maximum mortalities of *Amyseius* spp at both the respective locations. (Table 4) The test molecule Fenazaquin 20 SC at all the concentrations doesn't cause any phytotoxicity during both the years at both the locations. The highest yield of apple fruit was computed with treatment application of test molecule Fenazaquin 20 SC @ 25 ml followed by the fruit yield obtained with test acaricide

Comment [y15]: Since it was highly toxic to natural enemies, its safety to them shall be under question mark. Hence, how are you going to recommend this dose to end users?

Comment [y16]: Similar comment as mentioned above at 15.

Comment [y17]: Make it "didn't"

@ 20 ml per 100 litres of water. The results are in consonance with the findings of Rana and Bhardwaj (2004) who reported fenazaquin to be as highly effective and persistent against European red mite *Panonychus ulmi* on apple. The findings are more or less corroborated with the work of Reddy *et al.* (2014) who compared the efficacy of fenazaquin, hexythiazox and other acaricides against *Tetranychus urticae* (Koch) both under laboratory and greenhouse conditions. In laboratory conditions, fenazaquin and abamectin were observed giving 97-100% mortality, respectively; whereas, under, at greenhouse conditions, fenazaquin and abamectin gave cent per cent mortality. The higher toxicity of Fenazaquin 20 SC observed during the study, receives support from Kim and Seo (2001) who reported it to be very toxic to adult females and immatures of *Amblyseius womersleyi*. The moderate toxicity with standard check Hexythiazox 5.45 EC received support from the findings of Hoy and Ouyang (1986) who reported the acaricide to be safer against phytoseiid predator; whereas, moderate toxicity of standard check treatments corroborate the earlier reports of Croft (1975) and Khajuria and Sharma (2010).

Comment [y18]: What are these per cents (mention the per cents).

CONCLUSION

Comment [y19]: Include "CONCLUSION"

REFERENCES:

Comment [y20]: Use hanging style of referencing.

- Croft B A. 1975. Integrated control of apple mites. Michigan State University, Cooperative Extension Service, USA. Extension Bulletin No. E-825. 16 pp
- Hoy M A, Ouyang Y L. 1986. Selectivity of the acaricides clofentezine and hexythiazox to the predator *Metaseiulus occidentalis* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 79: 1377-1380.
- Khajuria D R, and Sharma J P. 1996. Outbreak of phytophagous mites on apple in Kullu valley. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection* 24(1,2): 134-138.
- Khajuria D R, Dubey J K, Gupta D. 2006. Management of phytophagous mites with selective acaricides on apple and sensitivity of phytoseiid mites to acaricides. *Pest Management and Economic Zoology* 14(1&2): 1-9
- Khajuria D R, Sharma J P. 2010. Bio-efficacy of various acaricides against the phytophagous mites on apple and their sensitivity to the phytoseiid mites. *Pest Management and Economic Zoology* 18(1/ 2): 116-121.
- Kim S S, Seo S G. 2001. Relative toxicity of some acaricides to the predatory mite, *Amblyseius womersleyi* and the two spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Phytoseiidae, Tetranychidae). *Applied Entomology and Zoology* 36(4): 509-514.
- Marshall D B, Pree D J. 1995. Effect of miticides on the life stages of the European red mite, *Panonychus ulmi* (Koch) (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Canadian Entomologist* 123 (1): 77-87.

- Overmeer W P J. 1985. Alternative prey and other food resources. Helle W, Sabelis M W (eds.). Spider mites, their biology, natural enemies and control. Vol. 1B. Elsevier, Amsterdam. 131-140 pp.
- Rana V K, Bhardwaj S P. 2004. Bioefficacy of acaricides against European red mite, *Panonychus ulmi* on apple (*Malus domestica*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 74(11): 628-630.
- Reddy D S, Nagaraj R, Pushpalatha M, Chowdary R. 2014. Comparative evaluation of novel acaricides against two spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch. Infesting cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) under laboratory

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1: Bio-efficiency of Fenazaquin 20 SC against European red mite (*Panonychus ulmi*) on Red Delicious variety of apple at Ferozpora, Rafiabab, Baramulla, during 2017-2018

Coded molecule	Concentration (Per 100 lit. of water)	Pre-treatment count	Percent mean mortality of <i>Panonychus ulmi</i> Post count observation (DAT)									
			1 st		5 th		7 th		10 th		15 th	
			2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018
Fenazaquin 20 SC	15 ml	16.59	44.36 (41.78)	42.06 (38.30)	55.13 (47.96)	52.08 (43.76)	69.22 (56.33)	67.62 (52.40)	75.96 (60.66)	77.50 (58.43)	80.81 (64.05)	80.00 (63.46)
Fenazaquin 20 SC	20 ml	16.6	51.52 (45.89)	51.56 (43.47)	58.10 (49.68)	61.72 (49.05)	76.46 (57.78)	73.97 (56.19)	83.99 (66.44)	81.14 (60.87)	87.25 (69.11)	83.95 (66.41)
Fenazaquin 20 SC	25 ml	16.33	56.63 (48.83)	53.42 (44.48)	61.60 (51.73)	60.13 (48.16)	79.71 (63.25)	76.05 (57.50)	86.93 (68.84)	84.08 (62.98)	90.45 (72.03)	87.99 (69.75)
Hexythiazox 5.45 EC	40 ml	15.06	42.74 (40.84)	43.30 (38.98)	51.84 (46.07)	51.87 (43.64)	68.49 (55.87)	68.16 (52.71)	73.97 (59.35)	74.22 (56.35)	76.85 (61.27)	77.98 (62.04)
Fenazaquin 10 SC	40 ml	17.2	47.57 (43.62)	46.32 (40.63)	54.90 (47.83)	55.88 (45.82)	77.73 (61.87)	73.67 (56.01)	80.94 (64.14)	77.35 (58.33)	82.63 (65.40)	83.67 (66.19)
Check	Water	15.34	13.39 (21.47)	16.08 (22.39)	15.61 (23.28)	15.10 (21.66)	17.01 (24.36)	18.04 (23.81)	17.84 (24.99)	20.34 (25.39)	19.96 (26.54)	20.95 (27.25)
C.D (p=0.05)	---	---	(7.65)	(6.07)	(6.30)	(4.62)	(8.17)	(3.33)	(6.98)	(4.85)	(2.45)	(2.54)

Comment [y21]: Was that per 20 leaves or converted to per one leaf, clearly state that. This comment is for all tables.

Each value is mean of three observations (includes Protonymph, deutonymph and adult mite)
Figures in parenthesis are Arc sin transformed values

Coded molecule	Concentration (Per 100 lit. of water)	Pre -- treatme nt count	Percent mean mortality of <i>Panonychusulmi</i> Post count observation (DAT)									
			1 st		5 th		7 th		10 th		15 th	
			2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018
Fenazaquin 20 SC	15 ml	16.87	55.06 (47.92)	51.09 (45.64)	65.62 (54.12)	55.06 (47.92)	75.04 (60.05)	65.62 (54.12)	78.94 (62.71)	78.94 (62.71)	80.95 (64.15)	80.08 (63.52)
Fenazaquin 20 SC	20 ml	15.02	54.23 (47.44)	47.38 (43.51)	68.61 (55.95)	54.23 (47.44)	78.98 (62.74)	68.61 (55.95)	81.08 (64.24)	81.08 (64.24)	84.00 (66.45)	83.98 (66.43)
Fenazaquin 20 SC	25 ml	16.58	56.71 (48.88)	52.47 (46.43)	73.13 (58.80)	56.71 (48.88)	80.95 (64.15)	73.13 (58.80)	84.41 (66.77)	84.41 (66.77)	84.95 (67.20)	90.18 (71.77)
Hexythiazox 5.45 EC	40 ml	15.62	47.83 (43.77)	45.76 (42.58)	64.47 (53.43)	47.83 (43.77)	72.82 (58.60)	64.47 (53.43)	75.92 (60.64)	75.92 (60.64)	77.94 (62.01)	77.95 (62.02)
Fenazaquin 10 SC	40 ml	17.83	51.64 (45.96)	48.47 (44.14)	65.82 (54.24)	51.64 (45.96)	75.98 (60.68)	65.82 (54.24)	78.28 (62.25)	78.28 (62.25)	79.95 (63.43)	84.0 (66.45)
Check)Water spray)	Water	16.25	13.84 (21.85)	11.73 (20.03)	15.32 (23.05)	13.84 (21.85)	15.96 (23.55)	15.32 (23.05)	18.72 (25.64)	18.72 (25.64)	20.00 (26.57)	22.59 (28.39)
C.D (p=0.05)	-----	-----	(8.36)	(3.59)	(6.62)	(4.19)	(2.92)	(5.07)	(2.89)	(4.22)	(3.39)	(3.23)

Table 2: Bio-efficiency of Fenazaquin 20 EC against European red mite (*Panonychusulmi*) on Red Delicious variety of apple at Government apple orchard, Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara, during 2017-2018

Each value is mean of three observations (includes Protonymph, deutonymph and adult mite)
Figures in parenthesis are Arc sin transformed values

Table 3: Bio-efficiency of Fenazaquin 20SC against European red mite (*Panonychus ulmi*) on Red Delicious variety of apple at Ferozpora, Rafiabad, Baramulla and Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara Government apple orchard, (Two years pooled data for the year 2017 and 2018).

Code/ Chemical	Concentration (Per 100 lit. of water)	Percent Cumulative Mean Mortality of <i>Panonychus ulmi</i>					
		Ferozpora, Rafiabad, Baramulla			Government apple orchard, Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara		
		2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled
Fenazaquin 20 SC	15 ml	65.09	63.85	64.47	71.12	66.15	68.35
Fenazaquin 20 SC	20 ml	71.46	70.47	70.95	73.38	67.05	70.21
Fenazaquin 20 SC	25 ml	75.06	72.33	73.69	76.05	71.38	73.71
Hexythiazox 5.45 EC	40 ml	62.77	63.11	62.94	67.79	62.38	65.08
Fenazaquin 10 SC	40 ml	68.75	67.38	68.06	70.33	65.64	67.98
Check (Water spray)	Water	16.76	18.10	17.43	16.76	16.44	16.60

Table 4: Toxicity of Fenazaquin 20EC against natural enemies of European Red Mite (*Panonychus ulmi*) on apple cv. Red Delicious at Ferozpora, Rafiabab, Baramulla, and Government orchard, Chitkak, Kupwaraduring the years 2017 and 2018 (Pooled)

Code/ Chemical	Concentration (Per 100 lit of water)	Coccinellids						<i>Amblyseius</i>					
		Ferozpora,Baramulla			Chitkak, Kupwara			Ferozpora,Baramulla			Chitkak, Kupwara		
		2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled
Fenazaquin 20 SC	15ml	27.53	19.12	23.32	25.63	20.20	22.91	37.49	31.51	34.50	36.27	30.50	33.38
Fenazaquin 20 SC	20ml	32.09	22.20	27.14	28.73	23.91	26.32	39.96	35.54	37.75	38.57	32.70	35.63
Fenazaquin 20 SC	25ml	39.39	24.80	32.09	31.57	29.35	30.46	54.07	40.87	47.47	45.15	36.84	40.99
Hexythiazox 5.45 EC	40ml	33.33	23.30	28.31	27.45	23.20	25.32	38.64	34.96	36.80	38.37	29.56	33.96
Fenazaquin 10 SC	40ml	34.67	23.31	28.99	29.14	22.68	25.91	41.04	37.13	39.08	41.78	32.50	37.14
Check)Water spray)	- -	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5

Table 5- Effect of Fenazaquin 20 SC against European Red Mite (*Panonychusulmi*) on yield (number of boxes) of apple variety cv. Red Delicious at Ferozpora, Rafiabab, Baramulla and Government orchard Chitkak, Kupwaraduring 2017 and

Treatment/ Chemical	Concentration (Per 100 lit of water)	Yield of A grade Apples/tree (Number of boxes per plant)					
		Ferozpora, Rafiabab, Baramulla			Government orchard Chitkak, Kupwara		
		2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled
Fenazaquin 20 SC	15 ml	4.75	4.00	4.37	4.15	5.20	4.67
Fenazaquin 20 SC	20 ml	5.40	4.85	5.12	4.75	5.35	5.05
Fenazaquin 20 SC	25 ml	5.65	5.20	5.33	5.40	5.70	5.55
Hexythiazox 5.45 EC	40 ml	5.10	4.40	4.75	4.35	5.40	4.87
Fenazaquin 10 SC	40 ml	4.40	4.32	4.36	4.0	4.75	4.37
Check)Water spray)	Water	2.00	2.40	2.20	2.45	2.65	2.55